APPENDIX C

Federal Register / Vol. 50, No. 211 / Thursday, October 31, 1985 / Notices

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Office of the Secretary

Cost-of-Living Increase in Benefits
Under Titles II and XVI for 1986;
Average of the Total Wages for 1984;
Contribution and Benefit Base, Quarter
of Coverage Amount, Retirement
Earnings Test Exempt Amounts,
Formulas for Computing Benefits, and
"Old-Law" Contribution and Benefit
Base for 1986; Old-Age, Survivors, and
Disability Insurance (OASDI) Fund
Ratio for 1985; and Tables of Benefit
Amounts for 1986

AGENCY: Social Security Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Secretary has determined—

- (1) A 3.1 percent cost-of-living increase in benefits under title II (section 215(i)) of the Social Security Act (the Act);
- (2) An increase in the Federal SSI (title XVI) benefit amounts for 1986 to \$4,032 for an eligible individual, \$6,048 for an eligible individual with an eligible spouse, and \$2,016 for an essential person (section 1617 of the Act);
- (3) The average of the total wages for 1984 to be \$16,135.07;
- (4) The Social Security contribution and benefit base to be \$42,000 for remuneration paid in 1986 and selfemployment income earned in taxable years beginning in 1986;
- (5) The amount of earnings a person must have to be credited with a quarter of coverage in 1986 to be \$440;
- (6) The monthly exempt amounts under the Social Security retirement earnings test for taxable years ending in calendar year 1986 to be \$650 for beneficiaries age 65 through 69 and \$480 for beneficiaries under age 65;
- (7) The "old-law" contribution and benefit base to be \$31.500 for 1986.

We also describe the computation of benefits for a worker and the worker's family who first become eligible for benefits in 1986, and the computation of the OASDI fund ratio used to determine whether the automatic increase in benefits under title II of the Act is affected by the "stabilizer" provision.

Finally, we are publishing two tables of OASDI benefit amounts. The first table reflects: (a) The automatic benefit increase, and (b) the new higher average monthly wage and related benefit amounts made possible by the higher contribution and benefit base. This table will be used primarily to compute the title II benefits of workers who attained age 62 or became disabled before 1979. and of the family members of insured workers who died before 1979, and to compute the related maximum family benefit. The second table provides the range of primary insurance amounts and the corresponding maximum family benefits under the "special minimum" benefit provision, as revised to reflect the automatic benefit increase. These benefits are payable to certain individuals with long periods of relatively low earnings.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Clare M. Albrecht, Office of the Actuary, Social Security Administration, 6401 Security Boulevard, Baltimore, Maryland 21235, telephone (301) 594– 3882.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Secretary is required by the Act to publish within 45 days after the close of the third calendar quarter of 1985 the benefit increase percentage and the revised tables of benefits (section 215(i)(2)(D)). Also, the Secretary is required to publish before November 1 the average of the total wages for 1984 (section 215(i)(2)(C)(iii)) and the OASDI fund ratio for 1985 (section 215(i)(2)(C)(iii)). Finally, the Secretary is required to publish on or before November 1 the contribution and benefit base for 1986 (section 230(a)), the amount of earnings required to be credited with a quarter of coverage in 1986 (section 213(d)(2)), the monthly exempt amounts under the Social Security retirement earnings test for 1986 (section 203(f)(8)(A)), the formula

for computing a primary insurance amount for workers who first become eligible for benefits or die in 1986 (section 215(a)(1)(D)), and the formula for computing the maximum amount of benefits payable to the family of a worker who first becomes eligible for old-age benefits or dies in 1986 (section 203(a)(2)(C)).

Cost-of-Living Increases

General. The cost-of-living increase is 3.1 percent for benefits under titles II and XVI of the Social Security Act.

Under title II, old-age, survivors, and disability insurance benefits will increase by 3.1 percent beginning with the December 1985 benefits, which are payable on January 3, 1986. The kinds of benefits payable to individuals entitled under this program are old-age, disability, wife's, husband's, child's, widow's, widower's, mother's, father's, and parent's insurance benefits. This increase is based on the authority contained in section 215(i) of the Act (42 U.S.C. 415(i)).

Under title XVI, Federal SSI benefit amounts will also increase by 3.1 percent effective for payments made for the month of January 1986 but paid on December 31, 1985. This is based on the authority contained in section 1617 of the Act [42 U.S.C. 1382f]. The percentage increase effective January 1986 is the same as the title II benefit increase and the annual payment amount is rounded, when not a multiple of \$12, to the next lower multiple of \$12.

Automatic Benefit Increase
Computation. Under section 215(i) of the
Act, the third calendar quarter of 1985 is
a cost-of-living computation quarter for
all the purposes of the Act. The
Secretary is therefore required to
increase benefits, effective with
December 1985, for individuals entitled
under section 227 or 228 of the Act, to
increase primary insurance amounts of
all other individuals entitled under title
II of the Act, and to increase maximum
benefits payable to a family. For
December 1985, the benefit increase is
the percentage increase in the Consumer

Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers from the third quarter of 1984 through the third quarter of 1985. Automatic benefit increases may be modified by a "stabilizer" provision under certain adverse financial conditions that are described in the section on the OASDI fund ratio. The December 1985 benefit increase is not affected by this provision.

Section 215 (i)(1) of the Act provides that the Consumer Price Index for a cost-of-living computation quarter shall be the arithmetical mean of this index for the 3 months in that quarter. The Department of Labor's revised Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers for each month in the quarter ending September 30, 1984, was: for July 1984, 307.5; for August 1984, 310.3; and for September 1984, 312.1. The arithmetical mean for this calendar quarter is 310.0 (after rounding to the nearest 0.1). The corresponding Consumer Price Index for each month in the quarter ending September 30, 1985, was: for July 1985, 319.1; for August 1985, 319.6; and for September 1985, 320.5. The arithmetical mean for this calendar quarter is 319.7 (after rounding to the nearest 0.1). Thus, because the Consumer Price Index for the calendar quarter ending September 30, 1985, exceeds that for the calendar quarter ending September 30, 1984, by 3.1 precent, a cost-of-living benefit increase of 3.1 percent is effective for benefits under title II of the Act beginning December 1985.

Title II Benefit Amounts. In accordance with section 215(i)(4) of the Act, the primary insurance amounts and the maximum family benefits shown in columns IV and V of the revised benefit table (table 1) were obtained by: (1) increasing by 3.1 percent the corresponding amounts established by the last cost-of-living increase and the extension of the benefit table made under section 215(i)(4) and published on October 31, 1984, at 49 FR 43775, and (2) extending the table due to the increase in the contribution and benefit base for 1986, as described below. The table

applies only to the benefits of those persons who attained age 62 or became disabled before January 1979 and the family members of insured workers who died before 1979. The table is deemed to appear in section 215(a) of the Act. Note that this table does not apply to those individuals who become eligible (i.e., attain age 62, or become disabled) or die after 1978; their benefits will generally be determined by a benefit formula provided by the Social Security Amendments of 1977 (Pub. L. 95-216), as described below. For persons who first become eligible for benefits or who die before age 62 in the period 1979-1985, the 3.1 percent increase will apply beginning with benefits for December 1985 and will be included in checks received in January 1986; the 3.1 percent increase will not apply for persons who first become eligible for benefits or die after 1985.

Section 215(i)(2)(D) of the Act also requires that, when the Secretary determines an automatic increase in Social Security benefits, the Secretary shall publish in the Federal Register a revision of the range of the primary insurance amounts and corresponding maximum family benefits based on the dollar amount and other provisions described in section 215(a)(1)(C)(i). These benefits are referred to as "special minimum" benefits and are payable to certain individuals with long periods of relatively low earnings. In accordance with section 215(a)(1)(C)(i), the attached table 2 shows the revised range of primary insurance amounts and corresponding maximum family benefit amounts after the 3.1 percent benefit increase.

Section 227 of the Act provides flatrate benefits to workers who became age 72 before 1969 and are not insured under the usual requirements, and to their spouses or surviving spouses. Section 228 of the Act provides similar benefits for certain uninsured persons who became age 72 before 1972. The current monthly benefit amount of \$134.40 for an individual under sections 227 and 228 of the Act is increased by 3.1 percent to obtain the new amount of \$138.50. The present monthly benefit amount of \$67.40 for a spouse under section 227 is increased by 3.1 percent to \$69.40.

Title XVI Benefit Amounts. In accordance with section 1617 of the Act, Federal SSI benefit amounts for the aged, blind, and disabled are increased by 3.1 percent effective January 1986. Therefore, the yearly Federal SSI benefit amount of \$3,900 for an eligible individual, \$5,856 for an eligible individual with an eligible spouse and \$1,956 for an essential person, which are effective January 1985, are increased, effective with January 1986, to \$4,032, \$6,048, and \$2,016, respectively, after rounding. The monthly payment amount is determined dividing the yearly amount by 12, and subtracting monthly countable income. In the case of an eligible individual with an eligible spouse, the amount payable is further divided equally between the two spouses.

Average of the Total Wages for 1984

The determination of the average wage figure for 1984 is based on the 1983 average wage figure of \$15,239.24 announced in the Federal Register on October 31, 1984 (49 FR 43775), along with the percentage increase in average wages from 1983 to 1984 measured by annual wage data tabulated by the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). The average amounts of wages calculated directly from IRS data were \$15,650.18 and \$16,570.17 for 1983 and 1984, respectively. To determine an average wage figure for 1984 at a level that is consistent with the series of average wages for 1951-1977 (published December 29, 1978, at 43 FR 61016), we multiplied the 1983 average wage figure of \$15,239.24 by the percentage increase in average wages from 1983 to 1984 (based on IRS data) as follows (with the result rounded to the nearest cent): Average wage for $1984 = \$15,239.24 \times \$16,570.17 \div \$$ 15,650.18=\$16,135.07. Therefore, the average wage for 1984 is determined to be \$16,135.07.

Contribution and Benefit Base

General. The contribution and benefit base is \$42,000 for remuneration paid in 1986 and self-employment income earned in taxable years beginning in 1986.

The contribution and benefit base serves two purposes:

- (1) It is the maximum annual amount of earnings on which Social Security taxes are paid.
- (2) It is the maximum annual amount used in determining a person's Social Security benefits.

Computation. Section 230(c) of the Act provides a table with the contribution and benefit base for each year 1978, 1979, 1980, and 1981. For years after 1981, section 230(b) of the Act contains a formula for determining the contribution and benefit base. Under the prescribed formula, the contribution and benefit base for 1986 shall be equal to the 1985 base of \$39,600 multiplied by the ratio of (1) the average amount, per employee, of total wages for the calendar year 1984 to (2) the average amount of those wages for the calendar year 1983. Section 230(b) further provides that if the amount so determined is not a multiple of \$300, it shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$300.

Average Wages. The average wage for calendar year 1983 was previously determined to be \$15,239.24. The average wage for calendar year 1984 has been determined to be \$16,135.07 as stated herein.

Amount. The ratio of the average for 1984, \$16,135.07, compared to that for 1983, \$15,239.24, is 1.0587844. Multiplying the 1985 contribution and benefit base of \$39,600 by the ratio 1.0587844 produces the amount of \$41,927.86 which must then be rounded to \$42,000. Accordingly, the contribution and benefit base is determined to be \$42,000 for 1986.

Quarter of Coverage Amount

General. The 1986 amount of earnings required for a quarter of coverage is \$440. A quarter of coverage is the basic unit for determining whether a worker is insured under the Social Security

program. For years before 1978, an individual generally was credited with a quarter of coverage for each quarter in which wages of \$50 or more were paid, or an individual was credited with 4 quarters of coverage for every taxable year in which \$400 or more of selfemployment income was earned. Beginning in 1978, wages generally are no longer reported on a quarterly basis; instead, annual reports are made. With the change to annual reporting, section 352(b) of the Social Security Amendments of 1977 (Pub. L. 95-216) amended section 213(d) of the Act to provide that a quarter of coverage would be credited for each \$250 of an individual's total wages and selfemployment income for calendar year 1978 (up to a maximum of 4 quarters of coverge for the year). Individuals generally must have self-employment income of at least \$400 in a taxable year in order to be credited with any quarters of coverage.

Computation. Under the prescribed formula, the quarter of coverage amount for 1986 shall be equal to the 1978 amount of \$250 multiplied by the ratio of (1) the average amount, per employee, of total wages for calendar year 1984 to (2) the average amount of those wages reported for calendar year 1976. The section further provides that if the amount so determined is not a multiple of \$10, it shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10.

Average Wages. The average wage for calendar year 1976 was previously determined to be \$9,226.48. This was published in the Federal Register on December 29, 1978, at 43 FR 61016. The average wage for calendar year 1984 has been determined to be \$16,135.07 as stated herein.

Quarter of Coverage Amount. The ratio of the average wage for 1984, \$16,135.07, compared to that for 1976, \$9,226.48, is 1.748779. Multiplying the 1978 quarter of coverage amount of \$250 by the ratio of 1.748779 produces the amount of \$437.19 which must then be rounded to \$440. Accordingly, the quarter of coverage amount is determined to be \$440 for 1986.

Retirement Earnings Test Exempt Amounts

(a) Beneficiaries Aged 70 or Over. Beginning with months after December 1982, there is no limit on the amount an individual aged 70 or over may earn and still receive Social Security benefits.

(b) Beneficiaries Aged 65 through 69. The retirement earnings test monthly exempt amount for beneficiaries aged 65 through 69 is stated in the Act at section 203(f)(8)(D) for years 1978 through 1982. A formula is provided in section 203(f)(8)(B) for computing the exempt amount applicable for years after 1982. The monthly exempt amount for 1985 was determined by this formula to be \$610. Under the formula, the exempt amount for 1986 shall be the 1985 exempt amount multiplied by the ratio of: (1) The average amount, per employee, of the total wages for calendar year 1984 to (2) the average amount of those wages for calendar year 1983. The section further provides that if the amount so determined is not a multiple of \$10, it shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10.

Average Wages. Average wages for this purpose are determined in the same way as for the contribution and benefit base. Therefore, the ratio of the average wages for 1984, \$16,135.07, compared to that for 1983, \$15,239.24, is 1.0587844.

Exempt Amount for Beneficiaries Aged 65 through 69. Multiplying the 1985 retirement earnings test montly exempt amount of \$610 by the ratio of 1.0587844 produces the amount of \$645.86. This must then be rounded to \$650. The retirement earnings test monthly exempt amount for beneficiaries aged 65 through 69 is thus determined to be \$640 for 1986. The corresponding retirement earnings test annual exempt amount for these beneficiaries is \$7,800.

(c) Beneficiaries Under Age 65.
Section 203 of the Act providesthat beneficiaries under age 65 have a lower retirement earnings test monthly exempt amount than those beneficiaries aged 65 through 69. The exempt amount for beneficiaries under age 65 is determined by a formula provided in section 203(f)(8)(B) of the Act. Under the

formula, the monthly exempt amount for beneficiaries under age 65 is \$450 for 1985. The formula provides that the exempt amount for 1986 shall be the 1985 exempt amount for beneficiaries under age 65 multiplied by the ratio of: (1) The average amount, per employee, of the total wages for calendar year 1984 to (2) the average amount of those wages for calendar year 1983. The section further provides that if the amount so determined is not a multiple of \$10, it shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$10.

Average Wages. Average wages for this purpose are determined in the same way as for the contribution and benefit base. Therefore, the ratio of the average wages for 1984, \$16,135.07, compared to that of 1983, \$15,239.24, is 1.0587844.

Exempt amount for Beneficiaries Under Age 65. Multiplying the 1985 retirement earnings test monthly exempt amount of \$450 by the ratio 1.0587844 produces the amount of \$476.45. This must then be rounded to \$480. The retirement earnings test monthly exempt amount for beneficiaries under age 65 is thus determined to be \$480 for 1986. The corresponding retirement earnings test annual exempt amount for these beneficiaries is \$5,760.

Computing Benefits After 1978

The Social Security Amendments of 1977 changed the formula for determining an individual's primary insurance amount after 1978. This basic new formula is based on "wage indexing" and was fully explained with interim regulations and final regulations published in the Federal Register on December 29, 1978 (43 FR 60877), and July 15, 1982 (47 FR 30731), respectively. It generally applies when a worker after 1978 attains age 62, becomes disabled, or dies before age 62. This formula uses the worker's earnings after they have been adjusted, or "indexed," in proportion to the increase in average wages of all workers. Using this method, we determine the worker's "average indexed monthly earnings." We then compute the primary insurance amount, using the worker's average indexed

monthly earnings. The computation formula is adjusted automatically each year to reflect changes in general wage levels.

Average Indexed Monthly Earnings.
To assure that a worker's future benefits reflect the general rise in the standard of living that occurs during his or her working lifetime, we adjust or "index" the worker's past earnings to take into account the change in general wage levels that has occurred during the worker's years of employment. These adjusted earnings are then used to compute the worker's primary insurance amount.

For example, to compute the average indexed monthly earnings for a worker attaining age 62, becoming disabled, or dying before attaining age 62, in 1986, we divide the average of the total wages for 1984, \$16,135,07, by the average of the total wages for each year prior to 1984 in which the worker had earnings. We then multiply the actual wages and self-employment income as defined in section 211(b) of the Act credited for each year by the corresponding ratio to obtain the worker's adjusted earnings for each year. After determining the number of years we must use to compute the primary insurance amount, we pick those years with higher indexed earnings, total those indexed earnings and divide by the total number of months in those years. This figure is rounded down to the next lower dollar amount, and becomes the average indexed monthly earnings figure to be used in computing the worker's primary insurance amount for 1986.

Computing the Primary Insurance Amount. The primary insurance amount is the sum of three separate percentages of portions of the average indexed monthly earnings. In 1979 (the first year the formula was in effect), these portions were the first \$180, the amount between \$180 and \$1,085, and the amount over \$1,085. The amounts for 1986 are obtained by multiplying the 1979 amounts by the ratio of the average of the total wages for 1984, \$16,135.07, to that for 1977, \$9,779,44. These results are

then rounded to the nearest dollar. For 1986, the ratio is 1,649897. Multiplying the 1979 amounts of \$180 and \$1,085 by 1.649897 produces the amounts of \$296.98 and \$1,790.14. These must then be rounded to \$297 and \$1,790. Accordingly, the portions of the average indexed monthly earnings to be used in 1986 are determined to be the first \$297, the amount between \$297 and \$1,790, and the amount over \$1,790.

Consequently, for individuals who first become eligible for old-age insurance benefits or disability insurance benefits in 1986, or who die in 1986 before becoming eligible for benefits, we will compute their primary insurance amount by adding the following:

(a) 90 percent of the first \$297 of their average indexed monthly earnings, plus

(b) 32 percent of the average indexed monthly earnings over \$297 and through \$1,790, plus

(c) 15 percent of the average indexed monthly earnings over \$1,790.

This amount is then rounded to the next lower multiple of \$.10 if it is not already a multiple of \$.10. This formula and the adjustments we have described are contained in section 215(a) of the Act (42 U.S.C. 415(a)).

Maximum Benefits Payable to a Family

The 1977 Amendments continued the long established policy of limiting the total monthly benefits which a worker's family may receive based on his or her primary insurance amount. Those amendments also continued the existing relationship between maximum family benefits and primary insurance amounts but did change the method of computing the maximum amount of benefits which may be paid to a worker's family. The Social Security Disability Amendments of 1980 (Pub. L. 96-265) established a new formula for computing the maximum benefits payable to the family of a disabled worker. This new formula is applied to the family benefits of workers who first become entitled to disability insurance benefits after June 30, 1980, and who first become eligible for these benefits after 1978. The new

formula was explained in a Final Rule published in the Federal Register on May 8, 1981, at 46 FR 25601. For disabled workers initially entitled to disability benefits before July 1980, or whose disability began before 1979, the family maximum payable is computed the same as the old-age and survivor family maximum.

Computing the Old-Age and Survivor Family Maximum. The formula used to compute the family maximum is similar to that used to compute the primary insurance amount. It involves computing the sum of four separate percentages of portions of the worker's primary insurance amount. In 1979, these portions were the first \$230, the amount between \$230 and \$332, the amount between \$332 and \$433, and the amount over \$433. The amounts for 1986 are obtained by multiplying the 1979 amounts by the ratio between the average of the total wages for 1984, \$16,135.07, and the average for 1977. \$9,779.44. This amount is then rounded to the nearest dollar. For 1986, the ratio is 1.649897. Multiplying the amounts of \$230, \$332, and \$433 by 1.649897 produces the amounts of \$379.48, \$547.77, and \$714.41. These amounts are then rounded to \$379, \$548, and \$714. Accordingly, the portions of the primary insurance amounts to be used in 1986 are determined to be the first \$379, the amount between \$379 and \$548, the amount between \$548 and \$714, and the amount over \$714.

Consequently, for the family of a worker who becomes age 62 or dies in 1986, the total amount of benefits payable to them will be computed so that it does not exceed:

- (a) 150 percent of the first \$379 of the worker's primary insurance amount, plus
- (b) 272 percent of the worker's primary insurance amount over \$379 through \$548, plus
- (c) 134 percent of the worker's primary insurance amount over \$548 through \$714, plus
- (d) 175 percent of the worker's primary insurance amount over \$714.

This amount is then rounded to the next lower multiple of \$.10 if it is not already a multiple of \$.10. This formula and the adjustments we have described are contained in section 203 (a) of the Act (42 U.S.C. 403(a)).

Extension of Benefit Table Effective January 1986

Table 1 includes an extension of the Table for Determining Primary Insurance Amount and Maximum Family Benefits provided in section 215(a)(5) of the Act. This extension reflects the higher average monthly wage and related benefit amounts now possible under the increased contribution and benefit base published by this Notice effective January 1986 in accordance with section 215(i) of the Act. Table 1 will apply primarily to benefits based on earnings of workers who reached age 62 before 1979.

"Old-Law" Contribution and Benefit Base

General. The 1986 "old-law" contribution and benefit base is \$31,500. This is the base that would have been effective under the Social Security Act without the enactment of the 1977 amendments. The base is computed under section 230(b) of the Social Security Act as it read prior to the 1977 amendments.

The "old-law" contribution and benefit base is used by:

- (1) The Railroad Retirement program to determine certain tax liabilities and tier II benefits payable under that program to supplement the tier I payments which correspond to basic Social Security benefits,
- (2) The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation to determine the maximum amount of pension guaranteed under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act. (This use is stated in section 230(d) of the Social Security Act), and
- (3) Social Security to determine a "year of coverage" in computing the "special minimum" benefit and in computing benefits for persons who are also eligible to receive pensions based

on employment not covered under section 210 of the Social Security Act.

Computation. The base is computed using the automatic adjustment formula in section 230(b) of the Act as it read prior to the enactment of the 1977 amendments. Under the formula, the "old-law" contribution and benefit base shall be the "old-law" 1985 base multiplied by the ratio of: (1) The average amount, per employee, of total wages for the calendar year of 1984 to (2) the average amount of those wages for the calendar year of 1983. If the amount so determined is not a multiple of \$300, it shall be rounded to the nearest multiple of \$300.

Average Wages. The average wage for calendar year 1983 was previously determined to be \$15,239.24. The average wage for calendar year 1984 has been determined to be \$16,135.07, as stated herein.

Amount. The ratio of the average wage for 1984, \$16,135.07 compared to that for 1983, \$15,239.24, is 1.0587844. Multiplying the 1985 "old-law" contribution and benefit base amount of \$29,700 by the ratio of 1.0587844 produces the amount of \$31,445.90 which must bhen be rounded to \$31.500. Accordingly, the "old-law" contribution and benefit base is determined to be \$31,500 for 1986.

OASDI Fund Ratio

General. Section 215(i) of the Act was amended by section 112 of the Social Security Amendments of 1983 (Pub. L. 98–21), to include a "stabilizer" provision that can limit the automatic OASDI benefit increase under certain circumstances. If the combined assets of the OASI and DI Trust Funds, as a percentage of annual expeditures, are below a specified level, the automatic benefit increase is equal to the lesser of: (1) The increase in average wages or (2) the increase in prices. The threshold level specified for the OASDI fund ratio is 15.0 percent for benefit increases for December of 1984 through December 1988, and 20.0 percent thereafter. The amendments also provide for subsequent "catch-up" benefit increases

for beneficiaries whose previous benefit increases were affected by this provision. "Catch-up" benefit increases occur only when trust fund assets exceed 32.0 percent of annual expenditures.

Computation. Section 215(i) specifies the computation and application of the OASDI fund ratio. The OASDI fund ratio for 1985 is defined as the ratio of: (1) The combined assets of the OASI and DI Trust Funds at the beginning of 1985, including advance tax transfers for January 1985 and excluding amounts owed to the Hospital Insurance (HI) Trust Fund, to (2) the estimated expenditures of the OASI and DI Trust Funds during 1985, excluding payments of interest and principal on amounts owed to the HI Trust Fund and transfer payments between the OASI and DI Trust Funds, and reducing any transfers to the Railroad Retirement Account by any transfers from that account into either trust fund.

Ratio. The combined assets of the OASI and DI Trust Funds at the beginning of 1985 (including advance tax transfers for January 1985 and excluding amounts owed to the HI Trust Fund) equaled \$33,956 million, and the expenditures are estimated to be \$191,569 million. Thus, the OASDI fund ratio for 1985 is 17.7 percent, which exceeds the applicable threshold of 15.0 percent. As a result, the "stabilizer" provision does not affect the benefit increase for December 1985.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Programs Nos. 13.802–13.805, and 13.807 Social Security Programs)

Dated: October 29, 1985.

Margaret M. Heckler,

Secretary of Health and Human Services.

BILLING CODE 4190-11-M

(The extended benefit table which was published at the end of the above announcement in the Federal Register is not reproduced here because of its length.)

APPENDIX D.—AUTOMATIC ADJUSTMENTS UNDER OLD-AGE, SURVIVORS, AND DISABILITY INSURANCE

The Social Security Act specifies that certain program amounts affecting the determination of OASDI benefits are to be adjusted annually, in general, to reflect changes in the economy. The law prescribes specific formulas which, when applied to reported statistics, produce "automatic" revisions in these program amounts and hence in the benefit-computation procedures.

In this appendix, values are shown for the program amounts which are subject to automatic adjustment, from the time that such adjustments became effective through 1986. Projected values for future years through 1991, based on the two intermediate sets of assumptions (alternatives II-A and II-B), are also shown. Many of these assumptions are described in the subsection of this report entitled "Economic and Demographic Assumptions" and are shown in tables 10 and 11. The subsection entitled "Automatic Adjustments," and Appendix C, provide a more complete description of the program amounts affected by the automatic-adjustment procedures.

Under section 215(b)(3) of the Social Security Act, the average amount of total wages for each year after 1950 is used to index the earnings of most workers first becoming eligible for benefits in 1979 or later. This procedure converts a worker's past earnings to approximately their equivalent values near the time of the worker's retirement or other eligibility, and these values are used to calculate the worker's Average Indexed Monthly Earnings (AIME). The average amount of total wages for each year is also used to adjust most of the program amounts that are subject to the automatic-adjustment provisions. A copy of the notice announcing the average wage for 1984, including a brief description of its derivation, is shown in Appendix C, which also describes the determinations of other program amounts that are in effect for 1986. Table D1 shows the average amount of total wages as announced for each year 1951 through 1984.

| TABLE D1 AVERAGE AMOUNT OF TOTAL WAGES, CALENDAR YEARS 1951-8 | TABLE D1 | AVEDAGE AMOUNT | TOF TOTAL WAG | ES CALENDAR | YFARS 1951-84 |
|---|----------|----------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|
|---|----------|----------------|---------------|-------------|---------------|

| Year | Amount | Year | Amount | Year | Amount |
|------|------------|------|------------|------|-------------|
| 1951 | \$2,799.16 | 1966 | \$4,938.36 | 1981 | \$13,773.10 |
| 1952 | 2.973.32 | 1967 | 5,213.44 | 1982 | 14,531.34 |
| 1953 | 3,139.44 | 1968 | 5,571.76 | 1983 | 15,239.24 |
| 1954 | 3,155.64 | 1969 | 5.893.76 | 1984 | 16,135.07 |
| 1955 | 3,301.44 | 1970 | 6,186.24 | | |
| 1956 | 3,532.36 | 1971 | 6,497.08 | | |
| 1957 | 3.641.72 | 1972 | 7,133.80 | | |
| 1958 | 3.673.80 | 1973 | 7,580.16 | | |
| 1959 | 3,855.80 | 1974 | 8,030.76 | | |
| 1960 | 4,007.12 | 1975 | 8,630.92 | | |
| 1961 | 4.086.76 | 1976 | 9,226.48 | | |
| 1962 | 4.291.40 | 1977 | 9,779.44 | | |
| 1963 | 4.396.64 | 1978 | 10,556.03 | | |
| 1964 | 4,576.32 | 1979 | 11,479.46 | | |
| 1965 | 4.658.72 | 1980 | 12,513.46 | | |

Table D2 shows the estimated average amount of total wages for each year 1985 through 1991, based on the four alternative sets of assumptions.

TABLE D2.—ESTIMATED AVERAGE AMOUNT OF TOTAL WAGES BY ALTERNATIVE, CALENDAR YEARS 1985-91

| Calendar year | 1 | II-A | II-B | III |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1985 | \$16,797.03 | \$16,769,37 | \$16,747,32 | \$16,724.03 |
| 1986 | 17,572.48 | 17.537.43 | 17.493.25 | 17,496,90 |
| 1987 | 18,504.30 | 18.499.90 | 18.456.25 | 18,507,55 |
| 1988 | 19,467,48 | 19,462.33 | 19,432.72 | 19,257.89 |
| 1989 | 20.508.57 | 20.505.44 | 20,721.36 | 20,702.09 |
| 1990 | 21.525.59 | 21.540.33 | 21,997.76 | 21.566.59 |
| 1991 | 22,516.75 | 22,621.23 | 23,249.94 | 23,085.52 |

The provisions for automatic cost-of-living increases in OASDI benefits were originally enacted in 1972 and first became effective with the benefit increase for June 1975. The determination of the benefit increase effective for December 1985 is shown in Appendix C. Table D3 shows the automatic benefit increases determined for each year 1975-85, and the benefit increases for each year 1986-91, on the basis of the two intermediate sets of assumptions.

The law provides for an automatic increase in the contribution and benefit base for the year following a year in which an automatic benefit increase became effective. The base for 1975 was the first one determined on this basis. (Amendments enacted in December 1973 provided that the 11-percent general benefit increase that became effective in 1974 would be considered an automatic cost-of-living benefit increase for purposes of the automatic-adjustment provisions.) The bases for 1979-81 were specified by the 1977 amendments at levels above those which were expected to occur under the automatic-adjustment provisions (and which, in fact, as the experience developed, were above such levels). Starting again in 1982, the bases have been determined automatically on the basis of increases in average wages. Table D3 shows actual past and projected future amounts for the contribution and benefit base.

The law provides for the determination of the contribution and benefit bases that would have been in effect in each year after 1978 under the automatic-adjustment provisions as in effect before the enactment of the 1977 amendments. This "old-law" base is used in determining special-minimum benefits for certain workers who have many years of low earnings in covered employment. Beginning in 1986, the old-law base is also used in the calculation of OASDI benefits for certain workers who are eligible to receive pensions based on noncovered employment. In addition, it is used for certain purposes under the Railroad Retirement program and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. Table D3 shows the old-law bases for 1979-86, together with estimated amounts for 1987-91 on the basis of the two intermediate sets of assumptions.

The 1972 amendments specified that the amount of earnings exempted from the withholding of benefits under the retirement earnings test would increase automatically in the year following a year in which an automatic cost-of-living benefit increase became effective. The 1977 amendments modified this procedure by establishing two different exempt amounts—one for those under age 65 and another for those aged 65 and over. The former amounts continued to increase automatically, while the latter amounts were specified for 1978-82, after which they again increase automatically. The exempt amounts are shown in table D3 for 1975-91.

The 1977 amendments specified the amount of earnings required in 1978 to be credited with a "quarter of coverage" and provided for automatic adjustment of this amount for future years. Table D3 shows the amounts for 1978-91.

The 1977 amendments substantially revised the method of computing benefits for most workers first becoming eligible for benefits in 1979 and later. The formula used to compute the Primary Insurance Amount (PIA) for workers who first become eligible for benefits, or who died before becoming eligible, in 1979 is:

90 percent of the first \$180 of AIME, plus
32 percent of AIME in excess of \$180
but not in excess of \$1,085, plus
15 percent of AIME in excess of \$1,085.

The amounts separating the individual's AIME into intervals—the "bend points"—are adjusted automatically by the changes in average wages as specified in section 215(a)(1)(B) of the Social Security Act. (A regular-minimum benefit of \$122 and a special-minimum benefit varying by "years of coverage" are also provided, although for most workers first becoming eligible for benefits in 1982 and later, the regular-minimum benefit of \$122 has been eliminated.) The bend points for 1979-86, and the values projected for 1987-91, are shown in table D3.

A similar formula is used to compute the maximum total amount of monthly benefits payable on the basis of the earnings of a retired or deceased individual. This formula is a function of the individual's PIA, and is shown below for workers who first became eligible for benefits, or who died before becoming eligible, in 1979:

150 percent of the first \$230 of PIA, plus
272 percent of the PIA in excess of \$230 but not in excess of \$332, plus
134 percent of the PIA in excess of \$332 but not in excess of \$433, plus
175 percent of the PIA in excess of \$433.

These PIA-interval bend points are adjusted automatically in accordance with section 203(a)(2) of the Act. The maximum-family-benefit bend points for 1979-91 are shown in table D3.

TABLE D3.—OASDI PROGRAM AMOUNTS DETERMINED UNDER THE AUTOMATIC-ADJUSTMENT PROVISIONS, CALENDAR YEARS 1975-86, AND PROJECTED FUTURE AMOUNTS, CALENDAR YEARS 1987-91, ON THE BASIS OF THE INTERMEDIATE SETS OF ASSUMPTIONS

| Calendar year | Benefit | Contribution | "Old-law" contribution - | Retirement e exempt a | exempt amounts | | | | Retirement earnings test exempt amounts | | AIME "bend PIA for | points" in nula | | ints" in maximi nefit formula | um-family- |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---------|------------------|--|------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--|----------------------------------|------------|
| | increase ¹ (percent) | and benefit base | and benefit base ² | Under age 65 | Ages 65 and over ³ | required for a quarter of coverages | First | Second | First | Second | Third | | | | |
| Actual experience: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1975 | 8.0 | \$14,100 | (5) | \$2,520 | £2 £20 | (e) | (*) | | | | | | | | |
| 1976 | 6.4 | 15.300 | (5) | 2,760 | \$2,520 2,760 | (*) | (*) | (°) | (5) | (5) | (5) | | | | |
| 1977 | 5.9 | 16,500 |) ₅ / | 3,000 | 3,000 | (2) | (3) | (°) | (5) | (5) | (*) | | | | |
| 1978 | 6.5 | 17,700 | (5) | 3,240 | | -0000 | (5) | (5) | (5) | (5) | (5) | | | | |
| 1979 | 9.9 | ⁷ 22,900 | \$18,900 | 3,480 | 74,000 | *\$250 | (5) | (5) | (5) | (5) | (5) | | | | |
| 1980 | 14.3 | ⁷ 25,900 | 20,400 | | 74,500 | 260 | *\$180 | *\$ 1,085 | *\$230 | *\$332 | *\$433 | | | | |
| | 14.5 | 23,300 | 20,400 | 3,720 | ³5,00 0 | 290 | 194 | 1,171 | 248 | 358 | 467 | | | | |
| 1981 | 11.2 | ⁷ 29,700 | 22,200 | 4,080 | 15 500 | 040 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1982 | 7.4 | 32,400 | 24,300 | | ¹ 5,500 | 310 | 211 | 1,274 | 270 | 390 | 508 | | | | |
| 1983 | 3.5 | 35,700 | 26,700 | 4,440 | 76,000 | 340 | 230 | 1,388 | 294 | 425 | 554 | | | | |
| 1984 | 3.5 | 37,800 | | 4,920 | 6,600 | 370 | 254 | 1,528 | 324 | 468 | 610 | | | | |
| 1985 | 3.1 | | 28,200 | 5,160 | 5,960 | 390 | 267 | 1,612 | 342 | 493 | 643 | | | | |
| | | 39,600 | 29,700 | 5,400 | 7,320 | 410 | 280 | 1,691 | 358 | 517 | 675 | | | | |
| Alternative II-A: | (°) | 42,000 | 31,500 | 5,760 | 7,800 | 440 | 297 | 1,790 | 379 | 548 | 714 | | | | |
| 1987 | | 40.000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 4.1 | 43,800 | 32,700 | 6,000 | 8,160 | 450 | 309 | 1.861 | 394 | 569 | 742 | | | | |
| 1000 | 3.6 | 45,900 | 34,200 | 6,240 | 8,520 | 480 | 323 | 1,946 | 412 | 595 | 776 | | | | |
| | 3.2 | 48,300 | 36,000 | 6,600 | 9,000 | 500 | 341 | 2,053 | 435 | 628 | 819 | | | | |
| 1990 | 3.0 | 50,700 | 37,800 | 6,960 | 9,480 | 530 | 358 | 2,159 | 458 | 661 | 862 | | | | |
| 1991 | 3.0 | 53,400 | 39,900 | 7,320 | 9,960 | 560 | 377 | 2,275 | 482 | 696 | 908 | | | | |
| Alternative II-B: | | | | | | | • • • • | _,_, | 402 | 030 | 900 | | | | |
| 1987 | 4.5 | 43,500 | 32,700 | 6,000 | 8,040 | 450 | 308 | 1,858 | 394 | 569 | 742 | | | | |
| 1988 | 4.3 | 45,300 | 34,200 | 6,240 | 8,400 | 470 | 322 | 1,941 | 411 | 594 | 775 | | | | |
| 1989 | 5.1 | 47,700 | 36,000 | 6,600 | 8,880 | 500 | 340 | 2.048 | 434 | 627 | 7/5 817 | | | | |
| 1990 | 4.5 | 50,100 | 37,800 | 6,960 | 9,360 | 530 | 358 | 2,156 | 457 | | | | | | |
| 1991 | 4.1 | 53,400 | 40,200 | 7,440 | 9,960 | 560 | 381 | 2,130 | 457 487 | 660 703 | 860 917 | | | | |

¹Effective with benefits payable for June in each year 1975-82, and for December in each year after 1982.

²Contribution and benefit base that would have been determined automatically under the law in effect prior to enactment of the Social Security Amendments of 1977.

³In 1955-82, retirement earnings test did not apply at ages 72 and over, beginning in 1983, it does not apply at ages 70 and over.

^{*}See Appendix C for a description of quarter-of-coverage requirements prior to 1978.

⁵No provision in law for this amount in this year.

⁶Amount not subject to automatic-adjustment provisions in this year.

³Amount specified by Social Security Amendments of 1977.

⁶Amount specified for first year by Social Security Amendments of 1977; amounts for subsequent years subject to automatic-adjustment provisions.

⁹Actual benefit increase for December 1986 has not been determined. Estimates of that increase, based on alternatives II-A and II-B, are 3.0 percent and 3.4 percent, respectively.

APPENDIX E.—ACTUARIAL ESTIMATES FOR THE OASI, DI, AND HI PROGRAMS, COMBINED

In this appendix, actuarial estimates for the OASI, DI, and Hospital Insurance (HI) programs are combined to facilitate analysis of the adequacy of the combined income and assets of these three trust funds relative to their combined expenditures. These estimates represent the combination of the estimates shown in this report and in the concurrent report for the HI Trust Fund.

As is the case with the OASI and DI Trust Funds, the primary source of income to the HI Trust Fund is contributions paid by employees, employers, and self-employed persons. Contribution (or tax) rates for the OASDI and HI programs are summarized in table E1 for 1986 and later. The combined OASDI and HI tax on employees and their employers is often referred to as the FICA tax, because it is authorized by the Federal Insurance Contributions Act.

TABLE E1.—CONTRIBUTION RATES FOR THE OASDI AND HI PROGRAMS

| | Contribution rates (percent) | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Calendar years | Employees a | nd employe | rs, each | Self | | | | | |
| | OASDI | н | Total | OASDI | H | Total | | | |
| 1986-87' | 5.70 | 1.45 | 7.15 | 11.40 | 2.90 2.90 | 14.30 15.02 | | | |
| 1988-89¹ 1990 and later | 6.06 6.20 | 1.45 1.45 | 7.51 7.65 | 12.12 12.40 | 2.90 | 15.30 | | | |

'See section entitled "Nature of the Trust Funds" for description of tax credits allowed against the combined OASDI and HI taxes on net earnings from self-employment in 1986-89.

The Social Security Act authorizes borrowing among the OASI, DI, and HI Trust Funds through the end of 1987. Loans cannot be made from a trust fund if its assets are below specified levels, and minimum standards are specified for the repayment of interfund loans (including a requirement for the complete repayment of all such loans before 1990). Estimates shown in this appendix for the combined trust funds are theoretical after 1987 because, under present law, no authority exists for transferring assets from one trust fund to another after 1987 except to repay amounts owed. Thus, the emphasis in this appendix on combined operations should not obscure the financial status of the individual trust funds.

Table E2 shows estimated contingency fund ratios for the three funds, separate and combined, for calendar years 1986-95, based on the four alternative sets of assumptions used in this report. The contingency fund ratio is defined to be the ratio of trust fund assets at the beginning of a year (including advance tax transfers for January, in the case of OASI and DI) to expenditures during the year, expressed as a percentage.

The estimates in table E2 indicate that no further interfund loans would be necessary during 1986-87. The contingency fund ratio for the OASI and DI Trust Funds, combined, is estimated to increase throughout the short-range projection period, except between 1986 and 1987, when the ratio remains level; this temporary leveling is attributable to the final repayment of amounts owed to the HI Trust Fund in 1986. The contingency fund ratio for the HI program increases sharply at the beginning of 1987, due to this interfund loan repayment. Under all but

alternative I, however, the HI fund ratio is estimated to begin declining within a few years thereafter. As described in the concurrent HI Annual Report, the HI Trust Fund would have sufficient assets to meet obligations throughout the medium-range period based on alternative I, but would be exhausted in 1998 based on alternative II-A, in 1996 based on alternative II-B, and in 1993 based on alternative III.

Table E2 shows that the combined assets of the OASI, DI, and HI Trust Funds will be sufficient to meet combined obligations through the end of 1995, based on all four alternative sets of assumptions. Thus, a reallocation of tax rates among the OASI, DI, and HI programs, or the extension of interfund borrowing authority beyond 1987, could be sufficient to prevent the potential financing problems of the HI program for a number of years.

TABLE E2.—ESTIMATED CONTINGENCY FUND RATIOS: FOR THE OASI, DI, AND HI TRUST FUNDS, SEPARATE AND COMBINED, BY ALTERNATIVE, CALENDAR YEARS 1986-95

| Calendar year | OASI | DI | OASDI | Н | Total OASD and H |
|-------------------|------|-----|-------|------------|---------------------|
| Alternative I: | | | | | |
| 1986 | 28 | 38 | 29 | | |
| | | | | 41 | 31 |
| 1987 | 29 | 48 | 31 | 75 | 40 |
| 1988 | 40 | 49 | 41 | 84 | 50 |
| 1989 | 57 | 58 | 57 | 90 | 64 |
| 1990 | 79 | 74 | 78 | 96 | 82 |
| 1991 | 101 | 106 | 102 | 99 | |
| 1992 | 128 | 144 | | | 101 |
| 1993 | | | 130 | 101 | 123 |
| | 152 | 178 | 155 | 101 | 142 |
| 1994 | 182 | 219 | 185 | 101 | 165 |
| 1995 | 207 | 253 | 211 | 98 | 184 |
| Alternative II-A: | | | | | ,04 |
| 1986 | 28 | 38 | 29 | 44 | |
| 1987 | | | | 41 | 31 |
| 1000 | 28 | 43 | 29 | 75 | 39 |
| 1988 | 37 | 40 | 37 | 83 | 47 |
| 1989 | 52 | 43 | 51 | 87 | 59 |
| 1990 | 69 | 50 | 67 | 88 | 72 |
| 1991 | 89 | 71 | 87 | | |
| 1992 | 109 | | | 87 | 87 |
| 1002 | | 94 | 107 | 82 | 101 |
| 1993 | 129 | 117 | 128 | 74 | 115 |
| 1994 | 150 | 139 | 149 | 63 | 128 |
| 1995 | 172 | 160 | 170 | 51 | 141 |
| Alternative II-B: | | | | 31 | 141 |
| 1986 | 28 | 00 | 00 | | |
| 1987 | | 38 | 29 | 41 | 31 |
| | 27 | 43 | 29 | 75 | 38 |
| 1988 | 35 | 38 | 35 | 81 | 44 |
| 1989 | 47 | 38 | 46 | 83 | 54 |
| 1990 | 61 | 43 | 59 | 81 | |
| 1991 | 77 | 61 | 75 | | 64 |
| 1992 | 93 | | | 77 | 76 |
| | | 80 | 92 | 70 | 86 |
| 1993 | 109 | 100 | 109 | 60 | 97 |
| 1994 | 126 | 120 | 126 | 47 | 107 |
| 1995 | 144 | 140 | 143 | 33 | 116 |
| Alternative III: | | | 140 | 33 | 110 |
| 1986 | 28 | 07 | 00 | | |
| 1987 | | 37 | 28 | 41 | 31 |
| 1000 | 27 | 39 | 28 | 72 | 37 |
| 1988 | 32 | 30 | 32 | 76 | 41 |
| 1989 | 39 | 22 | 37 | 71 | 44 |
| 1990 | 47 | 17 | 44 | 64 | 48 |
| 1991 | 54 | 20 | 51 | | |
| 1992 | | | | 51 | 51 |
| 1993 | 61 | 24 | 58 | 34 | 52 |
| 1004 | 68 | 28 | 64 | 15 | 52 |
| 1994 | 76 | 33 | 71 | | 51 |
| 1995 | 84 | 37 | 79 | (2) (2) | 49 |

¹See text for definition of contingency fund ratio.

Note: The assumptions underlying the estimates for the HI Trust Fund are described in Appendix A of the HI Annual Report. The ratios for OASDI and HI, combined, for years after the HI fund is estimated to be exhausted, are theoretical and are shown for informational purposes only.

^{*}The fund is estimated to be exhausted.

Table E3 shows estimated cost rates for the OASI, DI, and HI programs for the long-range 75-year projection period, based on the four alternative sets of assumptions. Table E3 also shows a comparison of total income and cost rates for the three programs combined. The cost rates shown for the HI program exclude the cost associated with rebuilding and maintaining the HI Trust Fund at a level suitable for a contingency reserve. Table 9 of the HI Annual Report presents these additional costs.

TABLE E3.—COMPARISON OF ESTIMATED TOTAL INCOME RATES AND COST RATES FOR THE OASI, DI, AND HI PROGRAMS, BY ALTERNATIVE, CALENDAR YEARS 1986-2060 [As a percentage of taxable payroll*]

| | | | Cost rate | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------|-----------|------|-------|--------|
| Calendar year | Total income rate | OASI | DI | HI2 | Total | Balanc |
| Uternative I: | | | | | | |
| | 14.50 | 9.89 | 1.10 | 2.58 | 13.57 | 0.9 |
| 1986 | | 9.54 | 1.02 | 2.66 | 13.23 | 1.3 |
| 1987 | 14.51 | | | 2.72 | 13.34 | 1.3 |
| 1988 | 15.25 | 9.61 | 1.00 | | | 2.0 |
| 1989 | 15.27 | 9.48 | .97 | 2.78 | 13.22 | |
| | 15.56 | 9.25 | .92 | 2.85 | 13.02 | 2. |
| 1990 | | 9.32 | .92 | 2.87 | 13.11 | 2. |
| 1991 | 15.59 | | | 2.93 | 12.96 | 2. |
| 1992 | 15.61 | 9.13 | .89 | | 13.04 | 2. |
| 1993 | 15.64 | 9.19 | .90 | 2.95 | | |
| | 15.66 | 9.03 | .88 | 3.01 | 12.92 | 2. |
| 1994 | 15.69 | 9.10 | .89 | 3.03 | 13.02 | 2. |
| 1000 | | | .92 | 3.05 | 12.00 | 3. |
| 2000 | 15.65 | 8.03 | | | | 4. |
| 2005 | 15.63 | 7.38 | 1.02 | 3.01 | 11.42 | |
| | 15.66 | 7.59 | 1.16 | 2.98 | 11.73 | 3. |
| 2010 | | 8.46 | 1.24 | 3.01 | 12.71 | 3. |
| 2015 | 15.71 | | 1.28 | 3.17 | 14.06 | 1. |
| 2020 | 15.78 | 9.61 | | | 15.22 | • |
| 2025 | 15.83 | 10.47 | 1.33 | 3.42 | | |
| | 15.86 | 10.85 | 1.28 | 3.66 | 15.80 | |
| 2030 | | 10.73 | 1.22 | 3.84 | 15.79 | |
| 2035 | 15.87 | | | 3.94 | 15.42 | |
| 2040 | 15.86 | 10.28 | 1.20 | | | |
| 2045 | 15.86 | 9.88 | 1.22 | 3.97 | 15.07 | |
| | 15.85 | 9.66 | 1.23 | 3.98 | 14.87 | |
| 2050 | | 9.56 | 1.22 | 3.99 | 14.76 | 1 |
| 2055 | 15.84 | 9.46 | 1.20 | 4.00 | 14.66 | 1 |
| 2060 | 15.84 | 9.40 | 1.20 | 4.00 | | |
| 25-year averages: | | | 00 | 2.95 | 12.36 | 3 |
| 1986-2010 | 15.52 | 8.44 | .98 | | | 1 |
| 2011-2035 | 15.79 | 9.79 | 1.27 | 3.35 | 14.40 | |
| 2036-2060 | 15.85 | 9.86 | 1.21 | 3.97 | 15.05 | |
| 75-year average: 1986-2060 | 15.72 | 9.36 | 1.15 | 3.42 | 13.94 | 1. |
| Alternative II-A: | | | | | 40.04 | |
| 1986 | 14.50 | 9.93 | 1.12 | 2.59 | 13.64 | |
| 1987 | 14.52 | 9.79 | 1.07 | 2.66 | 13.52 | 1 |
| | | 9.77 | 1.05 | 2.77 | 13.58 | 1 |
| 1988 | 15.26 | | | 2.86 | 13.57 | 1 |
| 1989 | 15.28 | 9.69 | 1.03 | | | i |
| 1990 | 15.60 | 9.67 | 1.01 | 2.96 | 13.64 | |
| | 15.60 | 9.60 | 1.00 | 3.05 | 13.66 | 1 |
| 1991 | | | .99 | 3.15 | 13.71 | 1 |
| 1992 | 15.62 | 9.57 | | 3.26 | 13.80 | i |
| 1993 | 15.65 | 9.55 | .99 | | | |
| 1994 | 15.68 | 9.54 | 1.00 | 3.35 | 13.90 | 1 |
| 1995 | 15.71 | 9.53 | 1.00 | 3.45 | 13.98 | 1 |
| | 15.69 | 8.66 | 1.09 | 3.73 | 13.48 | 2 |
| 2000 | | | 1.26 | 3.98 | 13.37 | 2 |
| 2005 | 15.67 | 8.13 | | | | ī |
| 2010 | 15.70 | 8.44 | 1.48 | 4.26 | 14.18 | |
| 2015 | 15.77 | 9.50 | 1.62 | 4.71 | 15.83 | - |
| | 15.85 | 10.96 | 1.69 | 5.33 | 17.99 | -2 |
| 2020 | | | | 6.04 | 20.03 | -4 |
| 2025 | 15.93 | 12.20 | 1.79 | | 21.42 | -5 |
| 2030 | 15.98 | 12.99 | 1.76 | 6.67 | | |
| 2035 | 16.01 | 13.22 | 1.70 | 7.06 | 21.98 | -5 |
| | 16.02 | 13.05 | 1.69 | 7.25 | 21.98 | -5 |
| 2040 | | | 1.75 | 7.31 | 21.92 | -5 |
| 2045 | 16.04 | 12.87 | | | 21.98 | -5 |
| 2050 | 16.04 | 12.88 | 1.78 | 7.33 | | |
| 2055 | 16.04 | 12.98 | 1.76 | 7.34 | 22.08 | -6 |
| 2060 | 16.04 | 13.01 | 1.74 | 7.36 | 22.11 | -6 |
| 25-year averages: | , 5, 5 | | | | | |
| | 15.55 | 8.98 | 1.14 | 3.53 | 13.65 | 1 |
| 1986-2010 | | | 1.70 | 5.74 | 18.84 | -2 |
| 2011-2035 | 15.89 | 11.40 | | 7.30 | 22.01 | -5 |
| 2036-2060 | 16.03 | 12.97 | 1.74 | 7.30 | 22.01 | |
| 75-year average: | | | | 5.52 | 18.17 | -2 |
| | | | 1.53 | | | |

TABLE E3.—COMPARISON OF ESTIMATED TOTAL INCOME RATES AND COST RATES FOR THE OASI, DI, AND HI PROGRAMS, BY ALTERNATIVE, CALENDAR YEARS 1986-2060 (Cont.)

[As a percentage of taxable payroli*]

| | Total income | | Cost ra | te | | | |
|-------------------|--------------|-------|---------|-------|----------------|--------------|--|
| Calendar year | rate | OASI | DI | HI² | Total | Balance | |
| Iternative II-B: | | | | | | | |
| 1986 | 14.51 | 9.98 | 1.13 | 2.60 | 13.71 | 0.79 | |
| 1987 | 14.52 | 9.93 | 1.09 | 2.69 | 13.71 | .82 | |
| 1988 | 15.26 | 9.99 | 1.07 | | 13.71 | | |
| 1989 | 15.28 | | | 2.82 | 13.88 | 1.38 | |
| 1000 | | 9.89 | 1.05 | 2.92 | 13.85 | 1.43 | |
| 1990 | 15.64 | 9.96 | 1.04 | 3.03 | 14.03 | 1.60 | |
| 1991 | 15.61 | 9.94 | 1.03 | 3.13 | 14.10 | 1.51 | |
| 1992 | 15.63 | 9.90 | 1.02 | 3.23 | 14.15 | 1.48 | |
| 1993 | 15.66 | 9.88 | 1.01 | 3.33 | 14.23 | 1.44 | |
| 1994 | 15.69 | 9.88 | 1.02 | 3.44 | | | |
| 1995 | 15.73 | 9.90 | 1.03 | 3.54 | 14.34 14.46 | 1.35 1.27 | |
| 0000 | | | | | | 1.27 | |
| 2000 | 15.71 | 9.13 | 1.12 | 3.90 | 14.15 | 1.56 | |
| 2005 | 15.69 | 8.64 | 1.31 | 4.21 | 14.16 | 1.53 | |
| 2010 | 15.73 | 8.96 | 1.55 | 4.56 | 15.07 | .66 | |
| 2015 | 15.80 | 10.08 | 1.69 | 5.05 | 16.82 | -1.02 | |
| 2020 | 15.88 | 11.62 | | | | | |
| 2026 | | | 1.77 | 5.71 | 19.11 | ~3.22 | |
| 2025 | 15.97 | 12.96 | 1.88 | 6.48 | 21.32 | -5.35 | |
| 2030 | 16.03 | 13.85 | 1.84 | 7.15 | 22.85 | -6.82 | |
| 2035 | 16.06 | 14.15 | 1.78 | 7.57 | 23.50 | -7.44 | |
| 2040 | 16.07 | 14.00 | 1.77 | 7.77 | 23.54 | -7.47 | |
| 2045 | 16.09 | 13.82 | 1.83 | 7.83 | | | |
| 2050 | 16.09 | 13.83 | | | 23.48 | -7.40 | |
| 2056 | | | 1.86 | 7.85 | 23.54 | -7.45 | |
| 2055 | 16.09 | 13.92 | 1.85 | 7.86 | 23.63 | -7.54 | |
| 2060 | 16.09 | 13.95 | 1.82 | 7.89 | 23.67 | -7.57 | |
| 25-year averages: | | | | | | | |
| 1986-2010 | 15.57 | 9.37 | 1.17 | 3.68 | 14.22 | 1 04 | |
| 2011-2035 | 15.92 | 12.13 | 1.78 | 6.15 | 20.06 | 1.34 | |
| 2036-2060 | 16.08 | | | | | -4.14 | |
| 75-year average: | 10.06 | 13.92 | 1.82 | 7.82 | 23.56 | -7.48 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1986-2060 | 15.86 | 11.81 | 1.59 | 5.88 | 19.28 | -3.43 | |
| ternative Iti: | | | | | | | |
| 1986 | 14.51 | 9.99 | 1.15 | 2.63 | 13.77 | .74 | |
| 1987 | 14.53 | 10.08 | 1.13 | 2.77 | 13.98 | | |
| 1988 | 15.28 | 10.54 | | | | .54 | |
| 1989 | | | 1.17 | 2.99 | 14.70 | .58 | |
| 1000 | 15.29 | 10.38 | 1.14 | 3.11 | 14.64 | .66 | |
| 1990 | 15.68 | 10.79 | 1.18 | 3.34 | 15.31 | .38 | |
| 1991 | 15.64 | 10.80 | 1.17 | 3.49 | 15.46 | .18 | |
| 1992 | 15.67 | 10.77 | 1.17 | 3.67 | 15.60 | | |
| 1993 | 15.70 | 10.76 | 1.17 | | | .06 | |
| 1994 | 15.73 | | | 3.85 | 15.77 | 07 | |
| | | 10.76 | 1.17 | 4.04 | 15.97 | 24 | |
| 1995 | 15.77 | 10.78 | 1.19 | 4.23 | 16.19 | 42 | |
| 2000 | 15.76 | 10.21 | 1.35 | 5.11 | 16.67 | 91 | |
| 2005 | 15.75 | 9.79 | 1.63 | 6.06 | 17.48 | | |
| 2010 | 15.80 | 10.25 | | | | -1.73 | |
| 2015 | | | 1.99 | 7.20 | 19.43 | -3.63 | |
| | 15.89 | 11.67 | 2.23 | 8.73 | 22.63 | -6.74 | |
| 2020 | 16.00 | 13.77 | 2.38 | 10.60 | 26.75 | -10.75 | |
| 2025 | 16.13 | 15.86 | 2.58 | 12.63 | 31.08 | -14.95 | |
| 2030 | 16.24 | 17.69 | 2.60 | | | | |
| 2035 | 16.32 | | | 14.37 | 34.66 | -18.42 | |
| | | 18.96 | 2.57 | 15.34 | 36.87 | -20.55 | |
| 2040 | 16.39 | 19.73 | 2.62 | 15.74 | 38.09 | -21.70 | |
| 2045 | 16.47 | 20.46 | 2.78 | 15.88 | 39.11 | -22.64 | |
| 2050 | 16.52 | 21.45 | 2.87 | 15.92 | 40.23 | -23.71 | |
| 2055 | 16.57 | 22.50 | 2.86 | | | | |
| 2060 | | | | 15.94 | 41.30 | -24.72 | |
| 25-year averages: | 16.61 | 23.26 | 2.82 | 16.00 | 42.08 | -25.47 | |
| 1986-2010 | 15.61 | 10.20 | 4.00 | | | | |
| 2011 2025 | | 10.30 | 1.39 | 4.79 | 16.49 | 88 | |
| 2011-2035 | 16.07 | 14.89 | 2.43 | 11.69 | 29.02 | -12.95 | |
| 2036-2060 | 16.49 | 21.14 | 2.77 | 15.85 | 39.76 | | |
| 75-year average: | | | E. / / | 13.03 | 39.70 | -23.27 | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1986-2060 | 16.06 | 15.44 | 2.20 | 10.78 | 28.42 | ~12.36 | |

The taxable payroll for HI is slightly larger than the taxable payroll for OASDI, because HI covers all Federal civilian employees, including those hired before 1984, and railroad employees. This difference is relatively small and does not significantly affect the comparisons.

The trend in long-range OASDI cost rates was described earlier in this report. The HI cost rates are estimated to increase substantially based on the four alternatives, from the current level of 2.6 percent of taxable payroll to 4.00, 7.36, 7.89, and 16.00 percent, respectively, in

^aCost rates for HI exclude amounts required for trust fund building and maintenance.

^aThe balance is the total income rate minus the combined OASDI and HI cost rate. Positive balances are surpluses, and negative balances are deficits.

2060. The most significant increases occur during 2010-35. The estimated combined OASDI and HI cost rates follow a similar pattern, rising from the current level of 13.6 percent to 14.66, 22.11, 23.67, and 42.08 percent of taxable payroll in 2060. The combined cost rates are estimated to be less than the combined income rates throughout the long-range period based on alternative I, but are estimated to exceed the combined income rates for all years after 2015, based on alternatives II-A and II-B, and for all years after 1993, based on alternative III. The combined average actuarial balances for the 75-year projection period would be a surplus of 1.79 percent of taxable payroll on the basis of alternative I, and deficits of 2.34, 3.43, and 12.36 percent on the basis of alternatives II-A, II-B, and III, respectively. For the long-range period, the estimated average income rate is 113, 73, 68, and 39 percent of the estimated average cost rate.

As noted previously in this report and in the HI Annual Report, longrange estimates such as these are subject to much uncertainty and as such should not be considered precise forecasts, but instead should be considered as indicative of the general trend and range of costs that could reasonably be expected to occur.

APPENDIX F.—PROJECTED COST AS A PERCENTAGE OF GNP FOR THE OASI, DI. AND HI PROGRAMS

In this appendix, the estimated cost of the OASI, DI, and HI programs is presented as a percentage of the Gross National Product (GNP). While expressing estimated cost as a percentage of taxable payroll is the most useful approach for assessing the financial status of the programs, (see table 28 and Appendix E), analysis of cost as a percentage of GNP provides an additional perspective on the cost of the programs in relation to the total value of goods produced and services performed in the U.S. economy.

Table F1 presents estimated OASI, DI, and HI costs as percentages of GNP on the basis of the four alternative sets of assumptions. For the next 20 years, the combined OASI and DI cost—hereafter referred to as the OASDI cost—as a percentage of GNP is projected to decline on the basis of alternatives I, II-A, and II-B, and to remain about level on the basis of alternative III. The projected HI cost as a percentage of GNP, however, increases through 2005 under all four alternatives. The combined OASDI and HI cost as a percentage of GNP is projected, for the next 20 years, to decrease based on alternative I, to remain about level based on the intermediate sets of assumptions (alternatives II-A and II-B), and to increase based on alternative III. Between 2005 and about 2030, both the OASDI and the HI costs as percentages of GNP are projected to rise substantially based on all four alternatives because of the baby-boom generation reaching retirement age. After 2030, the HI cost as a percentage of GNP is projected to stabilize, and the OASDI cost as a percentage of GNP is projected to decline slightly, except under alternative III, for which OASDI cost as a percentage of GNP is projected to continue rising. The combined OASDI and HI cost as a percentage of GNP is projected, after 2030, to follow the pattern of its largest component, OASDI cost, decreasing on the basis of alternatives I, II-A, and II-B, while increasing on the basis of alternative III.

The combined costs of the OASDI and HI programs as percentages of GNP, based on the four alternatives, differ by a relatively large amount at the end of the long-range period (about 8.2 percentage points between alternatives I and III), while differing by a much smaller amount at the end of the medium-range period (2.8 percentage points in 2010). In addition, the combined average long-range cost as a percentage of GNP varies by a relatively large amount (from 6.12 percent based on alternative I, to 10.88 percent based on alternative III), while the average medium-range cost varies by a much smaller amount (from 5.50 to 7.02 percent).

TABLE F1.—ESTIMATED COST OF THE OASI, DI, AND HI PROGRAMS AS A PERCENTAGE OF GNP BY ALTERNATIVE AND TRUST FUND, CALENDAR YEARS 1986-2060

| Calendar year | OASI | DI | OASDI | н | Total OASE and H |
|--|------|------|-------|------|---------------------|
| Iternative I: | | | 4.04 | 1.18 | 6.0 |
| 1986 | 4.35 | 0.48 | 4.84 | | |
| 1987 | 4.17 | .45 | 4.62 | 1.21 | 5.8 |
| 1988 | 4.22 | .44 | 4.66 | 1.24 | 5.9 |
| 1989 | 4.17 | .42 | 4.59 | 1.26 | 5.6 |
| 1990 | 4.02 | .40 | 4.42 | 1.28 | 5.7 |
| 1991 | 4.10 | .40 | 4.51 | 1.30 | 5.8 |
| | 4.00 | .39 | 4.40 | 1.32 | 5.7 |
| 1992 | 4.06 | .40 | 4.46 | 1.34 | 5.8 |
| 1993 | | | | 1.35 | 5.7 |
| 1994 | 3.97 | .39 | 4.35 | | |
| 1995 | 4.02 | .39 | 4.42 | 1.37 | 5.7 |
| 2000 | 3.57 | .41 | 3.99 | 1.38 | 5.3 |
| 2005 | 3.30 | .46 | 3.76 | 1.36 | 5.1 |
| 2010 | 3.39 | .52 | 3.91 | 1.34 | 5.2 |
| 2015 | 3.77 | .55 | 4.32 | 1.35 | 5.6 |
| | 4.26 | .57 | 4.83 | 1.41 | 6.2 |
| 2020 | | | 5.21 | 1.52 | 6.7 |
| 2025 | 4.62 | .59 | | | 6.9 |
| 2030 | 4.76 | .56 | 5.33 | 1.62 | |
| 2035 | 4.69 | .53 | 5.22 | 1.69 | 6.9 |
| 2040 | 4.47 | .52 | 4.99 | 1.72 | 6.7 |
| 2045 | 4.27 | .53 | 4.80 | 1.73 | 6.5 |
| 2043 | 4.16 | .53 | 4.69 | 1.72 | 6.4 |
| 2050 | 4.10 | .52 | 4.62 | 1.72 | 6.3 |
| 2055 | | | 4.55 | 1.72 | 6.2 |
| 2060 25-year averages: | 4.03 | .51 | 4.55 | 1.72 | 0.2 |
| 25-year averages. | 3.74 | .43 | 4.17 | 1.33 | 5.5 |
| 1986-2010 | | .56 | 4.88 | 1.49 | 6.3 |
| 2011-2035 | 4.32 | | | 1.72 | 6.5 |
| 2036-2060 75-year average: | 4.26 | .52 | 4.78 | 1.72 | 0.5 |
| 1986-2060 | 4.10 | .51 | 4.61 | 1.51 | 6.1 |
| Atternative II-A: | | 40 | 4.86 | 1.18 | 6.0 |
| 1986 | 4.36 | .49 | | | 5.9 |
| 1987 | 4.30 | .47 | 4.77 | 1.21 | |
| 1988 | 4.28 | .46 | 4.74 | 1.25 | 5.9 |
| 1989 | 4.24 | .45 | 4.69 | 1.29 | 5.9 |
| 1990 | 4.22 | .44 | 4.66 | 1.33 | 5.9 |
| 1991 | 4.20 | .44 | 4.64 | 1.37 | 6.0 |
| 4000 | 4.19 | .43 | 4.63 | 1.42 | 6.0 |
| 1992 | | | 4.62 | 1.46 | 6.0 |
| 1993 | 4.18 | .43 | | | |
| 1994 | 4.18 | .44 | 4.61 | 1.50 | 6.1 |
| 1995 | 4.17 | .44 | 4.61 | 1.54 | 6. |
| 2000 | 3.79 | .48 | 4.27 | 1.65 | 5.9 |
| 2005 | 3.55 | .55 | 4.10 | 1.75 | 5.8 |
| 2010 | 3.67 | .64 | 4.31 | 1.86 | 6.1 |
| | 4.10 | .70 | 4.80 | 2.04 | 6.8 |
| 2015 | | | 5.41 | 2.29 | 7.7 |
| 2020 | 4.69 | .72 | | | 8.4 |
| 2025 | 5.16 | .76 | 5.92 | 2.57 | |
| 2030 | 5.45 | .74 | 6.18 | 2.81 | 8.9 |
| 2035 | 5.49 | .71 | 6.20 | 2.94 | 9. |
| 2040 | 5.37 | .69 | 6.06 | 2.99 | 9.6 |
| | 5.24 | .71 | 5.96 | 2.99 | 8.9 |
| 2045 | | .72 | 5.92 | 2.97 | 8.0 |
| 2050 | 5.20 | | | | 8.6 |
| | 5.19 | .71 | 5.90 | 2.95 | |
| 2055 | 5.15 | .69 | 5.84 | 2.93 | 8. |
| 2060 | | | | | _ |
| 2060 25-year averages: | | | 4.40 | 4 67 | E 6 |
| 2060 25-year averages: 1986-2010 | 3.93 | .50 | 4.42 | 1.57 | |
| 2060 25-year averages: | 4.84 | .72 | 5.56 | 2.44 | 5.9 8.0 |
| 2060 25-year averages: 1986-2010 | | | | | |

TABLE F1.—ESTIMATED COST OF THE OASI, DI, AND HI PROGRAMS AS A PERCENTAGE OF GNP BY ALTERNATIVE AND TRUST FUND, CALENDAR YEARS 1986-2060 (Cont.)

| Calendar year | OASI | DI | OASDI | н | Total OASI and I |
|--|--|--|--|--|---|
| Alternative II-B: | | | | | |
| 1986 | 4.39 | 0.50 | 4.88 | 1.19 | 6.0 |
| 1987 | 4.35 | .48 | 4.83 | 1.22 | 6.0 |
| 1988 | 4.35 | .47 | 4.82 | 1.27 | 6.0 |
| 1989 | 4.30 | .45 | 4.75 | 1.31 | 6.0 |
| 1990 | 4.30 | .45 | 4.75 | 1.35 | |
| 1991 | 4.30 | .45 | | | 6.1 |
| | | | 4.75 | 1.39 | 6.1 |
| 1992 | 4.29 | .44 | 4.73 | 1.44 | 6.1 |
| 1993 | 4.28 | .44 | 4.72 | 1.48 | 6.2 |
| 1994 | 4.28 | .44 | 4.72 | 1.52 | 6.2 |
| 1995 | 4.28 | .44 | 4.73 | 1.56 | 6.2 |
| 2000 | 3.94 | .49 | 4.42 | 1.70 | 6.1 |
| 2005 | 3.70 | .56 | 4.27 | 1.82 | 6.0 |
| 2010 | 3.81 | .66 | 4.46 | 1.94 | |
| 2015 | | | | | 6.4 |
| | 4.23 | .71 | 4.94 | 2.13 | 7.0 |
| 2020 | 4.81 | .73 | 5.54 | 2.37 | 7.9 |
| 2025 | 5.29 | .77 | 6.05 | 2.65 | 8.7 |
| 2030 | 5.57 | .74 | 6.31 | 2.89 | 9.1 |
| 2035 | 5.61 | .71 | 6.31 | 3.01 | 9.3 |
| 2040 | 5.47 | .69 | 6.16 | 3.05 | 9.2 |
| 2045 | 5.32 | .70 | 6.03 | 3.03 | 9.0 |
| 2050 | 5.25 | .71 | 5.96 | | |
| | 5.21 | | | 2.99 | 8.9 |
| 2055 | | .69 | 5.90 | 2.96 | 8.8 |
| 2060 25-year averages: | 5.15 | .67 | 5.82 | 2.93 | 8.7 |
| 1986-2010 | 4.05 | .51 | 4.55 | 1.61 | 6.1 |
| 2011-2035 | 4.96 | .73 | 5.69 | | |
| 2036-2060 | 5.32 | | | 2.52 | 8.2 |
| 75-year average: | 5.32 | .70 | 6.01 | 3.00 | 9.0 |
| 1986-2060 | 4.77 | .64 | 5.42 | 2.38 | 7.8 |
| Alternative III: | | | | | |
| 1986 | 4.38 | .50 | 4.89 | 1.20 | 6.0 |
| 1987 | 4.41 | .50 | 4.90 | 1.26 | 6.1 |
| 1988 | 4.55 | .51 | 5.06 | 1.34 | 6.4 |
| 1989 | 4.49 | .49 | 4.98 | 1.39 | 6.3 |
| 1990 | 4.63 | .51 | 5.14 | 1.48 | 6.6 |
| 1991 | 4.63 | .50 | | | |
| | | | 5.14 | 1.54 | 6.6 |
| 1992 | 4.62 | .50 | 5.12 | 1.61 | 6.7 |
| 1993 | 4.62 | .50 | 5.12 | 1.69 | 6.8 |
| 1994 | 4.61 | .50 | 5.12 | 1.77 | 6.8 |
| 1995 | 4.62 | .51 | 5.13 | 1.85 | 6.9 |
| 2000 | 4.32 | .57 | 4.89 | 2.19 | 7.0 |
| 2005 | 4.10 | .68 | 4.78 | | |
| | 4.23 | | | 2.55 | 7.3 |
| | | .82 | 5.05 | 2.98 | 8.0 |
| 2010 | | | | 3.55 | 9.1 |
| 2015 | 4.73 | .90 | 5.64 | | |
| 2015 2020 | 4.73 5.48 | .95 | 6.43 | 4.23 | |
| 2015 2020 2025 | 4.73 | | | | 10.€ |
| 2015 2020 | 4.73 5.48 | .95 | 6.43 7.20 | 4.23 4.95 | 10. 6 12.1 |
| 2015 2020 2025 2030 | 4.73 5.48 6.19 6.78 | .95 1.01 1.00 | 6.43 7.20 7.77 | 4.23 4.95 5.52 | 10.6 12.1 13.2 |
| 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 | 4.73 5.48 6.19 6.78 7.13 | .95 1.01 1.00 .97 | 6.43 7.20 7.77 8.10 | 4.23 4.95 5.52 5.78 | 10.6 12.1 13.2 13.8 |
| 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 2040 | 4.73 5.48 6.19 6.78 7.13 7.28 | .95 1.01 1.00 .97 .97 | 6.43 7.20 7.77 8.10 8.25 | 4.23 4.95 5.52 5.78 5.83 | 10.6 12.1 13.2 13.8 14.0 |
| 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 2040 2045 | 4.73 5.48 6.19 6.78 7.13 7.28 7.41 | .95 1.01 1.00 .97 .97 1.01 | 6.43 7.20 7.77 8.10 8.25 8.41 | 4.23 4.95 5.52 5.78 5.83 5.77 | 10.6 12.1 13.2 13.8 14.0 14.1 |
| 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 2040 2045 2050 | 4.73 5.48 6.19 6.78 7.13 7.28 7.41 7.62 | .95 1.01 1.00 .97 .97 1.01 1.02 | 6.43 7.20 7.77 8.10 8.25 8.41 8.64 | 4.23 4.95 5.52 5.78 5.83 5.77 5.67 | 10.6 12.1 13.2 13.8 14.0 14.1 14.3 |
| 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 2040 2045 2050 | 4.73 5.48 6.19 6.78 7.13 7.28 7.41 7.62 7.84 | .95 1.01 1.00 .97 .97 1.01 1.02 1.00 | 6.43 7.20 7.77 8.10 8.25 8.41 8.64 8.84 | 4.23 4.95 5.52 5.78 5.83 5.77 5.67 5.58 | 10.6 12.7 13.2 13.8 14.0 14.7 14.3 |
| 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 2040 2045 2050 2050 2050 | 4.73 5.48 6.19 6.78 7.13 7.28 7.41 7.62 | .95 1.01 1.00 .97 .97 1.01 1.02 | 6.43 7.20 7.77 8.10 8.25 8.41 8.64 | 4.23 4.95 5.52 5.78 5.83 5.77 5.67 | 10.6 12. 13.2 13.6 14.0 14.1 14.2 |
| 2015 2020 2025 2030 2036 2040 2045 2050 2055 2060 25-year averages: | 4.73 5.48 6.19 6.78 7.13 7.28 7.41 7.62 7.84 7.96 | .95 1.01 1.00 .97 .97 1.01 1.02 1.00 | 6.43 7.20 7.77 8.10 8.25 8.41 8.64 8.84 | 4.23 4.95 5.52 5.78 5.83 5.77 5.67 5.58 5.49 | 10.6 12.1 13.2 13.8 14.0 14.1 14.3 14.4 |
| 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 2040 2045 2050 2055 2050 2055 2060 25-year averages: 1986-2010 | 4.73 5.48 6.19 6.78 7.13 7.28 7.41 7.62 7.84 7.96 | .95 1.01 1.00 .97 .97 1.01 1.02 1.00 .96 | 6.43 7.20 7.77 8.10 8.25 8.41 8.64 8.84 8.92 | 4.23 4.95 5.52 5.78 5.83 5.77 5.67 5.58 5.49 | 10.6 12.1 13.2 13.8 14.0 14.1 14.3 14.4 7.0 |
| 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 2040 2045 2050 2055 2060 25-year averages: 1986-2010 2011-2035 | 4.73 5.48 6.19 6.78 7.13 7.28 7.41 7.62 7.84 7.96 | .95 1.01 1.00 .97 .97 1.01 1.02 1.00 .96 | 6.43 7.20 7.77 8.10 8.25 8.41 8.64 8.84 8.92 | 4.23 4.95 5.52 5.78 5.83 5.77 5.67 5.58 5.49 2.05 4.59 | 10.6 12.1 13.2 13.8 14.0 14.1 14.3 14.4 7.0 |
| 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 2040 2045 2050 2055 2060 25-year averages: 1986-2010 2011-2035 2036-2060 | 4.73 5.48 6.19 6.78 7.13 7.28 7.41 7.62 7.84 7.96 | .95 1.01 1.00 .97 .97 1.01 1.02 1.00 .96 | 6.43 7.20 7.77 8.10 8.25 8.41 8.64 8.84 8.92 | 4.23 4.95 5.52 5.78 5.83 5.77 5.67 5.58 5.49 | 10.6 12.1 13.2 13.8 14.0 14.1 14.2 14.4 7.0 |
| 2015 2020 2025 2030 2035 2040 2045 2050 2055 2060 25-year averages: 1986-2010 2011-2035 | 4.73 5.48 6.19 6.78 7.13 7.28 7.41 7.62 7.84 7.96 | .95 1.01 1.00 .97 .97 1.01 1.02 1.00 .96 | 6.43 7.20 7.77 8.10 8.25 8.41 8.64 8.84 8.92 | 4.23 4.95 5.52 5.78 5.83 5.77 5.67 5.58 5.49 2.05 4.59 | 10.6 12.1 13.2 13.6 14.0 14.1 14.4 14.4 7.0 11.3 |

The difference between cost rates expressed as percentages of taxable payroll and those expressed as percentages of GNP can be seen by analyzing the estimated ratios of taxable payroll to GNP, which are presented in table F2. The cost as a percentage of GNP is approximately equal to the cost as a percentage of taxable payroll multiplied by the ratio of taxable payroll to GNP.

Projections of GNP for the first several years were based on assumed quarterly changes in real GNP and the GNP price deflator. Thereafter, projections of GNP were based on the projected increases in U.S.

employment and labor productivity. Productivity projections were based on assumed changes in the level of average earnings, the ratio of earnings to worker compensation, the ratio of worker compensation to GNP, and average hours worked per year.

Projections of taxable payroll, which are described in detail in Appendix A, were based on the projected increases in covered employment and average taxable earnings. Therefore, the projected increases in taxable payroll differ from projected increases in GNP primarily to the extent that average taxable earnings are assumed to increase more slowly than is productivity and to the extent that coverage of U.S. employment changes. For simplicity of presentation, table F2 is based on the projected OASDI taxable payroll even though the projected HI taxable payroll is slightly larger in the first part of the projection period, because of the complete coverage of Federal employees.

TABLE F2.—RATIO OF TAXABLE PAYROLL TO GNP BY ALTERNATIVE, CALENDAR YEARS 1986-2060

| | | II-A | II-B | - 11 |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Calendar year | | | | 0.438 |
| 1986 | 0.440 | 0.440 | 0.439 | .43 |
| 1987 | .437 | .439 | .438 | |
| | .439 | .438 | .435 | .432 |
| 1988 | .439 | .438 | .434 | .43 |
| 1989 | .435 | .437 | .432 | .43 |
| 1990 | .440 | .438 | .433 | .42 |
| 1991 | .438 | .438 | .433 | .42 |
| 1992 | | .438 | .434 | .42 |
| 1993 | .442 | .438 | .433 | .42 |
| 1994 | .439 | | .433 | .42 |
| 1995 | .442 | .438 | .455 | |
| | .445 | .438 | .432 | .42 |
| 2000 | .447 | .437 | .429 | .41 |
| 2005 | | .435 | .425 | .41 |
| 2010 | .447 | .432 | .420 | .40 |
| 2015 | .445 | | .414 | .39 |
| 2020 | .443 | .427 | 408 | .39 |
| 2025 | .441 | .423 | .402 | .38 |
| 2030 | .439 | .419 | | .37 |
| 2035 | .437 | .415 | .396 | .36 |
| 2040 | .435 | .411 | .391 | |
| | .433 | .408 | .385 | .36 |
| 2045 | .431 | .404 | .380 | .35 |
| 2050 | 429 | .400 | .374 | .34 |
| 2055 | .427 | 396 | .369 | .34 |
| 2060 | .421 | | | |

The long-range trend in the ratio of taxable payroll to GNP is projected to be downward, because of an assumed continuation of decreases in the ratio of wages to total employee compensation—i.e., wages plus fringe benefits. The ratio of wages to total employee compensation is assumed to decline ultimately by 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4 percent per year for alternatives I, II-A, II-B, and III, respectively. This ratio declined at average annual rates of 0.43 percent for the 30 years 1955-84, and 0.49 percent for the 10 years 1975-84.

Through 2015, however, the tendency toward decreases in the ratio of taxable payroll to GNP, discussed above, is at least partially offset by the gradually expanding OASDI coverage of Federal civilian employment resulting from the 1983 amendments. For alternative I, the ratio of taxable payroll to GNP is projected to rise slightly through 2005 before starting to decrease. For alternative II-A, the ratio is projected to stay about the same from 1986 through 2000 before beginning to decrease. For alternatives II-B and III, decreases in the ratio of taxable payroll to GNP are projected to occur throughout the long-range period.

APPENDIX G.—STATEMENT OF ACTUARIAL OPINION

It is my opinion that (1) the techniques and methodology used herein to evaluate the financial and actuarial status of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance Trust Funds are generally accepted within the actuarial profession; and (2) the assumptions used and the resulting actuarial estimates are, in the aggregate, reasonable for the purpose of evaluating the financial and actuarial status of the trust funds, taking into consideration the experience and expectations of the program.

Harry C. Ballantyne,

Associate of the Society of Actuaries, Member of the American Academy of Actuaries, Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration