

THE 2018 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE BOARD OF
TRUSTEES OF THE FEDERAL OLD-AGE AND SURVIVORS
INSURANCE AND FEDERAL DISABILITY INSURANCE
TRUST FUNDS

COMMUNICATION

FROM

THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES, FEDERAL OLD-AGE AND
SURVIVORS INSURANCE AND FEDERAL DISABILITY
INSURANCE TRUST FUNDS

TRANSMITTING

THE 2018 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE
FEDERAL OLD-AGE AND SURVIVORS INSURANCE AND FEDERAL
DISABILITY INSURANCE TRUST FUNDS



LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

**BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE
FEDERAL OLD-AGE AND SURVIVORS INSURANCE AND
FEDERAL DISABILITY INSURANCE TRUST FUNDS,
Washington, D.C., June 5, 2018**


HON. PAUL D. RYAN,
Speaker of the House of Representatives.

HON. MICHAEL R. PENCE,
President of the Senate.

DEAR MR. SPEAKER AND MR. PRESIDENT:

We have the honor of transmitting to you the 2018 Annual Report of the Board of Trustees of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Federal Disability Insurance Trust Funds, the 78th such report.

Respectfully,



STEVEN T. MNUCHIN,
*Secretary of the Treasury,
and Managing Trustee of the Trust Funds.*



R. ALEXANDER ACOSTA,
*Secretary of Labor,
and Trustee.*



ALEX M. AZAR II,
*Secretary of Health and Human Services,
and Trustee.*



NANCY A. BERRYHILL,
*Acting Commissioner
of Social Security, and Trustee.*

VACANT,
Public Trustee.

VACANT,
Public Trustee.



MARK J. WARSHAWSKY,
*Deputy Commissioner
for Retirement and Disability Policy,
Social Security Administration,
and Acting Secretary, Board of Trustees.*

CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. OVERVIEW	2
A. HIGHLIGHTS	2
B. TRUST FUND FINANCIAL OPERATIONS IN 2017	7
C. ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE FUTURE	9
D. PROJECTIONS OF FUTURE FINANCIAL STATUS	10
E. CONCLUSION	23
III. FINANCIAL OPERATIONS OF THE TRUST FUNDS AND LEGISLATIVE CHANGES IN THE LAST YEAR	25
A. OPERATIONS OF THE OLD-AGE AND SURVIVORS INSURANCE (OASI) AND DISABILITY INSURANCE (DI) TRUST FUNDS, IN CALENDAR YEAR 2017	25
1. OASI Trust Fund	25
2. DI Trust Fund	30
3. OASI and DI Trust Funds, Combined	32
B. SOCIAL SECURITY AMENDMENTS SINCE THE 2017 REPORT	37
IV. ACTUARIAL ESTIMATES	38
A. SHORT-RANGE ESTIMATES	40
1. Operations of the OASI Trust Fund	41
2. Operations of the DI Trust Fund	44
3. Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds	47
4. Factors Underlying Changes in 10-Year Trust Fund Ratio Estimates From Last Year's Report	49
B. LONG-RANGE ESTIMATES	51
1. Annual Income Rates, Cost Rates, and Balances	52
2. Comparison of Workers to Beneficiaries	61
3. Trust Fund Ratios and Test of Long-Range Close Actuarial Balance	64
4. Summarized Income Rates, Summarized Cost Rates, and Actuarial Balances	67
5. Open Group Unfunded Obligation	71
6. Reasons for Change in Actuarial Balance From Last Report ..	73

V. ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS UNDERLYING ACTUARIAL ESTIMATES	80
A. DEMOGRAPHIC ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS	81
1. Fertility Assumptions	81
2. Mortality Assumptions	82
3. Immigration Assumptions	86
4. Total Population Estimates	92
5. Life Expectancy Estimates	94
B. ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS	98
1. Productivity Assumptions	99
2. Price Inflation Assumptions	99
3. Average Earnings Assumptions	101
4. Assumed Real-Wage Differential	104
5. Labor Force and Unemployment Projections	106
6. Gross Domestic Product Projections	109
7. Interest Rates	110
C. PROGRAM-SPECIFIC ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS	114
1. Automatically Adjusted Program Parameters	114
2. Covered Employment	122
3. Insured Population	123
4. Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Beneficiaries	125
5. Disability Insurance Beneficiaries	132
6. Covered and Taxable Earnings, Taxable Payroll, and Payroll Tax Contributions	142
7. Income From Taxation of Benefits	147
8. Average Benefits	148
9. Scheduled Benefits	148
10. Illustrative Scheduled Benefit Amounts	149
11. Administrative Expenses	151
12. Railroad Retirement Financial Interchange	151
VI. APPENDICES	153
A. HISTORY OF OASI AND DI TRUST FUND OPERATIONS	153
B. HISTORY OF ACTUARIAL STATUS ESTIMATES	164
C. FISCAL YEAR HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED TRUST FUND OPERATIONS THROUGH 2027	170
D. LONG-RANGE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS	177
1. Total Fertility Rate	177
2. Death Rates	178
3. Immigration	180
4. Real-Wage Differential	181
5. Consumer Price Index	182
6. Real Interest Rate	184

7. Taxable Ratio	185
8. Disability Incidence Rates	186
9. Disability Termination Rates	187
E. STOCHASTIC PROJECTIONS AND UNCERTAINTY	189
1. Background	189
2. Stochastic Methodology	189
3. Stochastic Results	191
4. Comparison of Results: Stochastic to Low-Cost, Intermediate, and High-Cost Alternatives	193
F. INFINITE HORIZON PROJECTIONS	199
G. ESTIMATES FOR OASDI AND HI, SEPARATE AND COMBINED	203
1. Estimates as a Percentage of Taxable Payroll	203
2. Estimates as a Percentage of Gross Domestic Product	209
3. Estimates in Dollars	214
H. ANALYSIS OF BENEFIT DISBURSEMENTS FROM THE OASI TRUST FUND WITH RESPECT TO DISABLED BENEFICIARIES	226
I. GLOSSARY	230
LIST OF TABLES	248
LIST OF FIGURES	253
INDEX	255
STATEMENT OF ACTUARIAL OPINION	260

**THE 2018 ANNUAL REPORT OF THE BOARD OF
TRUSTEES OF THE FEDERAL OLD-AGE AND
SURVIVORS INSURANCE AND FEDERAL DISABILITY
INSURANCE TRUST FUNDS**

I. INTRODUCTION

The Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (OASDI) program makes monthly income available to insured workers and their families at retirement, death, or disability. The OASDI program consists of two parts. Retired workers, their families, and survivors of deceased workers receive monthly benefits under the Old-Age and Survivors Insurance (OASI) program. Disabled workers and their families receive monthly benefits under the Disability Insurance (DI) program.

The Social Security Act established the Board of Trustees to oversee the financial operations of the OASI and DI Trust Funds. The Board is composed of six members. Four members serve by virtue of their positions in the Federal Government: the Secretary of the Treasury, who is the Managing Trustee; the Secretary of Labor; the Secretary of Health and Human Services; and the Commissioner of Social Security. The President appoints and the Senate confirms the other two members to serve as public representatives. These two positions are currently vacant. The Deputy Commissioner of the Social Security Administration serves as Secretary of the Board.

The Social Security Act requires that the Board, among other duties, report annually to the Congress on the actuarial status and financial operations of the OASI and DI Trust Funds. The 2018 report is the 78th such report.

II. OVERVIEW

A. HIGHLIGHTS

This section summarizes the report's major findings.

In 2017

At the end of 2017, the OASDI program was providing benefit payments¹ to about 62 million people: 45 million retired workers and dependents of retired workers, 6 million survivors of deceased workers, and 10 million disabled workers and dependents of disabled workers. During the year, an estimated 174 million people had earnings covered by Social Security and paid payroll taxes on those earnings. Total expenditures in 2017 were \$952 billion. Total income was \$997 billion, which consisted of \$911 billion in non-interest income and \$85 billion in interest earnings. Asset reserves held in special issue U.S. Treasury securities grew from \$2,848 billion at the beginning of the year to \$2,892 billion at the end of the year.

Short-Range Results

Under the Trustees' intermediate assumptions, Social Security's total cost is projected to exceed its total income in 2018 for the first time since 1982, and remain higher throughout the projection period. Social Security's cost has exceeded its non-interest income since 2010. For 2018, cost for the program is projected to exceed total income by \$2 billion and non-interest income by \$85 billion. As a result, asset reserves will decline during 2018. Reserves are also projected to decline throughout the remainder of the short-range period.

To illustrate the actuarial status of the Social Security program as a whole, the operations of the OASI and DI funds are often shown on a combined basis as OASDI. However, by law, the two funds are separate entities and therefore the combined fund operations and reserves are hypothetical.

The reserves of the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds along with projected program income are adequate to cover projected program cost over the next 10 years under the intermediate assumptions. The ratio of reserves to annual cost declines from 288 percent at the beginning of 2018 to 137 percent at the beginning of 2027. By remaining at or above 100 percent, the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds therefore satisfy the short-range test of financial adequacy.² Considered separately, the OASI Trust Fund also satisfies the

¹ The definitions of "benefit payments" and other terms appear in the Glossary.

² The test of short-range financial adequacy for a trust fund is met if (1) the estimated trust fund ratio is at least 100 percent at the beginning of the period and remains at or above 100 percent throughout the 10-year short-range period or (2) the ratio is initially less than 100 percent, reaches at least 100 percent within 5 years (without reserve depletion at any time during this period) and remains at or above 100 percent throughout the remainder of the 10-year short-range period.

test, but the DI Trust Fund does not. For last year's report, the Trustees projected that combined reserves would be 287 percent of annual cost at the beginning of 2018 and 148 percent at the beginning of 2027. The combined reserves are projected to decrease from \$2,892 billion at the beginning of 2018 to \$2,189 billion at the end of 2027.

Long-Range Results

Under the Trustees' intermediate assumptions, OASDI cost is projected to exceed total income throughout the projection period, and the dollar level of the hypothetical combined trust fund reserves declines until reserves become depleted in 2034.¹ Figure II.D2 shows the implications of reserve depletion for the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds. Considered separately, the DI Trust Fund reserves become depleted in 2032 and the OASI Trust Fund reserves become depleted in 2034. In last year's report, the projected reserve depletion years were 2034 for OASDI, 2028 for DI, and 2035 for OASI.

The change in the reserve depletion date for DI is largely due to continuing favorable experience for DI applications and benefit awards. Disability applications have been declining steadily since 2010, and the total number of disabled-worker beneficiaries in current payment status has been falling since 2014. For this report, ultimate disability incidence rate assumptions are unchanged from the last report. However, this year's report has lower incidence rates over the first few years of the projection period, and a gradual rise from recent low levels, reaching the ultimate DI incidence rates by the end of the short-range period. In addition, average benefit levels for disabled-worker beneficiaries were lower than expected in 2017, and are expected to be lower in the future. Disabled-worker average benefit levels were somewhat elevated in 2011 through 2016 due to reduced numbers of hearings decisions (where monthly benefit levels tend to be relatively low), as the number of applicants awaiting a hearing increased. In 2017, hearings decisions increased, thus restoring a more normal, and somewhat lower, average benefit level for disabled workers newly awarded benefits in 2017. See page 38 for more details on these changes in DI projections. These changes, which are partially offset by lower payroll tax revenue in the near term, are primarily responsible for the change in the DI reserve depletion

¹ Combined trust fund reserves are clearly hypothetical after one fund becomes depleted, because under current law the funds cannot borrow from each other. For example, if the DI Trust Fund reserves were to become depleted in 2032 as is currently projected, the operations of the OASI and DI Trust Funds, shown in this report on a hypothetical combined basis, would not reflect the aggregated operation of the OASI Trust Fund and the DI Trust Fund because part of the DI benefits could not be paid without a change in the law. Implicitly, the values shown for the hypothetical combined trust funds assume the law will have been changed to permit the transfer of resources between funds as needed.

Overview

date from early in 2028 in last year's report to late in 2032 in this year's report.

Projected OASDI cost increases more rapidly than projected non-interest income through 2039 primarily because the retirement of the baby-boom generation will increase the number of beneficiaries much faster than the number of covered workers increases, as subsequent lower-birth-rate generations replace the baby-boom generation at working ages. From 2040 to 2052, the cost rate (the ratio of program cost to taxable payroll) generally declines because the aging baby-boom generation is gradually replaced at retirement ages by subsequent lower-birth-rate generations. Thereafter, increases in life expectancy cause OASDI cost to increase generally relative to non-interest income, but more slowly than between 2010 and 2039.

The projected OASDI annual cost rate increases from 13.81 percent of taxable payroll for 2018 to 16.83 percent for 2039 and to 17.68 percent for 2092, a level that is 4.32 percent of taxable payroll more than the projected income rate (the ratio of non-interest income to taxable payroll) for 2092. For last year's report, the Trustees estimated the OASDI cost for 2092 at 17.84 percent, or 4.53 percent of payroll more than the annual income rate for that year. Expressed in relation to the projected gross domestic product (GDP), OASDI cost generally rises from 4.9 percent of GDP for 2018 to about 6.1 percent by 2038, then declines to 5.9 percent by 2052, and then generally increases to 6.1 percent by 2092.

For the 75-year projection period, the actuarial deficit is 2.84 percent of taxable payroll, increased from 2.83 percent of taxable payroll in last year's report. The closely-related open group unfunded obligation for OASDI over the 75-year period is 2.68 percent of taxable payroll, increased from 2.66 percent of payroll in last year's report. The open group unfunded obligation for OASDI over the 75-year period is \$13.2 trillion in present value and is \$0.7 trillion more than the measured level of \$12.5 trillion a year ago. If the assumptions, methods, starting values, and the law had all remained unchanged, the actuarial deficit would have increased to 2.88 percent of taxable payroll, and the unfunded obligation would have risen to about 2.72 percent of taxable payroll and \$13.1 trillion in present value due to the change in the valuation date. The remaining changes in the actuarial deficit and the unfunded obligation are due to the combined effects of changes in the law, methods, starting values, and assumptions.

To illustrate the magnitude of the 75-year actuarial deficit, consider that for the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds to remain fully solvent throughout the 75-year projection period: (1) revenues would have to increase by an amount equivalent to an immediate and permanent payroll tax rate increase

Highlights

of 2.78 percentage points¹ to 15.18 percent, (2) scheduled benefits would have to be reduced by an amount equivalent to an immediate and permanent reduction of about 17 percent applied to all current and future beneficiaries, or about 21 percent if the reductions were applied only to those who become initially eligible for benefits in 2018 or later; or (3) some combination of these approaches would have to be adopted.

If substantial actions are deferred for several years, the changes necessary to maintain Social Security solvency would be concentrated on fewer years and fewer generations. Much larger changes would be necessary if action is deferred until the combined trust fund reserves become depleted in 2034. For example, maintaining 75-year solvency with changes that begin in 2034 would require: (1) an increase in revenues by an amount equivalent to a permanent 3.87 percentage point payroll tax rate increase to 16.27 percent starting in 2034, (2) a reduction in scheduled benefits by an amount equivalent to a permanent 23 percent reduction in all benefits starting in 2034, or (3) some combination of these approaches would have to be adopted.

Conclusion

Under the intermediate assumptions, DI Trust Fund asset reserves are projected to become depleted in 2032, at which time continuing income to the DI Trust Fund would be sufficient to pay 96 percent of DI scheduled benefits. The OASI Trust Fund reserves are projected to become depleted in 2034, at which time OASI income would be sufficient to pay 77 percent of OASI scheduled benefits.

The Trustees also project that annual cost for the OASDI program will exceed total income (including interest) throughout the projection period under the intermediate assumptions. The projected hypothetical combined OASI and DI Trust Fund asset reserves become depleted and unable to pay scheduled benefits in full on a timely basis in 2034. At the time of depletion of these combined reserves, continuing income to the combined trust funds would be sufficient to pay 79 percent of scheduled benefits. Lawmakers have a broad continuum of policy options that would close or reduce Social Security's long-term financing shortfall. Cost estimates for many such policy options are available at www.ssa.gov/OACT/solvency/provisions/.

¹ The necessary tax rate of 2.78 percent differs from the 2.84 percent actuarial deficit for two reasons. First, the necessary tax rate is the rate required to maintain solvency throughout the period that does not result in any trust fund reserve at the end of the period, whereas the actuarial deficit incorporates an ending trust fund reserve equal to 1 year's cost. Second, the necessary tax rate reflects a behavioral response to tax rate changes, whereas the actuarial deficit does not. In particular, the calculation of the necessary tax rate assumes that an increase in payroll taxes results in a small shift of wages and salaries to forms of employee compensation that are not subject to the payroll tax.

Overview

The Trustees recommend that lawmakers address the projected trust fund shortfalls in a timely way in order to phase in necessary changes gradually and give workers and beneficiaries time to adjust to them. Implementing changes sooner rather than later would allow more generations to share in the needed revenue increases or reductions in scheduled benefits and could preserve more trust fund reserves to help finance future benefits. Social Security will play a critical role in the lives of 63 million beneficiaries and 175 million covered workers and their families during 2018. With informed discussion, creative thinking, and timely legislative action, Social Security can continue to protect future generations.

B. TRUST FUND FINANCIAL OPERATIONS IN 2017

Table II.B1 shows the income, expenditures, and asset reserves for the OASI, the DI, and the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds in calendar year 2017.

Table II.B1.—Summary of 2017 Trust Fund Financial Operations
[In billions]

	OASI	DI	OASDI
Asset reserves at the end of 2016.....	\$2,801.3	\$46.3	\$2,847.7
Total income in 2017.....	<u>825.6</u>	<u>171.0</u>	<u>996.6</u>
Net payroll tax contributions.....	706.5	167.1	873.6
Reimbursement from General Fund of the Treasury... ^a	^a	^a	^a
Taxation of benefits.....	35.9	2.0	37.9
Interest.....	83.2	1.9	85.1
Total expenditures in 2017.....	<u>806.7</u>	<u>145.8</u>	<u>952.5</u>
Benefit payments.....	798.7	142.8	941.5
Railroad Retirement financial interchange.....	4.3	.2	4.5
Administrative expenses.....	3.7	2.8	6.5
Net increase in asset reserves in 2017.....	19.0	25.1	44.1
Asset reserves at the end of 2017.....	2,820.3	71.5	2,891.8

^a Less than \$50 million.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

In 2017, net payroll tax contributions accounted for 88 percent of total trust fund income. Net payroll tax contributions consist of taxes paid by employees, employers, and the self-employed on earnings covered by Social Security. These taxes are paid on covered earnings up to a specified maximum annual amount, which was \$127,200 in 2017. Table II.B2 shows the payroll tax rates for 2017.

Four percent of OASI and DI combined Trust Fund income in 2017 came from subjecting up to 50 percent of Social Security benefits to Federal personal income taxation for beneficiaries with income (including half of benefits and all non-taxable interest received) exceeding specified levels. Interest earned on invested trust fund asset reserves accounted for 9 percent of OASDI income. The remaining income to the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds, less than 0.01 percent, came from reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury.¹

¹ Public Laws 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96 account for most of the reimbursement for the year. These acts specified General Fund reimbursement for temporary reductions in revenue due to reduced payroll tax rates for employees and for self-employed workers for 2011 and 2012.

Overview

The Department of the Treasury invests trust fund reserves in interest-bearing securities issued by the U.S. Government. In 2017, the combined trust fund reserves earned interest at an effective annual rate of 3.0 percent.

Almost 99 percent of expenditures from the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds in 2017 were retirement, survivor, and disability benefits totaling \$941.5 billion. A net payment of \$4.5 billion was made to the Railroad Retirement Social Security Equivalent Benefit Account from the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds, which was about 0.5 percent of total OASDI expenditures. The administrative expenses of the Social Security program were \$6.5 billion, which was about 0.7 percent of total expenditures.

The trust fund investments provide the basis for paying benefits. Combined trust fund reserves increased by \$44.1 billion for 2017 because income to each fund, including interest earned on trust fund reserves, exceeded total expenditures. At the end of 2017, the combined reserves of the OASI and the DI Trust Funds were \$2,892 billion, or 288 percent of estimated expenditures¹ for 2018. In comparison, the combined reserves at the end of 2016 were 299 percent of actual expenditures for 2017.

Table II.B2.—Payroll Tax Contribution Rates for 2017
[In percent]

	OASI	DI	OASDI
Payroll tax contribution rate for employees	5.015	1.185	6.20
Payroll tax contribution rate for employers	5.015	1.185	6.20
Payroll tax contribution rate for self-employed persons	10.030	2.370	12.40

Note: Section 833 of Public Law 114-74, the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015, requires a temporary reallocation of the payroll tax rates between the OASI and DI Trust Funds. For earnings in calendar years 2016 through 2018, this section increases from 1.80 percent to 2.37 percent the portion of the total 12.40 percent OASDI payroll tax that is directed to the DI Trust Fund. There is a corresponding decrease in the portion of the tax rates directed to the OASI Trust Fund for these years.

¹ Estimated expenditures are based on the intermediate set of assumptions.

C. ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE FUTURE

The future income and expenditures of the OASI and DI Trust Funds will depend on many factors, including the size and characteristics of the population receiving benefits, the level of monthly benefit amounts, the size of the workforce, and the level of covered workers’ earnings. These factors will depend in turn on future birth rates, death rates, immigration, marriage and divorce rates, retirement-age patterns, disability incidence and termination rates, employment rates, productivity gains, wage increases, inflation, interest rates, and many other demographic, economic, and program-specific factors.

Table II.C1 presents key demographic, economic, and programmatic assumptions for three alternative scenarios. The intermediate assumptions reflect the Trustees’ best estimates of future experience. Therefore, most of the figures in this overview present outcomes under the intermediate assumptions only. Any projection of the future is, of course, uncertain. For this reason, the Trustees also present results under low-cost and high-cost alternatives to provide a range of possible future experience. The actual future costs are unlikely to be as extreme as those portrayed by the low-cost or high-cost projections. A separate section on the uncertainty of the projections, beginning on page 18, highlights the implications of these alternative scenarios.

The Trustees reexamine the assumptions each year in light of recent experience and new information. This annual review helps to ensure that the Trustees’ assumptions provide the best estimate of future possibilities.

Table II.C1.—Long-Range Values^a of Key Assumptions for the 75-year Projection Period

Long-range assumptions	Intermediate	Low-cost	High-cost
Demographic:			
Total fertility rate (children per woman), for 2027 and later	2.0	2.2	1.8
Average annual percentage reduction in total age-sex-adjusted death rates from 2017 to 2092.77	.41	1.15
Average annual net immigration (in thousands) for 2018 to 2092.	1,272	1,607	952
Economic:			
Average annual percentage change in:			
Productivity (total U.S. economy), for 2028 and later	1.68	1.98	1.38
Average wage in covered employment from 2028 to 2092	3.80	5.02	2.58
Consumer Price Index (CPI-W), for 2021 and later.	2.60	3.20	2.00
Average annual real-wage differential (percent) for 2028 to 2092.	1.20	1.82	.58
Unemployment rate (percent, age-sex-adjusted), for 2027 and later	5.5	4.5	6.5
Annual trust fund real interest rate (percent), for 2028 and later.	2.7	3.2	2.2
Programmatic:			
Disability incidence rate (per 1,000 exposed, age-sex-adjusted) in 2092.	5.4	4.3	6.4
Disability recovery rate (per 1,000 beneficiaries, age-sex-adjusted) in 2092.	10.3	12.5	8.2

^a See chapter V for details, including historical and projected values.


D. PROJECTIONS OF FUTURE FINANCIAL STATUS

Short-Range Actuarial Estimates

For the short-range period (2018 through 2027), the Trustees measure financial adequacy by comparing projected asset reserves at the beginning of each year to projected program cost for that year under the intermediate set of assumptions. Maintaining a trust fund ratio of 100 percent or more—that is, reserves at the beginning of each year at least equal to projected cost for the year—is a good indication that the trust fund can cover most short-term contingencies. The projected trust fund ratios under the intermediate assumptions for the OASI Trust Fund exceed 100 percent throughout the short-range period. Therefore, OASI satisfies the Trustees’ short-term test of financial adequacy. The DI Trust Fund fails the Trustees’ short-term test of financial adequacy. The Trustees estimate that the DI trust fund ratio was at 48 percent at the beginning of 2018. The projected DI trust fund ratio increases to 62 percent at the beginning of 2019, largely due to the temporary payroll tax rate reallocation for 2016 through 2018 from OASI to DI enacted in the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015, and then declines through the end of the short-range period. On a combined basis, OASDI also satisfies the Trustees’ short-term test of financial adequacy. Figure II.D1 shows that the trust fund ratio for the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds declines consistently after 2010, but remains above 100 percent throughout the short-range period.

Projected OASDI cost exceeds total income (including interest) in 2018 and throughout the short-range period. In addition, the combined OASI and DI Trust Fund reserves will decline in 2018 and throughout the short-range period. The trust fund ratio also declines throughout the short-range period, as shown in figure II.D1.

Figure II.D1.—Short-Range OASI and DI Combined Trust Fund Ratio
 [Asset reserves as a percentage of annual cost, under Intermediate Assumptions]



Long-Range Actuarial Estimates

The Trustees use three types of measures to assess the actuarial status of the program over the next 75 years: (1) annual cash-flow measures, including income rates, cost rates, and balances; (2) trust fund ratios; and (3) summary measures such as actuarial balances and open group unfunded obligations. The Trustees express these measures as percentages of taxable payroll, as percentages of gross domestic product (GDP), or in dollars. The Trustees also present summary measures over the infinite horizon in appendix F. The infinite horizon values, which are subject to much greater uncertainty, provide an additional indication of Social Security’s very-long-run financial condition.

The Trustees also apply a test of long-range close actuarial balance each year. To satisfy the test, a trust fund must meet two conditions: (1) the trust fund satisfies the test of short-range financial adequacy, and (2) the trust fund ratio stays above zero throughout the 75-year projection period, such that benefits would be payable in a timely manner throughout the period. The

Overview

OASI, DI, and combined OASI and DI Trust Funds all fail the test of long-range close actuarial balance under the intermediate assumptions.

Annual Income Rates, Cost Rates, and Balances

Figure II.D2 illustrates the year-by-year relationship among OASDI income (excluding interest), cost (including scheduled benefits), and expenditures (including payable benefits) for the full 75-year period (2018 through 2092). The figure shows all values as percentages of taxable payroll. Under the intermediate assumptions, demographic factors would by themselves cause the projected cost rate to rise rapidly for the next two decades before leveling off in about 2035. However, the recent recession temporarily depressed taxable earnings and increased the number of beneficiaries, which in turn sharply, but temporarily, increased the cost rate starting in 2009. From a peak in 2013, the cost rate declines through 2017 under the economic recovery and thereafter returns to a gradually rising trend. The projected income rate is stable at about 13 percent throughout the 75-year period.

Annual OASDI cost exceeded non-interest income in 2010 for the first time since 1983. The Trustees project that cost will continue to exceed non-interest income throughout the 75-year valuation period. Beginning in 2018, cost exceeds total income, and combined OASI and DI Trust Fund reserves diminish until they become depleted in 2034. After trust fund reserve depletion, continuing income is sufficient to support expenditures at a level of 79 percent of program cost for the rest of 2034, declining to 74 percent for 2092. Figure II.D2 depicts OASDI operations as a combined whole. However, under current law, the differences between scheduled and payable benefits would begin at different times for the program's two trust funds: in 2032 for DI and in 2034 for OASI.

Figure II.D2.—OASDI Income, Cost, and Expenditures as Percentages of Taxable Payroll
 [Under Intermediate Assumptions]





Figure II.D3 shows the estimated number of covered workers per OASDI beneficiary. Figures II.D2 and II.D3 illustrate the inverse relationship between cost rates and the number of workers per beneficiary. In particular, the projected future increase in the cost rate reflects a projected decline in the number of covered workers per beneficiary. There were about 2.8 workers for every OASDI beneficiary in 2017. This ratio had been stable, remaining between 3.2 and 3.4 from 1974 through 2008, and has declined since then due to the economic recession and the beginning of the demographic shift that will continue to drive this ratio down over the next 20 years. The Trustees project that the ratio of workers to beneficiaries will continue to decline due to this demographic shift, as workers of lower-birth-rate generations replace workers of the baby-boom generation. The ratio of workers to beneficiaries reaches 2.2 by 2035 when the baby-boom generation will have largely retired, and will generally decline very gradually thereafter due to increasing longevity.


Overview

Figure II.D3.—Number of Covered Workers Per OASDI Beneficiary
[Under Intermediate Assumptions]



Another important way to look at Social Security’s future is to view its annual cost and non-interest income as a share of U.S. economic output (GDP). As shown in figure II.D4, the Trustees project that Social Security’s cost as a percent of GDP will grow from 4.9 percent in 2018 to about 6.1 percent by 2038, then decline to 5.9 percent by 2052, and generally increase thereafter to 6.1 percent by 2092. Social Security’s non-interest income is projected to drop to 4.5 percent in 2018, then increase to about 4.8 percent of GDP by 2028. Thereafter, non-interest income as a percent of GDP declines gradually, to about 4.6 percent by 2092, because the Trustees expect the share of employee compensation provided as noncovered fringe benefits to increase gradually.

Figure II.D4.—OASDI Cost and Non-interest Income as a Percentage of GDP
 [Under Intermediate Assumptions]



Trust Fund Ratios

The trust fund ratio is defined as the asset reserves at the beginning of a year expressed as a percentage of the cost during the year. The trust fund ratio thus represents the proportion of a year’s cost which could be paid solely with the reserves at the beginning of the year. Table II.D1 displays the projected maximum trust fund ratios during the long-range period for the OASI, DI, and combined OASI and DI funds. The table also shows the year of maximum projected trust fund ratio during the long-range projection period (2018 through 2092) and the year of trust fund asset reserve depletion. Each trust fund ratio has been generally declining in recent years. OASI reached a peak level of 402 in 2011, DI will reach a peak level of 62 in 2019, and OASDI reached a peak level of 358 in 2008.

Table II.D1.—Projected Maximum Trust Fund Ratios During the Long-Range Period and Trust Fund Reserve Depletion Dates
 [Under Intermediate Assumptions]

	OASI	DI	OASDI
Maximum projected trust fund ratio (percent)	330	62	288
Year attained	2018	2019	2018
Projected year of trust fund reserve depletion	2034	2032	2034

Overview

Summary Measures

The actuarial balance is a summary measure of the program's financial status through the end of the 75-year valuation period. The actuarial balance measure includes the trust fund asset reserves at the beginning of the period, all cost and income during the valuation period, and the cost of reaching a target trust fund reserve of one year's cost by the end of the period. Therefore, the actuarial balance is essentially the difference between the present values of income and cost from 1937 through the end of the valuation period. Actuarial balance is expressed as a percentage of the taxable payroll for the 75-year valuation period. A negative actuarial balance is called an actuarial deficit. The actuarial deficit represents the average amount of change in income or cost that is needed throughout the valuation period in order to achieve actuarial balance.

In this report, the actuarial deficit for the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds under the intermediate assumptions is 2.84 percent of taxable payroll. The actuarial deficit was 2.83 percent in the 2017 report. If the assumptions, methods, starting values, and the law had all remained unchanged from last year, the actuarial deficit would have increased to 2.88 percent of payroll solely due to advancing the valuation period by 1 year.


Another way to illustrate the projected financial shortfall of the OASDI program is to examine the cumulative present value of scheduled income less cost. Figure II.D5 shows the present value of cumulative OASDI income less cost from the inception of the program through each of the years from 2017 to 2092. A positive value represents the present value of trust fund reserves at the end of the selected year. A negative value is the unfunded obligation through the selected year. The asset reserves of the combined trust funds were \$2.9 trillion at the end of 2017. The trust fund reserves decline on a present value basis after 2017, but remain positive through 2033. However, after 2033 this cumulative amount becomes negative, which means that the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds have a net unfunded obligation through each year after 2033. Through the end of 2092, the combined funds have a present-value unfunded obligation of \$13.2 trillion. If the assumptions, methods, starting values, and the law had all remained unchanged from last year, the unfunded obligation would have risen to about \$13.1 trillion due to the change in the valuation date.

This unfunded obligation represents 2.68 percent of taxable payroll (increased from 2.66 percent in last year's report) and 1.0 percent of GDP (increased from 0.9 percent in last year's report) for the 75-year valuation period. The unfunded obligation as a share of taxable payroll (2.68 percent)

and the actuarial deficit (2.84 percent) are similar measures, but differ because the actuarial deficit includes the cost of having an ending trust fund reserve equal to 1 year’s cost.

Figures II.D2, II.D4, and II.D5 show that the program’s financial condition is worsening at the end of the projection period. Trends in annual balances and cumulative values toward the end of the 75-year period provide an indication of the program’s ability to maintain solvency beyond 75 years. Consideration of summary measures alone for a 75-year period can lead to incorrect perceptions and to policy prescriptions that do not achieve sustainable solvency.¹

Figure II.D5.—Cumulative Scheduled OASDI Income Less Cost, From Program Inception Through Years 2017-2092
 [Present value as of January 1, 2018, in trillions, under Intermediate Assumptions]



Appendix F presents summary measures over the infinite horizon. The infinite horizon values provide an additional indication of Social Security’s financial condition for the period beginning with the inception of the program and extending indefinitely into the future, but results are subject to

¹ Sustainable solvency for the financing of the program under a specified set of assumptions has been achieved when the projected trust fund ratio is positive throughout the 75-year projection period and is either stable or rising at the end of the period.

Overview


much greater uncertainty. Extending the horizon beyond 75 years increases the measured unfunded obligation. Through the infinite horizon, the unfunded obligation, or shortfall, is equivalent to 4.0 percent of future taxable payroll or 1.3 percent of future GDP.

Uncertainty of the Projections

Significant uncertainty surrounds the intermediate assumptions. The Trustees use several methods to help illustrate that uncertainty.

A first approach uses alternative scenarios reflecting low-cost (alternative I) and high-cost (alternative III) sets of assumptions. Figure II.D6 shows the projected trust fund ratios for the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds under the intermediate, low-cost, and high-cost assumptions. The figure indicates that the combined trust funds are projected to become depleted in 2034 under the intermediate alternative, remain above 100 percent of annual cost throughout the projection period under the low-cost alternative, and become depleted in 2030 under the high-cost alternative. The low-cost alternative includes a higher ultimate total fertility rate, slower improvement in mortality, a higher real-wage differential, a higher ultimate real interest rate, a higher ultimate annual change in the CPI, and a lower unemployment rate. The high-cost alternative, in contrast, includes a lower ultimate total fertility rate, more rapid improvement in mortality, a lower real-wage differential, a lower ultimate real interest rate, a lower ultimate annual change in the CPI, and a higher unemployment rate. These alternatives are not intended to suggest that all parameters would be likely to differ from the intermediate values in the specified directions, but are intended to illustrate the effect of clearly defined scenarios that are, on balance, very favorable or unfavorable for the program's financial status. Actual future costs are unlikely to be as extreme as those portrayed by the low-cost or high-cost projections. The method for constructing the low-cost and high-cost projections does not lend itself to estimating the probability that actual experience will lie within or outside the range they define.

Figure II.D6.—Long-Range OASI and DI Combined Trust Fund Ratios Under Alternative Scenarios
 [Asset reserves as a percentage of annual cost]



Appendix D of this report presents long-range sensitivity analysis for the OASDI program. By varying one parameter at a time, sensitivity analysis provides a second approach for illustrating the uncertainty surrounding projections into the future.


A third approach uses 5,000 independently generated stochastic simulations that reflect randomly assigned annual values for most of the key parameters. These simulations produce a distribution of projected outcomes and corresponding probabilities that future outcomes will fall within or outside a given range. The results of the stochastic simulations, discussed in more detail in appendix E, suggest that trust fund reserve depletion (i.e., the point at which the trust fund ratio reaches zero) is very likely by mid-century. In particular, figure II.D7 suggests that based on these stochastic simulations, trust fund asset reserves will become depleted between 2030 and 2043 with a 95-percent confidence.

The stochastic results suggest that trust fund ratios as high as the low-cost alternative are very unlikely. However, the relationship between the stochastic results and the low-cost and high-cost alternatives may change as the methodology for the stochastic simulations is further developed. As noted in

Overview

appendix E, future improvements and refinements are expected to be more likely to expand than to reduce the indicated range of uncertainty.

Figure II.D7.—Long-Range OASI and DI Combined Trust Fund Ratios From Stochastic Modeling



Changes From Last Year's Report

The projected long-range OASDI actuarial deficit increased from 2.83 percent of taxable payroll for last year's report to 2.84 percent of taxable payroll for this year's report. The change in the 75-year projection period alone would have increased the actuarial deficit to 2.88 percent. Changes in law, methods, starting values, and assumptions combined to decrease the actuarial deficit by 0.04 percent of taxable payroll. For a detailed description of the specific changes identified in table II.D2, see section IV.B.6.

**Table II.D2.—Reasons for Change in the 75-Year Actuarial Balance,
Based on Intermediate Assumptions**
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Item	OASI	DI	OASDI
Shown in last year's report:			
Income rate	11.97	1.87	13.84
Cost rate	14.56	2.11	16.67
Actuarial balance	-2.59	-24	-2.83
Changes in actuarial balance due to changes in:			
Legislation / Regulation00	.00	.00
Valuation period ^a	-.05	-.01	-.06
Demographic data and assumptions00	-.01	-.01
Economic data and assumptions	-.01	.00	-.01
Disability data and assumptions	-.01	.02	.01
Methods and programmatic data02	.03	.05
Total change in actuarial balance	-.05	.03	-.02
Shown in this report:			
Actuarial balance	-2.63	-.21	-2.84
Income rate	11.99	1.86	13.84
Cost rate	14.62	2.07	16.69


^a The change in the 75-year valuation period from last year's report to this report means that the 75-year actuarial balance now includes the relatively large negative annual balance for 2092. This change in the valuation period results in a larger long-range actuarial deficit. The actuarial deficit includes the trust fund reserve at the beginning of the projection period.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Figure II.D8 compares this year's projections of annual balances (non-interest income minus cost) to those in last year's report. The annual balances in this year's report are lower (more negative) through 2024, noticeably higher from 2025 until about 2060, very similar from 2060 until about 2085, and then higher for the remainder of the projection period. For the full 75-year projection period, the annual balances average 0.06 percentage point higher. See page 79 for details.

Overview

Figure II.D8.—OASDI Annual Balances: 2017 and 2018 Trustees Reports
[As a percentage of taxable payroll, under the intermediate assumptions]



E. CONCLUSION

Under current law, the projected cost of Social Security increases faster than projected income through 2039 primarily because the ratio of workers paying taxes to beneficiaries receiving benefits will decline as the baby-boom generation ages and is replaced at working ages with subsequent lower birth-rate generations. While the effects of the aging baby boom and subsequent lower birth rates will have stabilized after 2039, annual cost will continue to grow faster than income, but to a lesser degree, reflecting continuing increases in life expectancy. Based on the Trustees' intermediate assumptions, Social Security's cost exceeds total income throughout the 75-year projection period.

The OASI Trust Fund and the DI Trust Fund are projected to have sufficient reserves to pay full benefits on time until 2034 and 2032, respectively. Legislative action will be needed to prevent reserve depletion in those years. In the absence of such legislation, continuing income to the trust funds at the time of reserve depletion would be sufficient to pay 77 percent of OASI benefits and 96 percent of DI benefits.

Social Security's combined trust funds would cover full payment of scheduled benefits on a timely basis until the trust fund reserves become depleted in 2034. (Full payment of benefits until combined reserve depletion in 2034 implicitly assumes that the law will have been changed to permit the transfer of funds between OASI and DI as needed.) At that time, projected continuing income to the combined trust funds equals about 79 percent of the program cost. By 2092, continuing income equals about 74 percent of the program cost.

The 75-year actuarial deficit for the combined trust funds under the intermediate assumptions is 2.84 percent of taxable payroll, increased from the 2.83 percent deficit in last year's report. To illustrate the magnitude of the deficit, consider that for the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds to remain fully solvent throughout the 75-year projection period: (1) revenues would have to be increased by an amount equivalent to an immediate and permanent payroll tax rate increase of 2.78 percentage points to 15.18 percent; (2) scheduled benefits would have to be reduced by an amount equivalent to an immediate and permanent reduction of about 17 percent applied to all current and future beneficiaries, or about 21 percent if the reductions were applied only to those who become initially eligible for benefits in 2018 or later; or (3) some combination of these approaches would have to be adopted. If actions are deferred for several years, the changes necessary to

Overview

maintain Social Security solvency become concentrated on fewer years and fewer generations.

If lawmakers design legislative solutions only to eliminate the overall actuarial deficit without consideration of year-by-year financing, then a substantial financial imbalance could remain at the end of the period, and the long-range sustainability of program financing could still be in doubt. Sustainable solvency for the financing of the program under a specified set of assumptions is achieved when the projected trust fund ratio is positive throughout the long-range period and is either stable or rising at the end of the period. Making changes now that achieve sustainable solvency could avoid the need for later legislative changes.

Lawmakers have a broad continuum of policy options that would close or reduce Social Security's long-term financing shortfall. Cost estimates for many such policy options are available at www.ssa.gov/OACT/solvency/provisions/. Broadly speaking, the approaches that lawmakers can take include increasing revenues from workers and employers by raising the tax rate or the maximum level of taxable earnings, or by dedicating revenues from other sources; lowering benefits for some or all beneficiaries by changing certain program parameters; or a combination of these approaches. There are countless variations on these options, including those that vary the timing, magnitude, and other specifics of the changes under consideration.

The Trustees recommend that lawmakers address the projected trust fund shortfalls in a timely way in order to phase in necessary changes gradually and give workers and beneficiaries time to adjust to them. Implementing changes sooner rather than later would allow more generations to share in the needed revenue increases or reductions in scheduled benefits and could preserve more trust fund reserves to help finance future benefits. Social Security will play a critical role in the lives of 63 million beneficiaries and 175 million covered workers and their families during 2018. With informed discussion, creative thinking, and timely legislative action, Social Security can continue to protect future generations.

For further information related to the contents of this report, see the following websites:

- www.ssa.gov/OACT/tr/2018/
- www.ssa.gov/OACT/solvency/provisions/
- www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Statistics-Trends-and-Reports/ReportsTrustFunds/
- www.treasury.gov/resource-center/economic-policy/ss-medicare/Pages/Soc-Sec-and-Medicare.aspx

III. FINANCIAL OPERATIONS OF THE TRUST FUNDS AND LEGISLATIVE CHANGES IN THE LAST YEAR

A. OPERATIONS OF THE OLD-AGE AND SURVIVORS INSURANCE (OASI) AND DISABILITY INSURANCE (DI) TRUST FUNDS, IN CALENDAR YEAR 2017

This section presents detailed information on the operations of the OASI and DI Trust Funds¹ during calendar year 2017. Chapter IV provides projections for calendar years 2018 through 2095.

1. OASI Trust Fund

Table III.A1 presents a statement of the income and disbursements of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund in calendar year 2017, and of the asset reserves in the fund at the beginning and end of the calendar year. As shown in this table, total trust fund receipts in 2017 amounted to \$825.6 billion, while disbursements totaled \$806.7 billion, an increase in trust fund reserves during 2017 of \$19.0 billion.

Total receipts during calendar year 2017 included \$709.2 billion in payroll tax contributions. These contributions include initial appropriations of payroll taxes, made on an estimated basis, and adjustments to appropriations for prior years to reflect actual tax receipts. The OASI fund paid the General Fund \$2.7 billion for the estimated amount of employee payroll-tax refunds, partially offsetting these gross contributions. Employees who work for more than one employer during a year and pay contributions on total earnings in excess of the contribution and benefit base are eligible for such refunds. Net payroll tax contributions were therefore \$706.5 billion in 2017.

Net reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury amounted to \$17 million in 2017. As shown in the table, adjustments to prior year receipts based on Public Law 111-312, the Tax Relief, Unemployment Insurance Reauthorization, and Job Creation Act of 2010, Public Law 112-78, the Temporary Payroll Tax Cut Continuation Act of 2011, and Public Law 112-96, the Middle Class Tax Relief and Job Creation Act of 2012, account for most of the reimbursement for the year, or about \$11 million. These acts specified General Fund reimbursement for temporary reductions in employee and self-employment payroll taxes for earnings in 2011 and 2012.

¹ See www.ssa.gov/oact/ProgData/fundsQuery.html.

Financial Operations and Legislative Changes

The remaining \$6 million of the reimbursements from the General Fund in 2017 was almost entirely due to the provisions of Public Law 110-246, the Food, Conservation, and Energy Act of 2008. This act specified General Fund reimbursement for reductions in self-employment payroll taxes.

Income to the OASI Trust Fund based on the taxation of OASI benefits amounted to \$35.9 billion in 2017. As first required by the 1983 Social Security Amendments, this income comes from two separate sources: (1) Federal income taxation on up to 50 percent of an individual's or couple's OASI benefits under certain circumstances, and (2) a tax withheld from the benefits paid to certain nonresident alien beneficiaries. For the direct Federal income tax portion, Treasury transfers estimated amounts to the OASI Trust Fund in advance at the beginning of each calendar quarter. Treasury makes subsequent adjustments based on the actual amounts shown on annual income tax records. There were no such adjustments made in 2017. The amount of income from direct Federal income taxation on OASI benefits constituted approximately 99 percent of income from benefit taxation. The remaining one percent of the income from benefit taxation is the amounts withheld from the benefits paid to nonresident aliens.

In 2017, the OASI Trust Fund earned \$83.2 billion in net interest, which consisted of: (1) interest earned on the investments held by the trust fund, (2) interest on adjustments in the allocation of administrative expenses between the trust fund and the General Fund account for the Supplemental Security Income program, (3) interest arising from the revised allocation of administrative expenses among the trust funds, and (4) interest on certain reimbursements to the trust fund.

The remaining receipts, about \$374 thousand, consisted of gifts received under the provisions authorizing the deposit of monetary gifts or bequests in the trust funds.

Calendar Year 2017 Operations

Table III.A1.—Operations of the OASI Trust Fund, Calendar Year 2017

[In millions]

Total asset reserves, December 31, 2016		<u>\$2,801,349</u>
Receipts:		
Net payroll tax contributions:		
Payroll tax contributions ^a	\$709,246	
Payments from the General Fund of the Treasury for payroll tax contributions subject to refund ^a	<u>-2,741</u>	
Net payroll tax contributions ^a		706,505
Reimbursements from the General Fund:		
Reduction in payroll tax contributions due to P.L. 111-312, P.L. 112-78, and P.L. 112-96 ^a	11	
Reimbursements directed by P.L. 110-246	6	
Payroll tax credits due to P.L. 98-21 ^a	<u>b</u>	
Net General Fund reimbursements ^a		17
Income based on taxation of benefit payments:		
Withheld from benefit payments to nonresident aliens	207	
All other, not subject to withholding	<u>35,670</u>	
Total income from taxation of benefits		35,877
Investment income and interest adjustments:		
Interest on investments	83,230	
Interest adjustments ^c	<u>1</u>	
Total investment income and interest adjustments		83,231
Gifts		<u>b</u>
Total receipts		<u>825,630</u>
Disbursements:		
Benefit payments:		
Monthly benefits and lump-sum death payments ^d	798,722	
Reimbursement from the General Fund for unnegotiated checks	<u>-36</u>	
Payment for costs of vocational rehabilitation services for disabled beneficiaries	6	
Net benefit payments ^d		798,692
Financial interchange with the Railroad Retirement "Social Security Equivalent Benefit Account" ^e		4,316
Administrative expenses:		
Costs incurred by:		
Social Security Administration	3,044	
Department of the Treasury	632	
Offsetting miscellaneous receipts	<u>-10</u>	
Miscellaneous reimbursements from the General Fund ^e	-4	
Net administrative expenses		3,661
Total disbursements		<u>806,669</u>
Net increase in asset reserves		<u>18,961</u>
Total invested assets	2,820,368	
Undisbursed balances ^f	<u>-59</u>	
Total asset reserves, December 31, 2017		<u>2,820,309</u>

^a Includes adjustments for prior calendar years.

^b Between -\$0.5 and \$0.5 million.

^c Includes: (1) interest on adjustments in the allocation of administrative expenses between the trust fund and the General Fund account for the Supplemental Security Income program, (2) interest arising from the revised allocation of administrative expenses among the trust funds, and (3) interest on certain reimbursements to the trust fund.

^d Includes net reductions for the recovery of overpayments.

^e Reimbursements for costs incurred in performing certain legislatively mandated activities not directly related to administering the OASI program.

^f A negative balance represents a situation where the actual program cash expenditures exceeded the amount of invested securities of the OASI Trust Fund that were redeemed to pay for such expenditures. In this situation, future redemption of additional invested securities will be required to pay for this shortfall.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Financial Operations and Legislative Changes

Of the \$806.7 billion in total OASI disbursements in 2017, \$798.7 billion were for net benefit payments, including recovered overpayments, reimbursements from the General Fund for unnegotiated checks, and the reimbursable costs of vocational rehabilitation services.¹ Net benefit payments increased by 3.9 percent from calendar year 2016 to calendar year 2017. This increase is due primarily to: (1) an increase in the average number of beneficiaries during the year and (2) an increase in the average monthly benefit amount. The increase in the average benefit amount in 2017 was due in part to the automatic cost-of-living benefit increase of 0.3 percent which became effective for December 2016 under the automatic-adjustment provisions in section 215(i) of the Social Security Act. In addition, new beneficiaries tend to have higher monthly benefit amounts than previous beneficiary cohorts.

The Railroad Retirement Act requires an annual financial interchange between the Railroad Retirement program and the OASDI program. The purpose of the interchange is to put the OASI and DI Trust Funds in the same financial position in which they would have been had railroad employment always been covered directly by Social Security. The Railroad Retirement Board and the Social Security Administration calculated an interchange of \$4.3 billion from the OASI Trust Fund to the Social Security Equivalent Benefit Account for June 2017.

The remaining \$3.7 billion of disbursements from the OASI Trust Fund were for net administrative expenses. The Social Security Administration charges administrative expenses incurred to administer the OASI program directly to the trust fund on an estimated basis. Periodically, as actual expenses are recorded, adjustments are made to the allocations of administrative expenses for prior periods. These adjustments affect the OASI Trust Fund, the DI Trust Fund, the Hospital Insurance (HI) Trust Fund, the Supplementary Medical Insurance (SMI) Trust Fund, and the General Fund account for the Supplemental Security Income program, and include appropriate interest adjustments. As described earlier, the trust fund accounting records such interest adjustments under investment income.

For 2017, the cost incurred by the Social Security Administration to administer the OASI program was 83 percent of OASI net administrative expenses. The Social Security Administration charged such costs to the trust fund in the amount of \$3.0 billion in 2017. In addition, the Department of the Treasury charged the trust fund \$0.6 billion in 2017 for services provided in

¹ Vocational rehabilitation services under the OASI program are furnished to disabled widow(er) beneficiaries and to those children of retired or deceased workers who receive benefits based on disabilities that began before age 22. The trust funds reimburse the providers of such services only in those cases where the services contributed to the successful rehabilitation of the beneficiary.

Calendar Year 2017 Operations

administering the OASI program. A relatively small offset to administrative expenses of \$10 million in 2017 represents income from miscellaneous receipts due to the trust fund, which may include refunds, penalties, fees, and other receipts.

Finally, the General Fund of the Treasury makes net reimbursements for administrative costs incurred by the Social Security Administration in performing legislatively mandated activities that are not directly related to the OASI program. These reimbursements include \$3 million in costs associated with union activities related to administering the OASI program and \$2 million in costs of providing information to participants in certain pension plans in 2017. These miscellaneous reimbursements round to \$4 million in 2017.

The asset reserves shown for the OASI Trust Fund at the end of calendar year 2017 totaled \$2,820.3 billion, consisting of \$2,820.4 billion in U.S. Government obligations and, as an offset, an extension of credit of \$59 million against securities to be redeemed within the first few days of the following year. The effective annual rate of interest earned by the reserves in the OASI Trust Fund during calendar year 2017 was 3.0 percent, slightly lower than the 3.1 percent earned during calendar year 2016. Table VI.A4, presented in appendix A, shows a detailed listing of OASI Trust Fund holdings by type of security, interest rate, and year of maturity at the end of calendar years 2016 and 2017.

By law, the Department of the Treasury must invest trust fund reserves in interest-bearing securities backed by the full faith and credit of the United States Government. The securities currently held by the OASI Trust Fund are entirely special issue securities sold by the Treasury only to the trust funds. These special issues are of two types: short-term certificates of indebtedness and longer-term bonds. Daily trust fund receipts are invested in the short-term certificates of indebtedness which mature on the next June 30 following the date of issue. The trust fund normally acquires long-term special-issue bonds when special issue securities of either type mature on June 30 and must be reinvested. The amount of long-term bonds acquired on June 30 is equal to the amount of special issue securities maturing (including accrued interest earnings), plus tax receipts for that day, less amounts required to meet expenditures on that day.

Section 201(d) of the Social Security Act provides that the obligations issued for purchase by the OASI and DI Trust Funds shall have maturities fixed with due regard for the needs of the funds. The usual practice has been to reinvest the maturing special issue securities, as of each June 30, so that the

Financial Operations and Legislative Changes

value of the securities maturing in each of the next 15 years are approximately equal. Accordingly, the Department of the Treasury, in consultation with the Chief Actuary of the Social Security Administration, selected the amounts and maturity dates of the special-issue bonds purchased on June 30, 2017, so that the maturity dates of the total portfolio of special issue securities were spread evenly over the 15-year period 2018 through 2032. The bonds purchased on that date have an interest rate of 2.250 percent, reflecting the average market yield, as of the last business day of the prior month, on all of the outstanding marketable U.S. obligations that are due or callable more than 4 years in the future. Table III.A7 shows additional details on the investment transactions during 2017, including the amounts of bonds purchased on June 30, 2017.

2. DI Trust Fund

Table III.A2 presents a statement of the income and disbursements of the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund in calendar year 2017, and of the asset reserves in the fund at the beginning and end of the calendar year.

Line entries in the DI statement are similar to those in the OASI statement. The explanations of the OASI entries generally apply to DI as well.

Of the \$171.0 billion in total receipts, \$167.1 billion was net payroll tax contributions.

Of the \$145.8 billion of total disbursements, \$142.8 billion was net benefit payments. The total level of net benefit payments in 2017 was essentially unchanged from total net benefit payments paid in 2016, largely due to a decrease in the number of beneficiaries, and an offsetting increase in average benefit amounts. Non-interest income, and total income, exceeded total disbursements in 2017 due primarily to the temporary reallocation of the payroll tax rate from OASI to DI for years 2016 through 2018. DI total disbursements exceeded non-interest income from 2005 to 2015, and exceeded total income to the trust fund from 2009 to 2015.

Calendar Year 2017 Operations

Table III.A2.—Operations of the DI Trust Fund, Calendar Year 2017

[In millions]

Total asset reserves, December 31, 2016		<u>\$46,338</u>
Receipts:		
Net payroll tax contributions:		
Payroll tax contributions ^a	\$167,730	
Payments from the General Fund of the Treasury for payroll tax contributions subject to refund ^a	-642	
Net payroll tax contributions ^a		167,087
Reimbursements from the General Fund:		
Reduction in payroll tax contributions due to P.L. 111-312, P.L. 112-78, and P.L. 112-96 ^a	2	
Reimbursements directed by P.L. 110-246	1	
Payroll tax credits due to P.L. 98-21 ^a	b	
Net General Fund reimbursements ^a		3
Income based on taxation of benefit payments:		
Withheld from benefit payments to nonresident aliens	4	
All other, not subject to withholding	1,969	
Total income from taxation of benefits		1,973
Investment income and interest adjustments:		
Interest on investments	1,886	
Interest adjustments ^c	2	
Total investment income and interest adjustments		1,888
Gifts		—
Total receipts		<u>170,951</u>
Disbursements:		
Benefit payments:		
Monthly benefits ^d	142,740	
Reimbursement from the General Fund for unnegotiated checks	-19	
Payment for costs of vocational rehabilitation services for disabled beneficiaries	86	
Net benefit payments ^d		142,806
Financial interchange with the Railroad Retirement “Social Security Equivalent Benefit Account”		207
Administrative expenses:		
Costs incurred by:		
Social Security Administration	2,668	
Department of the Treasury	119	
Offsetting miscellaneous receipts	b	
Demonstration projects	12	
Miscellaneous reimbursements from the General Fund ^e	-3	
Net administrative expenses		2,796
Total disbursements		<u>145,809</u>
Net increase in asset reserves		<u>25,142</u>
Total invested assets	71,624	
Undisbursed balances ^f	-144	
Total asset reserves, December 31, 2017		<u>71,480</u>

^a Includes adjustments for prior calendar years.

^b Between -\$0.5 and \$0.5 million.

^c Includes: (1) interest on adjustments in the allocation of administrative expenses between the trust fund and the General Fund account for the Supplemental Security Income program, (2) interest arising from the revised allocation of administrative expenses among the trust funds, and (3) interest on certain reimbursements to the trust fund.

^d Includes net reductions for the recovery of overpayments.

^e Reimbursements for costs incurred in performing legislatively mandated activities not directly related to administering the DI program.

^f A negative balance represents a situation where the actual program cash expenditures exceeded the amount of invested securities of the DI Trust Fund that were redeemed to pay for such expenditures. In this situation, future redemption of additional invested securities will be required to pay for this shortfall.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Financial Operations and Legislative Changes

During 2017, the reserves in the DI Trust Fund increased by \$25.1 billion, from \$46.3 billion at the end of 2016 to \$71.5 billion at the end of 2017. This \$71.5 billion consisted of \$71.6 billion in U.S. Government obligations and, as an offset, an extension of credit of \$144 million against securities to be redeemed within the first few days of the following year. The effective annual rate of interest earned by the asset reserves in the DI Trust Fund during calendar year 2017 was 3.2 percent, somewhat lower than the 3.6 percent earned during calendar year 2016. Table VI.A5 shows a detailed listing of DI Trust Fund holdings by type of security, interest rate, and year of maturity at the end of calendar years 2016 and 2017.

Section 201(d) of the Social Security Act provides that the Treasury securities issued for purchase by the OASI and DI Trust Funds shall have maturities fixed with due regard for the needs of the funds. Each year, bond purchases for each trust fund are made on June 30, taking into account the projected reserve depletion date in the most recently issued Trustees Report. The usual practice has been to reinvest the maturing special issue securities, as of each June 30, so that the values of the securities maturing in each of the next 15 years are approximately equal. However, as of June 2017, the Trustees projected that the reserves in the DI Trust Fund would be depleted within 15 years. Therefore, the Department of the Treasury, in consultation with the Chief Actuary of the Social Security Administration, selected the amounts and maturity dates of the DI special-issue bonds purchased on June 30, 2017, so that the bonds would mature over the 6-year period 2018-23. The bonds purchased have an interest rate of 2.250 percent, reflecting the average market yield, as of the last business day of the prior month, on the outstanding marketable U.S. obligations that are due or callable more than 4 years in the future. As of June 30, 2017, most of the invested asset reserves of the DI Trust Fund had maturity dates of June 30 in 2022 and 2023, so this investment approach required that all bond purchases on June 30, 2017 be split over the maturity dates of June 30, 2018 through June 30, 2021. Table III.A7 shows details on investment transactions during 2017.

3. OASI and DI Trust Funds, Combined

Table III.A3 presents a statement of the operations of the OASI and DI Trust Funds on a hypothetical combined basis.¹ The entries in this table represent the sums of the corresponding values from tables III.A1 and III.A2. The two preceding subsections that cover OASI and DI provide a description of the nature of these income and expenditure transactions.

¹ The OASI and DI Trust Funds are distinct legal entities which operate independently. To illustrate the actuarial status of the program as a whole, the fund operations are often combined on a hypothetical basis.

Calendar Year 2017 Operations

**Table III.A3.—Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds,
Calendar Year 2017**
[In millions]

Total asset reserves, December 31, 2016		<u>\$2,847,687</u>
Receipts:		
Net payroll tax contributions:		
Payroll tax contributions ^a	\$876,976	
Payments from the General Fund of the Treasury for payroll tax contributions subject to refund ^a	<u>-3,384</u>	
Net payroll tax contributions ^a		873,592
Reimbursements from the General Fund:		
Reduction in payroll tax contributions due to P.L. 111-312, P.L. 112-78, and P.L. 112-96 ^a	13	
Reimbursements directed by P.L. 110-246	7	
Payroll tax credits due to P.L. 98-21 ^a	<u>b</u>	
Net General Fund reimbursements ^a		20
Income based on taxation of benefit payments:		
Withheld from benefit payments to nonresident aliens	211	
All other, not subject to withholding	<u>37,639</u>	
Total income from taxation of benefits		37,850
Investment income and interest adjustments:		
Interest on investments	85,116	
Interest adjustments ^c	<u>3</u>	
Total investment income and interest adjustments		85,119
Gifts		<u>b</u>
Total receipts		<u>996,581</u>
Disbursements:		
Benefit payments:		
Monthly benefits and lump-sum death payments ^d	941,461	
Reimbursement from the General Fund for unnegotiated checks	-55	
Payment for costs of vocational rehabilitation services for disabled beneficiaries	<u>93</u>	
Net benefit payments ^d		941,499
Financial interchange with the Railroad Retirement "Social Security Equivalent Benefit Account" ^e		4,522
Administrative expenses:		
Costs incurred by:		
Social Security Administration	5,711	
Department of the Treasury	751	
Offsetting miscellaneous receipts	-11	
Demonstration projects	12	
Miscellaneous reimbursements from the General Fund ^e	<u>-7</u>	
Net administrative expenses		6,457
Total disbursements		<u>952,478</u>
Net increase in asset reserves		<u>44,103</u>
Total invested assets	2,891,992	
Undisbursed balances ^f	<u>-203</u>	
Total asset reserves, December 31, 2017		<u>2,891,789</u>

^a Includes adjustments for prior calendar years.

^b Between -\$0.5 and \$0.5 million.

^c Includes: (1) interest on adjustments in the allocation of administrative expenses between the trust funds and the General Fund account for the Supplemental Security Income program, (2) interest arising from the revised allocation of administrative expenses among the trust funds, and (3) interest on certain reimbursements to the trust funds.

^d Includes net reductions for the recovery of overpayments.

^e Reimbursements for costs incurred in performing certain legislatively mandated activities not directly related to administering the OASI and DI programs.

^f A negative balance represents a situation where the actual program cash expenditures exceeded the amount of invested securities of the OASI and DI Trust Funds that were redeemed to pay for such expenditures. In this situation, future redemption of additional invested securities will be required to pay for this shortfall.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Financial Operations and Legislative Changes

Table III.A4 compares estimates of total income and total expenditures for calendar year 2017 from the intermediate projections in the 2013 through 2017 Trustees Reports to the corresponding actual amounts for 2017.

Table III.A4.—Comparison of Actual Calendar Year 2017 Trust Fund Operations With Estimates Made in Prior Reports, Based on Intermediate Assumptions^a
[Amounts in billions]

	Total income ^b		Total cost	
	Amount	Difference from actual (percent)	Amount	Difference from actual (percent)
OASI Trust Fund:				
Estimate in 2013 report	\$940.2	13.9	\$879.6	9.0
Estimate in 2014 report	909.7	10.2	861.1	6.7
Estimate in 2015 report	893.9	8.3	848.2	5.1
Estimate in 2016 report	826.3	.1	812.9	.8
Estimate in 2017 report	839.8	1.7	807.2	.1
Actual amount	825.6	—	806.7	—
DI Trust Fund:				
Estimate in 2013 report	c	c	166.6	14.3
Estimate in 2014 report	c	c	161.2	10.6
Estimate in 2015 report	c	c	158.6	8.8
Estimate in 2016 report	170.3	-4	152.7	4.7
Estimate in 2017 report	174.0	1.8	148.0	1.5
Actual amount	171.0	—	145.8	—
OASI and DI Trust Funds, combined:				
Estimate in 2013 report	1,077.1	8.1	1,046.3	9.8
Estimate in 2014 report	1,042.7	4.6	1,022.3	7.3
Estimate in 2015 report	1,025.5	2.9	1,006.8	5.7
Estimate in 2016 report	996.6	^d	965.5	1.4
Estimate in 2017 report	1,013.8	1.7	955.2	.3
Actual amount	996.6	—	952.5	—

^a Percentage differences are calculated prior to rounding.

^b “Actual” income for 2017 reflects adjustments to payroll tax contributions for prior calendar years (see appendix A for description of these adjustments). “Estimated” income also includes such adjustments, but on an estimated basis.

^c In the annual reports for each year 2013 through 2015, the DI Trust Fund was projected to become depleted in calendar year 2016 under the intermediate assumptions. Under those circumstances, scheduled benefits could not be paid in full on a timely basis, so that certain projected items of income such as income from taxing benefits and interest on trust fund reserves could not be meaningfully projected. Accordingly, total DI Trust Fund income was not reported for 2017 in those earlier reports. Following the tax rate reallocation enacted in the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015, the DI Trust Fund was not projected to become depleted until after 2017 in the 2016 and 2017 reports, and thus an estimate for total income was reported. Appendix A presents a detailed description of the components of income and cost, along with complete historical values.

^d Between -0.05 and 0.05 percent.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

A number of factors contribute to differences between estimates and subsequent actual amounts, including: (1) actual values for key demographic, economic, and other variables that differ from earlier assumed levels; and (2) legislation that was enacted or other administrative initiatives that were finalized after the Trustees completed their estimates.

At the end of calendar year 2017, the OASDI program was providing monthly benefits to about 61.9 million people. The OASI Trust Fund was

Calendar Year 2017 Operations

providing benefits to about 51.5 million people and the DI Trust Fund was providing benefits to about 10.4 million people. The number of people receiving benefits from the OASI Trust Fund grew by 2.4 percent while the number of people receiving DI benefits fell by 1.9 percent during calendar year 2017. These changes reflect the gradual aging of the population, with the earliest cohorts of the baby-boom generation now moving above normal retirement age, where DI benefits are no longer applicable. Table III.A5 shows the estimated distributions of benefit payments in calendar years 2016 and 2017, by type of beneficiary, for each trust fund separately.

Table III.A5.—Distribution of Benefit Payments by Type of Beneficiary or Payment, Calendar Years 2016 and 2017
[Amounts in millions]

	Calendar year 2016		Calendar year 2017	
	Amount	Percentage of total	Amount	Percentage of total
Total OASDI benefit payments	\$911,335	100.0	\$941,461	100.0
OASI benefit payments	768,633	84.3	798,722	84.8
DI benefit payments	142,703	15.7	142,740	15.2
OASI benefit payments, total.	768,633	100.0	798,722	100.0
Monthly benefits:				
Retired workers and auxiliaries	651,280	84.7	680,233	85.2
Retired workers	616,003	80.1	644,181	80.7
Spouses	29,895	3.9	30,493	3.8
Children	5,382	.7	5,559	.7
Survivors of deceased workers.	117,148	15.2	118,279	14.8
Aged widows and widowers.	93,383	12.1	94,307	11.8
Disabled widows and widowers	2,373	.3	2,375	.3
Parents	21	^a	20	^a
Children	19,805	2.6	20,048	2.5
Widowed mothers and fathers caring for child beneficiaries	1,568	.2	1,529	.2
Lump-sum death payments	204	^a	210	^a
DI benefit payments, total	142,703	100.0	142,740	100.0
Disabled workers	133,644	93.7	133,871	93.8
Spouses	570	.4	551	.4
Children	8,489	5.9	8,318	5.8

^a Less than 0.05 percent.

Note: Benefits are monthly benefits and lump-sum death payments. Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Net administrative expenses of the OASI and DI Trust Funds in calendar year 2017 totaled \$6.5 billion, equal to 0.7 percent each of total expenditures and non-interest income. Table III.A6 shows corresponding percentages for each trust fund separately and for OASDI as a whole for the last 5 years.

Financial Operations and Legislative Changes

Table III.A6.—Administrative Expenses as a Percentage of Non-interest Income and of Total Expenditures, Calendar Years 2013-2017

Calendar year	OASI Trust Fund		DI Trust Fund		OASI and DI Trust Funds, combined	
	Non-interest income	Total expenditures	Non-interest income	Total expenditures	Non-interest income	Total expenditures
2013	0.5	0.5	2.6	1.9	0.8	0.7
20145	.4	2.6	2.0	.8	.7
20155	.4	2.4	1.9	.7	.7
20165	.4	1.7	1.9	.7	.7
20175	.5	1.7	1.9	.7	.7

The acquisition and redemption of securities during calendar year 2017 changed the invested reserves of the OASI and DI Trust Funds. Table III.A7 presents investment transactions for each fund separately and combined.

Table III.A7.—Trust Fund Investment Transactions, Calendar Year 2017
[In millions]

	OASI Trust Fund	DI Trust Fund	OASI and DI Trust Funds, combined
Invested asset reserves, December 31, 2016 ^a	\$2,801,406	\$46,481	\$2,847,887
Acquisitions:			
Special issue securities:			
Certificates of indebtedness	788,330	171,296	959,626
Bonds ^b	212,059	28,071	240,130
Total acquisitions	1,000,389	199,366	1,199,756
Redemptions:			
Special issue securities:			
Certificates of indebtedness	790,352	164,902	955,254
Bonds	191,075	9,321	200,396
Total redemptions	981,427	174,223	1,155,650
Net increase in invested asset reserves	18,963	25,143	44,105
Invested asset reserves, December 31, 2017 ^a	2,820,368	71,624	\$2,891,992

^a Invested asset reserves differ from total asset reserves by the amount of undisbursed balances. See tables VI.A4 and VI.A5 for details.

^b Purchased on June 30, 2017. The interest rate on these purchases was 2.250 percent.

Note: Investments are shown at par value. Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

B. SOCIAL SECURITY AMENDMENTS SINCE THE 2017 REPORT

Since the Trustees submitted the 2017 report to Congress, the rescission of one policy and the enactment of one law are expected to have notable effects on the financial status of the OASDI program.

The Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals, or DACA, policy was implemented on June 15, 2012 and rescinded by the Administration on September 5, 2017. The original policy enabled certain other-than-lawful-permanent-resident immigrants who entered the United States as children to receive employment authorization. Rescission of DACA will reduce the number of authorized workers and projected payroll tax income slightly; however, fewer of these individuals will receive benefits in the longer term. As a result, the elimination of DACA has a small but significant net negative financial impact over the short-range projection period and a negligible net negative effect over the long-range projection period.

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, Public Law 115-97, was enacted on December 22, 2017. This law will have several effects on the actuarial status of the OASDI program. The law reduces tax rates for individuals, alters the tax brackets and their indexing, and repeals the individual mandate of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. The repeal of the individual mandate is expected to cause some individuals to drop their employer sponsored health insurance, which is estimated to increase OASDI covered wages and taxable payroll slightly. The tax rate and tax bracket changes will affect income to the trust funds from taxation of Social Security benefits. Because the law reduces tax rates through 2025, and the tax bracket thresholds will grow more slowly in the future due to the change in indexing, income from taxation of benefits relative to last year's report is decreased through 2025 and increased thereafter. In addition, temporary changes for certain small businesses will have effects on reported self-employment income. As a whole, the law has a significant net negative effect on the financial status of the OASDI program over the short-range projection period and a negligible net positive effect over the long-range projection period.

Sections IV.A.4 and IV.B.6 of this report provide further description of the magnitude of effects on the financial status of the OASDI program.

IV. ACTUARIAL ESTIMATES

This chapter presents actuarial estimates of the future financial condition of the Social Security program. These estimates show the income, cost, and asset reserves or unfunded obligation of the OASI and DI Trust Funds: (1) in dollars over the 10-year short-range period; and (2) as a percentage of taxable payroll, as a percentage of gross domestic product, and in present-value dollars over the 75-year long-range period. In addition, the chapter discusses a variety of measures of the adequacy of current program financing. This report distinguishes between: (1) the cost (obligations) of the program, which includes all future benefits scheduled under current law; and (2) expenditures (disbursements), which include actual payments for the past plus only the portion of projected program cost that would be payable with the financing provisions in current law.

This chapter presents the estimates and measures of trust fund financial adequacy for the short-range period (2018 through 2027) first, followed by estimates and measures of actuarial status for the long-range period (2018 through 2092). Summary measures are also provided for trust fund status over the infinite horizon. As described in chapter II of this report, these estimates depend upon a broad set of demographic, economic, and programmatic factors. This chapter presents estimates under three sets of assumptions to show a wide range of possible outcomes, because assumptions related to these factors are subject to uncertainty. The intermediate set of assumptions, designated as alternative II, reflects the Trustees' best estimate of future experience; the low-cost alternative I is significantly more optimistic and the high-cost alternative III is significantly more pessimistic for the trust funds' future financial outlook. The tables of this report show the intermediate estimates first, followed by the low-cost and high-cost estimates. Chapter V describes these three sets of assumptions, along with the actuarial methods used to produce the estimates. Appendix D and appendix E present two additional methods to illustrate the uncertainty of the projections. Appendix D presents sensitivity analyses of the effects of variation in individual factors and appendix E presents probability distributions generated by a stochastic model.

In this report, the DI Trust Fund reserve depletion date is again extended, as it was for the last two reports. The experience for disability beneficiaries and benefit levels following the last economic recession has not followed expectations, so substantial revisions have been required in the reports of 2016, 2017, and 2018.

In 2014, initial disability applications to the states' Disability Determination Services (DDS) dropped by 4.2 percent. For the 2015 report, the Trustees assumed that applications would drop by another 1.0 percent in 2015, but the

decline was actually 4.7 percent. This larger-than-anticipated decline in applications extended reserve depletion by about one year, in addition to the six-year extension due to the reallocation of tax rates included in the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015. Together, these changes extended the DI Trust Fund reserve depletion year from 2016 for the 2015 report to 2023 for the 2016 report. The change in the reserve depletion date due to DI application experience in the 2016 report was relatively modest, because the Trustees had assumed a 9.1 percent rebound in applications for 2016. However, applications instead dropped again in 2016, by 7.2 percent. Largely on this basis, the 2017 report assumed a more gradual rise in applications after the very low level in 2016, with an increase of only 2.6 percent for 2017. This more gradual path resulted in an additional five-year extension of the projected DI reserve depletion date, to 2028 for the 2017 report.

However, applications once again dropped in 2017, by 4.2 percent, a yet lower starting point. For this report, the Trustees have assumed a steeper rise in applications and incidence rates in order to reach the unchanged ultimate levels of incidence rates by 2027. Even with this steep rise, applications and incidence rates are lower in this year's report than in last year's report for much of the first ten years of the projection period. The extension of the reserve depletion date from 2028 to 2032 for this report owes largely to this further drop in applications in 2017, partially mitigated by the more rapid rebound to the ultimate assumed level.

A further mitigating factor is that SSA expects to reduce the number of disability claims that are pending an Administrative Law Judge hearing over the next several years. The reduction has already begun, with the number of disability claims pending a hearing dropping somewhat in 2017. This effort to reduce the number of cases pending a hearing halted a steady rise in pending cases that started in 2011 in the wake of the recession. SSA projects that the number of cases pending a hearing will be reduced to the minimum level for cases in process, thus eliminating the "backlog" by the end of 2021. While this increase in hearings decisions through 2021 will tend to elevate benefit awards and incidence rates temporarily, it will also change the balance between benefit awards made at the DDS and at the hearings. This is significant, because the average monthly benefit level for claims awarded at hearings tends to be lower than for claims awarded earlier at the DDS. While the number of pending hearings was increasing between 2011 and 2016, and relatively few hearings decisions were made, benefit awards were made disproportionately from the earlier adjudicative DDS stages, so the average benefit level was relatively high. With the number of pending hearings dropping in 2017, at about the pace of declining initial disability applications, a more normal balance between hearing and earlier DDS awards has been restored. For 2017 and later, average awarded monthly benefit levels have been

Actuarial Estimates

reduced somewhat below the levels anticipated in the 2017 report, because that report did not fully take into account the temporarily elevated level of recent average awarded monthly benefit amounts.

The effects of these factors related to disabled-worker beneficiaries and benefit levels, which are partially offset by lower payroll tax revenue in the near term, extended the projected year of DI Trust Fund reserve depletion another four years, to 2032.

A. SHORT-RANGE ESTIMATES

The Trustees consider the trust funds to be solvent at any point in time if the funds can pay scheduled benefits in full on a timely basis. A standard measure for assessing solvency is the “trust fund ratio,” which is the reserves in a fund at the beginning of a year (not including advance tax transfers) expressed as a percentage of the cost during the year. A positive trust fund ratio indicates that the trust fund was solvent at the end of the prior year. The trust fund ratio represents the proportion of a year’s cost which the reserves available at the beginning of that year can cover. The Trustees assume that a trust fund ratio of 100 percent of annual program cost provides a reasonable “contingency reserve.” Maintaining a reasonable contingency reserve is important because the trust funds do not have borrowing authority. After reserves are depleted, the trust funds would be unable to pay benefits in full on a timely basis if annual revenue were less than annual cost. Unexpected events, such as severe economic recessions, can quickly diminish reserves. In such cases, a reasonable contingency reserve can maintain the ability to pay scheduled benefits while giving lawmakers time to address possible changes to the program.

The test of short-range financial adequacy applies to the OASI and DI Trust Funds individually and combined on a hypothetical basis.¹ If the estimated trust fund ratio is at least 100 percent at the beginning of the projection period, the test requires that it remain at or above 100 percent throughout the 10-year period. If the ratio is initially less than 100 percent, then it must reach at least 100 percent within 5 years (without reserve depletion at any time during this period) and then remain at or above 100 percent throughout the remainder of the 10-year period. This test is applied using the estimates based on the intermediate assumptions. If either trust fund fails this test, then program solvency in the next 10 years is in question, and lawmakers should take prompt action to improve short-range financial adequacy.

¹ The OASI and DI Trust Funds are distinct legal entities which operate independently. To illustrate the actuarial status of the program as a whole, the fund operations are often combined on a hypothetical basis.

1. Operations of the OASI Trust Fund

This subsection presents estimates, based on the assumptions described in chapter V, of the operations and financial status of the OASI Trust Fund for the period 2018 through 2027. These estimates assume that there are no further changes in the statutory provisions and regulations under which the OASDI program currently operates beyond the changes since last year's report indicated in section III.B.¹

Estimates of the OASI Trust Fund operations presented in Table IV.A1 indicate that the asset reserves of the OASI Trust Fund are projected to decrease in all years after 2019 under the intermediate assumptions, increase in all years after 2018 under the low-cost assumptions, and decrease in all years through 2027 under the high-cost assumptions. Trust fund ratios decline throughout the 10-year projection period under all three sets of assumptions. Based on the intermediate assumptions, the reserves of the OASI Trust Fund continue to exceed 100 percent of annual cost through 2027. Consequently, the OASI Trust Fund satisfies the test of short-range financial adequacy. See figure IV.A1 for an illustration of these results.

Table IV.A1.—Operations of the OASI Trust Fund, Calendar Years 2013-2027^a
[Dollar amounts in billions]

Calendar year	Income					Cost ^b				Asset Reserves ^b		
	Total	Net payroll tax contributions	GF reimbursements ^c	Taxation of benefits ^d	Net interest	Total	Scheduled benefits	Administrative costs	RRB inter-change	Net increase during year	Amount at end of year	Trust fund ratio ^e
Historical data:												
2013 ..	\$743.8	\$620.8	\$4.2	\$20.7	\$98.1	\$679.5	\$672.1	\$3.4	\$3.9	\$64.3	\$2,674.0	384
2014 ..	769.4	646.2	.4	28.0	94.8	714.2	706.8	3.1	4.3	55.2	2,729.2	374
2015 ..	801.6	679.5	.3	30.6	91.2	750.5	742.9	3.4	4.3	51.0	2,780.3	364
2016 ..	797.5	678.8	.1	31.6	87.0	776.4	768.6	3.5	4.3	21.1	2,801.3	358
2017 ..	825.6	706.5	f	35.9	83.2	806.7	798.7	3.7	4.3	19.0	2,820.3	347
Intermediate:												
2018 ..	828.2	714.5	f	33.1	80.6	853.6	845.5	3.3	4.7	-25.4	2,794.9	330
2019 ..	918.1	802.4	f	36.5	79.2	908.5	900.3	3.3	4.8	9.7	2,804.6	308
2020 ..	964.4	845.0	f	40.4	79.0	971.9	963.8	3.2	4.9	-7.6	2,797.0	289
2021 ..	1,011.6	888.8	f	44.5	78.3	1,036.9	1,028.6	3.4	4.9	-25.3	2,771.7	270
2022 ..	1,060.7	934.6	f	48.5	77.6	1,106.5	1,097.8	3.6	5.2	-45.9	2,725.8	250
2023 ..	1,111.7	981.3	f	52.8	77.7	1,180.1	1,171.1	3.8	5.2	-68.4	2,657.5	231
2024 ..	1,166.0	1,030.7	f	57.4	78.0	1,257.4	1,248.2	3.9	5.3	-91.4	2,566.1	211
2025 ..	1,220.0	1,080.1	f	62.3	77.6	1,337.1	1,327.7	4.1	5.3	-117.1	2,449.0	192
2026 ..	1,287.4	1,132.0	f	78.0	77.5	1,419.6	1,410.0	4.2	5.5	-132.3	2,316.7	173
2027 ..	1,343.7	1,182.4	f	84.5	76.8	1,506.4	1,496.6	4.3	5.5	-162.7	2,154.0	154
Low-cost:												
2018 ..	835.3	720.8	f	33.0	81.4	852.9	844.9	3.3	4.7	-17.7	2,802.7	331
2019 ..	951.9	831.3	f	36.6	83.9	912.0	903.9	3.3	4.8	39.9	2,842.6	307
2020 ..	1,023.1	893.9	f	40.8	88.4	981.8	973.7	3.3	4.9	41.3	2,883.9	290
2021 ..	1,095.0	957.2	f	45.1	92.8	1,051.9	1,043.5	3.5	4.9	43.1	2,927.0	274
2022 ..	1,170.0	1,022.2	f	49.4	98.4	1,127.4	1,118.5	3.7	5.1	42.6	2,969.6	260

¹ The estimates shown in this subsection reflect 12 months of scheduled benefits in each year of the short-range projection period. In practice, the actual payment dates have at times shifted over calendar year boundaries as a result of the statutory requirement for early delivery of benefit payments when the normal check delivery date is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday.

Actuarial Estimates

Table IV.A1.—Operations of the OASI Trust Fund, Calendar Years 2013-2027^a (Cont.)

Calendar year	Income					Cost ^b				Asset Reserves ^b		
	Total	Net payroll tax contributions	GF reimbursements ^c	Taxation of benefits ^d	Net interest	Total	Scheduled benefits	Administrative costs	RRB inter-change	Net increase during year	Amount at end of year	Trust fund ratio ^e
2023 ..	\$1,249.1	\$1,089.3	f	\$54.0	\$105.8	\$1,207.8	\$1,198.6	\$4.0	\$5.2	\$41.4	\$3,010.9	246
2024 ..	1,333.7	1,160.2	f	59.0	114.5	1,292.9	1,283.4	4.2	5.3	40.8	3,051.8	233
2025 ..	1,421.5	1,233.2	f	64.4	124.0	1,381.4	1,371.7	4.4	5.4	40.1	3,091.8	221
2026 ..	1,527.0	1,310.5	f	81.0	135.5	1,474.3	1,464.2	4.6	5.5	52.8	3,144.6	210
2027 ..	1,625.2	1,388.9	f	88.2	148.1	1,573.0	1,562.7	4.8	5.5	52.3	3,196.9	200
High-cost:												
2018 ..	823.3	710.2	f	33.1	80.0	854.1	846.1	3.3	4.7	-30.8	2,789.5	330
2019 ..	876.4	764.7	f	36.3	75.5	903.1	895.0	3.3	4.9	-26.7	2,762.8	309
2020 ..	897.8	786.9	f	39.8	71.0	958.5	950.3	3.2	5.0	-60.7	2,702.1	288
2021 ..	924.3	814.0	f	43.7	66.6	1,018.2	1,009.9	3.3	4.9	-93.9	2,608.2	265
2022 ..	952.2	843.6	f	47.4	61.3	1,082.0	1,073.3	3.5	5.2	-129.7	2,478.4	241
2023 ..	981.3	873.7	f	51.4	56.2	1,148.9	1,140.1	3.6	5.2	-167.7	2,310.8	216
2024 ..	1,012.1	905.4	f	55.6	51.1	1,218.9	1,209.9	3.7	5.3	-206.8	2,104.0	190
2025 ..	1,042.7	937.1	f	60.1	45.5	1,290.1	1,281.1	3.8	5.3	-247.4	1,856.6	163
2026 ..	1,085.8	970.0	f	74.8	40.9	1,363.0	1,353.8	3.9	5.4	-277.2	1,579.4	136
2027 ..	1,115.7	999.7	f	80.7	35.3	1,438.6	1,429.3	3.9	5.4	-322.9	1,256.4	110

^a Appendix A presents a detailed description of the components of income and cost, along with complete historical values.

^b Amounts for 2015 and 2016 are adjusted to include in 2016 operations those benefit payments regularly scheduled in the law to be paid on January 3, 2016, which were actually paid on December 31, 2015 as required by the statutory provision for early benefit payments when the normal delivery date is on a weekend or holiday. Such shifts in payments across calendar years have occurred in the past and will occur periodically in the future whenever January 3rd falls on a Sunday. In order to provide a consistent perspective on trust fund operations over time, all trust fund operations in each year reflect the 12 months of benefits that are regularly scheduled for payment in that year.

^c Includes reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury to the OASI Trust Fund for: (1) the cost of benefits to certain uninsured persons who attained age 72 before 1968; (2) the cost of payroll tax credits provided to employees in 1984 and self-employed persons in 1984-89 by Public Law 98-21; (3) the cost in 2009-17 of excluding certain self-employment earnings from SECA taxes under Public Law 110-246; and (4) payroll tax revenue forgone under the provisions of Public Laws 111-147, 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96.


^d Revenue from taxation of benefits is the amount that would be assessed on benefit amounts scheduled in the law.

^e The "Trust fund ratio" column represents reserves at the beginning of a year (which are identical to reserves at the end of the prior year shown in the "Amount at end of year" column) as a percentage of cost for the year.

^f Between -\$50 million and \$50 million.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Figure IV.A1.—Short-Range OASI and DI Trust Fund Ratios
 [Asset reserves as a percentage of annual cost]



The estimated income shown in table IV.A1 increases annually under each set of assumptions throughout the short-range projection period, with the exception of a small decrease in 2018 for the high-cost alternative. The estimated increases in income result primarily from the projected increases in OASDI taxable earnings. Employment increases in every year through 2027 for all three alternatives, with the exception of small decreases in covered employment in 2019 and 2020 for the high-cost alternative: the number of persons with taxable earnings increases under alternatives I, II, and III from 173 million during calendar year 2017 to about 189 million, 185 million, and 181 million, respectively, in 2027. The total annual amount of taxable earnings increases in every year through 2027 for each alternative. Total earnings increase from \$6,983 billion in 2017 to \$13,196 billion, \$11,229 billion, and \$9,491 billion in 2027, on the basis of alternatives I, II, and III, respectively. These increases in taxable earnings are due primarily to: (1) projected increases in employment levels as the working age population increases; (2) trend increases in average earnings in covered employment (reflecting both real growth and price inflation); (3) increases in the contribution and benefit base under the automatic-adjustment provisions; and (4) growth in employment and average earnings, temporarily higher than trend, as the economy continues to recover from the severe economic downturn that began in late 2007.

Actuarial Estimates

Interest earnings contribute to the overall projected level of trust fund income during this period. Interest income declines generally at a slow rate under the intermediate assumptions and much faster under the high-cost assumptions, and increases generally under the low-cost assumptions, due to the net effects of changes in reserve levels and the patterns of projected interest rates. Under the intermediate assumptions, interest also declines as a share of total OASI Trust Fund income reaching 6 percent of total trust fund income for 2027, as compared to 10 percent for 2017.

Rising OASI cost from 2017 through 2027 reflects automatic benefit increases as well as the upward trend in the number of beneficiaries and in the average monthly earnings underlying benefits. The steady growth in the number of OASI beneficiaries since 2009 and the expected future growth result both from the increase in the aged population and from the increase in the proportion of the population that is eligible for benefits.

The Treasury invests OASI income in financial securities, generally special public-debt obligations of the U.S. Government. The revenue used to make these purchases flows to the General Fund of the Treasury. The trust fund earns interest on these securities, and the Treasury invests the proceeds from maturing securities in new securities if not immediately needed to pay program costs. Program expenditures require the redemption of trust fund securities, generally prior to maturity, to cover the payments made by the General Fund of the Treasury on behalf of the trust fund.¹

2. Operations of the DI Trust Fund

Table IV.A2 shows the estimated operations and financial status of the DI Trust Fund during calendar years 2018 through 2027 under the three sets of assumptions, together with values for actual experience during 2013 through 2017. Non-interest income for DI is much higher in 2016 through 2018 than in 2015, due to the temporary payroll tax rate reallocation from OASI to DI. As a result, DI Trust Fund reserves increase in 2018 under each alternative. After returning to the ultimate allocation of tax rates in 2019, non-interest income is again less than DI cost except under the low-cost alternative. Non-interest income increases steadily thereafter under each alternative, due to most of the same factors described previously for the OASI Trust Fund. DI cost grows steadily throughout the period under each alternative. Under the intermediate assumptions, reserves decline after 2018, but remain positive through 2027. Under the high-cost assumptions, DI reserves decline after 2018 until depletion in the fourth quarter of 2022. Under the low-cost assumptions, reserves increase throughout the short-range projection period except for a small decrease in 2019.

¹ For an explanation of the interrelationship between the Medicare and Social Security trust funds and the overall Federal budget, see appendix F of the 2018 Medicare Trustees Report.

Short-Range Estimates

Table IV.A2.—Operations of the DI Trust Fund, Calendar Years 2013-2027^a
 [Dollar amounts in billions]

Calendar year	Income					Cost ^b				Asset Reserves ^b		
	Total	Net pay- roll tax contri- butions	GF reim- burse- ments ^c	Taxa- tion of bene- fits ^d	Net interest	Total	Sched- uled benefits	Admin- istra- tive costs	RRB inter- change	Net increase during year	Amount at end of year	Trust fund ratio ^e
Historical data:												
2013 ...	\$111.2	\$105.4	\$0.7	\$0.4	\$4.7	\$143.4	\$140.1	\$2.8	\$0.6	-\$32.2	\$90.4	86
2014 ...	114.9	109.7	.1	1.7	3.4	145.1	141.7	2.9	.4	-30.2	60.2	62
2015 ...	118.6	115.4	f	1.1	2.1	146.6	143.4	2.8	.4	-28.0	32.3	41
2016 ...	160.0	157.4	f	1.2	1.4	145.9	142.8	2.8	.4	14.1	46.3	22
2017 ...	171.0	167.1	f	2.0	1.9	145.8	142.8	2.8	.2	25.1	71.5	32
Intermediate:												
2018 ...	172.9	168.8	f	1.5	2.6	149.3	146.3	2.8	.2	23.7	95.2	48
2019 ...	143.2	138.6	f	1.7	3.0	153.0	150.1	2.8	.1	-9.8	85.3	62
2020 ...	148.1	143.5	f	1.8	2.8	157.2	154.2	2.8	.2	-9.1	76.2	54
2021 ...	155.4	150.9	f	2.0	2.5	163.0	159.7	3.1	.2	-7.6	68.7	47
2022 ...	163.1	158.7	f	2.1	2.3	169.2	165.6	3.4	.1	-6.1	62.6	41
2023 ...	171.0	166.6	f	2.3	2.1	176.5	172.7	3.7	.1	-5.4	57.2	35
2024 ...	179.5	175.0	f	2.5	2.0	184.3	180.3	3.9	.1	-4.9	52.3	31
2025 ...	187.9	183.4	f	2.7	1.8	193.2	188.9	4.2	.1	-5.3	47.0	27
2026 ...	197.2	192.2	f	3.3	1.7	202.5	197.9	4.5	.1	-5.3	41.7	23
2027 ...	205.9	200.8	f	3.6	1.5	212.1	207.3	4.7	.1	-6.2	35.5	20
Low-cost:												
2018 ...	174.7	170.3	f	1.5	2.8	147.1	144.1	2.8	.2	27.5	99.0	49
2019 ...	149.0	143.5	f	1.7	3.8	149.9	147.0	2.8	.1	-.9	98.1	66
2020 ...	157.8	151.8	f	1.8	4.2	153.2	150.3	2.8	.2	4.5	102.6	64
2021 ...	169.3	162.5	f	1.9	4.8	158.0	154.7	3.1	.1	11.3	113.9	65
2022 ...	181.5	173.6	f	2.0	5.9	163.2	159.6	3.4	.1	18.3	132.2	70
2023 ...	194.5	185.0	f	2.2	7.3	169.5	165.6	3.8	.1	25.0	157.3	78
2024 ...	208.5	197.0	f	2.3	9.2	176.4	172.3	4.1	.1	32.1	189.3	89
2025 ...	223.4	209.4	f	2.5	11.5	184.5	180.1	4.4	.1	38.9	228.2	103
2026 ...	240.0	222.5	f	3.2	14.3	193.2	188.4	4.7	.1	46.8	275.0	118
2027 ...	257.0	235.9	f	3.4	17.7	202.3	197.2	5.1	.1	54.6	329.7	136

Actuarial Estimates

Table IV.A2.—Operations of the DI Trust Fund, Calendar Years 2013-2027^a (Cont.)

Calendar year	Income					Cost ^b				Asset Reserves ^b		
	Total	Net pay- roll tax contri- butions	GF reim- burse- ments ^c	Taxa- tion of bene- fits ^d	Net interest	Total	Sched- uled benefits	Admin- istra- tive costs	RRB inter- change	Net increase during year	Amount at end of year	Trust fund ratio ^e
High-cost:												
2018 ...	\$171.8	\$167.8	f	\$1.6	\$2.4	\$151.5	\$148.5	\$2.8	\$0.2	\$20.3	\$91.8	47
2019 ...	136.2	132.1	f	1.7	2.3	156.0	153.1	2.8	.1	-19.8	72.0	59
2020 ...	137.4	133.6	f	1.9	1.9	160.6	157.6	2.8	.2	-23.3	48.7	45
2021 ...	141.5	138.2	f	2.0	1.3	167.3	164.1	3.1	.2	-25.8	22.9	29
2022 ...	g	143.2	f	2.2	g	174.1	170.7	3.3	.2	g	g	13
2023 ...	g	148.4	f	2.3	g	182.0	178.3	3.5	.1	g	g	g
2024 ...	g	153.8	f	2.5	g	190.2	186.3	3.8	.1	g	g	g
2025 ...	g	159.1	f	2.8	g	199.3	195.2	4.0	.1	g	g	g
2026 ...	g	164.7	f	3.4	g	208.7	204.4	4.2	.1	g	g	g
2027 ...	g	169.8	f	3.7	g	218.2	213.7	4.4	.1	g	g	g

^a The DI Trust Fund reserves become depleted in the fourth quarter of 2022 under the high-cost assumptions. For any period during which reserves would be depleted, scheduled benefits could not be paid in full on a timely basis, income from taxing benefits would be less than would apply to scheduled benefits, and interest on trust fund reserves would be negligible. Appendix A presents a detailed description of the components of income and cost, along with complete historical values.

^b Amounts for 2015 and 2016 are adjusted to include in 2016 operations those benefit payments regularly scheduled in the law to be paid on January 3, 2016, which were actually paid on December 31, 2015 as required by the statutory provision for early benefit payments when the normal delivery date is on a weekend or holiday. Such shifts in payments across calendar years have occurred in the past and will occur periodically in the future whenever January 3rd falls on a Sunday. In order to provide a consistent perspective on trust fund operations over time, all trust fund operations in each year reflect the 12 months of benefits that are regularly scheduled for payment in that year.

^c Includes reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury to the DI Trust Fund for: (1) the cost of payroll tax credits provided to employees in 1984 and self-employed persons in 1984-89 by Public Law 98-21; (2) the cost in 2009-17 of excluding certain self-employment earnings from SECA taxes under Public Law 110-246; and (3) payroll tax revenue forgone under the provisions of Public Laws 111-147, 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96.

^d Revenue from taxation of benefits is the amount that would be assessed on benefit amounts scheduled in the law.

^e The "Trust fund ratio" column represents reserves at the beginning of a year (which are identical to reserves at the end of the prior year shown in the "Amount at end of year" column) as a percentage of cost for the year.

^f Between -\$50 million and \$50 million.

^g While the fund is depleted, values under current law would reflect permissible expenditures only, which would be less than the cost of scheduled benefits shown in this table.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

For the future, DI cost is projected to increase in part due to increases in average benefit levels resulting from: (1) automatic benefit increases and (2) projected increases in the amounts of average monthly earnings on which benefits are based. Future changes in DI cost also reflect changes in the number of DI beneficiaries in current-payment status. In 2017, the number of DI beneficiaries in current-payment status continued the declining trend of the prior three years. Under the intermediate assumptions, that number of DI beneficiaries is projected to drop further through the end of 2018, remain around the same level through 2020, then increase through the remainder of the short-range projection period. The increases after 2020 are at a much slower rate than was experienced on average from 1990 to 2010, due in large part to long-anticipated demographic trends and expected economic condi-

tions, and in part to an expected continuation of recent low incidence rates through the first few years of the short-range period as discussed in section V.C.5.

At the beginning of calendar year 2017, the reserves of the DI Trust Fund represented 32 percent of annual cost. During 2017, DI income substantially exceeded cost, and the estimated trust fund ratio for the beginning of 2018 increased to about 48 percent. Under the intermediate assumptions, the temporary reallocation of the payroll tax rate from OASI to DI causes DI total income to exceed cost in 2018, and reserves to increase to a level of 62 percent of annual cost at the beginning of 2019. Thereafter, cost exceeds total income throughout the short-range projection period and trust fund reserves steadily decline.

Because the reserves of the DI Trust Fund at the beginning of 2018 were less than the estimated annual cost for 2018, and are projected to remain below annual cost throughout the short-range period under the intermediate assumptions, the DI Trust Fund fails the Trustees’ test of short-range financial adequacy.

3. Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds

Table IV.A3 shows the estimated operations and status of the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds for calendar years 2018 through 2027 under the three alternatives, together with actual experience in 2013 through 2017. Income and cost for the OASI Trust Fund represent over 80 percent of the corresponding amounts for the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds. Therefore, based on the relative strength of the OASI Trust Fund over the next 10 years, the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds would have sufficient financial resources to pay all scheduled benefits through the end of the short-range period, although it is important to note that under current law, one trust fund cannot share financial resources with another trust fund. In addition, the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds would satisfy the test of short-range financial adequacy.

Table IV.A3.—Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds, Calendar Years 2013-2027^a
[Dollar amounts in billions]

Calendar year	Income					Cost ^b				Asset Reserves ^b		
	Total	Net pay- roll tax contri- butions	GF reim- burse- ments ^c	Taxa- tion of bene- fits ^d	Net interest	Total benefits	Sched- uled	Admin- istra- tive costs	RRB inter- change	Net increase during year	Amount at end of year	Trust fund ratio ^e
Historical data:												
2013 ..	\$855.0	\$726.2	\$4.9	\$21.1	\$102.8	\$822.9	\$812.3	\$6.2	\$4.5	\$32.1	\$2,764.4	332
2014 ..	884.3	756.0	.5	29.6	98.2	859.2	848.5	6.1	4.7	25.0	2,789.5	322
2015 ..	920.2	794.9	.3	31.6	93.3	897.1	886.3	6.2	4.7	23.0	2,812.5	311
2016 ..	957.5	836.2	.1	32.8	88.4	922.3	911.4	6.2	4.7	35.2	2,847.7	305
2017 ..	996.6	873.6	f	37.9	85.1	952.5	941.5	6.5	4.5	44.1	2,891.8	299

Actuarial Estimates

**Table IV.A3.—Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds,
Calendar Years 2013-2027^a (Cont.)**
[Dollar amounts in billions]

Calendar year	Income				Cost ^b				Asset Reserves ^b			
	Total	Net pay- roll tax contri- butions	GF reim- burse- ments ^c	Taxa- tion of bene- fits ^d	Net interest	Total benefits	Sched- uled	Admin- istra- tive inter- costs	RRB change	Net increase during year	Amount at end of year	Trust fund ratio ^e
Intermediate:												
2018 ..	\$1,001.1	\$883.4	f	\$34.6	\$83.1	\$1,002.8	\$991.8	\$6.2	\$4.9	-\$1.7	\$2,890.1	288
2019 ..	1,061.4	941.0	f	38.2	82.2	1,061.5	1,050.5	6.1	5.0	-.2	2,889.9	272
2020 ..	1,112.5	988.5	f	42.2	81.8	1,129.2	1,118.0	6.1	5.1	-16.7	2,873.2	256
2021 ..	1,167.0	1,039.7	f	46.4	80.9	1,199.9	1,188.3	6.5	5.1	-32.9	2,840.3	239
2022 ..	1,223.7	1,093.3	f	50.6	79.8	1,275.7	1,263.4	7.0	5.3	-51.9	2,788.4	223
2023 ..	1,282.8	1,147.9	f	55.0	79.8	1,356.5	1,343.8	7.4	5.4	-73.8	2,714.6	206
2024 ..	1,345.5	1,205.7	f	59.8	80.0	1,441.8	1,428.5	7.8	5.4	-96.3	2,618.4	188
2025 ..	1,407.9	1,263.5	f	65.0	79.4	1,530.2	1,516.6	8.2	5.4	-122.4	2,496.0	171
2026 ..	1,484.6	1,324.2	f	81.3	79.1	1,622.1	1,607.9	8.7	5.6	-137.5	2,358.5	154
2027 ..	1,549.6	1,383.2	f	88.1	78.3	1,718.5	1,703.9	9.1	5.6	-169.0	2,189.5	137
Low-cost:												
2018 ..	1,010.0	891.1	f	34.6	84.3	1,000.1	989.0	6.2	4.9	9.9	2,901.7	289
2019 ..	1,100.9	974.9	f	38.3	87.7	1,061.9	1,050.9	6.1	4.9	39.0	2,940.7	273
2020 ..	1,180.9	1,045.7	f	42.6	92.6	1,135.0	1,123.9	6.1	5.0	45.8	2,986.5	259
2021 ..	1,264.3	1,119.7	f	47.0	97.6	1,209.9	1,198.2	6.7	5.0	54.4	3,040.9	247
2022 ..	1,351.4	1,195.7	f	51.4	104.3	1,290.5	1,278.1	7.2	5.3	60.9	3,101.8	236
2023 ..	1,443.6	1,274.3	f	56.2	113.1	1,377.2	1,364.2	7.7	5.3	66.4	3,168.2	225
2024 ..	1,542.2	1,357.2	f	61.3	123.7	1,469.3	1,455.7	8.2	5.4	72.9	3,241.1	216
2025 ..	1,644.9	1,442.6	f	66.9	135.4	1,566.0	1,551.8	8.8	5.5	78.9	3,320.1	207
2026 ..	1,767.1	1,533.1	f	84.1	149.9	1,667.5	1,652.6	9.3	5.6	99.6	3,419.6	199
2027 ..	1,882.2	1,624.8	f	91.6	165.8	1,775.3	1,759.8	9.8	5.6	106.9	3,526.5	193
High-cost:												
2018 ..	995.1	878.1	f	34.7	82.4	1,005.6	994.6	6.2	4.9	-10.5	2,881.3	288
2019 ..	1,012.6	896.8	f	38.0	77.8	1,059.1	1,048.1	6.1	5.0	-46.5	2,834.8	272
2020 ..	1,035.1	920.5	f	41.7	72.9	1,119.1	1,107.9	6.1	5.1	-84.0	2,750.8	253
2021 ..	1,065.8	952.3	f	45.7	67.9	1,185.5	1,174.0	6.4	5.1	-119.7	2,631.1	232
2022 ..	1,098.0	986.8	f	49.6	61.6	1,256.1	1,244.0	6.8	5.3	-158.1	2,473.0	209
2023 ..	1,131.2	1,022.1	f	53.7	55.4	1,330.9	1,318.4	7.1	5.4	-199.7	2,273.3	186
2024 ..	1,166.2	1,059.2	f	58.1	48.9	1,409.1	1,396.2	7.4	5.4	-242.8	2,030.4	161
2025 ..	1,200.8	1,096.2	f	62.9	41.7	1,489.4	1,476.2	7.7	5.4	-288.6	1,741.9	136
2026 ..	1,248.4	1,134.7	f	78.3	35.3	1,571.7	1,558.2	8.0	5.5	-323.4	1,418.5	111
2027 ..	1,281.5	1,169.5	f	84.4	27.6	1,656.8	1,643.0	8.3	5.5	-375.3	1,043.2	86

^a Appendix A presents a detailed description of the components of income and cost, along with complete historical values.

^b Amounts for 2015 and 2016 are adjusted to include in 2016 operations those benefit payments regularly scheduled in the law to be paid on January 3, 2016, which were actually paid on December 31, 2015 as required by the statutory provision for early benefit payments when the normal delivery date is on a weekend or holiday. Such shifts in payments across calendar years have occurred in the past and will occur periodically in the future whenever January 3rd falls on a Sunday. In order to provide a consistent perspective on trust fund operations over time, all trust fund operations in each year reflect the 12 months of benefits that are regularly scheduled for payment in that year.

^c Includes reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury to the OASI and DI Trust Funds for: (1) the cost of benefits to certain uninsured persons who attained age 72 before 1968; (2) the cost of payroll tax credits provided to employees in 1984 and self-employed persons in 1984-89 by Public Law 98-21; (3) the cost in 2009-17 of excluding certain self-employment earnings from SECA taxes under Public Law 110-246; and (4) payroll tax revenue forgone under the provisions of Public Laws 111-147, 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96.

^d Revenue from taxation of benefits is the amount that would be assessed on benefit amounts scheduled in the law.

^e The "Trust fund ratio" column represents reserves at the beginning of a year (which are identical to reserves at the end of the prior year shown in the "Amount at end of year" column) as a percentage of cost for the year.

^f Between -\$50 million and \$50 million.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

4. Factors Underlying Changes in 10-Year Trust Fund Ratio Estimates From Last Year's Report

Table IV.A4 presents an analysis of the factors underlying the changes in the intermediate estimates over the short-range projection period for the OASI, DI, and the combined funds from last year's report to this report.

In the 2017 report under intermediate assumptions, the trust fund ratio for OASI reached 187 percent at the beginning of 2026—the tenth projection year for that report. The change in the short-range valuation period alone, from 2017 through 2026 to 2018 through 2027, lowered the estimated trust fund ratio for the tenth year by 19 percentage points, to 168 percent. All other changes to reflect modifications in law and regulations since last year's report, the most recent data, adjustments to the assumptions for future years, and changes in projection methods combined for a net decrease in the ratio for the tenth projection year of 14 percentage points. Therefore, the total change in the 10th-year projected trust fund ratio from last year's report to this year's report is a reduction of 33 percentage points to 154 percent.

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (P.L. 115-97) and the assumed discontinuation of the DACA program together reduced the projected tenth year OASI trust fund ratio by 6 percentage points, primarily due to lower projected tax income. Changes in demographic assumptions over the short-range period increased the projected tenth-year trust fund ratio for OASI by 3 percentage points. Changes in economic data and assumptions, primarily the effect of decreases in estimated payroll tax revenue, along with effects from lower projected interest rates and lower cost-of-living adjustments that largely offset each other, caused a net reduction in the OASI trust fund ratio of 16 percentage points by the beginning of 2027. Incorporating recent programmatic data resulted in an increase of 5 percentage points in the tenth year OASI trust fund ratio. This increase was primarily due to recent data showing that retired workers have been starting benefits at later ages, which in turn led to lower beneficiary counts throughout the short-range period, with only partially offsetting increases in average monthly benefit levels. Finally, the tenth year trust fund ratio was not affected significantly by changes in the short-range methodology for this report.

Table IV.A4 also shows corresponding estimates of the factors underlying the changes in the financial projections for the DI Trust Fund and for the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds.

The 4-percentage-point increase in the DI trust fund ratio from the beginning of 2026 in last year's report to the beginning of 2027 in this year's report is the net effect of increases and decreases from the factors described above for the OASI Trust Fund, combined with a large increase of 31 points due to pro-

Actuarial Estimates

grammatic data and assumptions, predominantly the lower estimated disabled-worker incidence rates early in the short-range projection period. Disability applications have been declining steadily since 2010, and the total number of disabled-worker beneficiaries in current payment status has been falling since 2014. In last year’s report, the number of disabled-worker beneficiaries was projected to remain essentially the same at 8.8 million from the end of 2016 to the end of 2017. In fact, the number dropped below 8.7 million by the end of 2017. For this report, ultimate disability incidence rate assumptions are unchanged from the last report. However, this year’s report has lower incidence rates over the first few years of the short-range period, and a gradual rise from recent low levels, reaching the ultimate DI incidence rates by the end of the short-range period. In addition, average awarded monthly benefit levels for disabled-worker beneficiaries were lower than expected in 2017, and are expected to be lower in the future. Disabled-worker average awarded monthly benefit levels were somewhat elevated in 2011 through 2016 due to reduced numbers of hearings decisions (where monthly benefit levels tend to be relatively low), as the number of applicants awaiting a hearing increased. In 2017, hearings decisions increased, thus restoring a more normal, and somewhat lower, average benefit level for disabled workers newly awarded benefits in 2017. See page 38 for more details on these changes in DI projections.

Table IV.A4.—Reasons for Change in Trust Fund (Unfunded Obligation) Ratios at the Beginning of the Tenth Year of Projection Under Intermediate Assumptions
[In percent]

Item	OASI Trust Fund	DI Trust Fund	OASI and DI Trust Funds, combined
Trust fund ratio shown in last year’s report for calendar year 2026	187	16	165
Change in trust fund ratio due to changes in:			
Legislation and regulations	-6	-3	-5
Valuation period	-19	-7	-18
Demographic data and assumptions	3	a	2
Economic data and assumptions	-16	-17	-16
Programmatic data and assumptions	5	31	9
Projection methods and data	a	a	a
Total change in trust fund ratio	-33	4	-28
Trust fund ratio shown in this report for calendar year 2027	154	20	137

^a Between -0.5 and 0.5 percent.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

B. LONG-RANGE ESTIMATES

The Trustees use three types of financial measures to assess the actuarial status of the Social Security trust funds under the financing approach specified in current law: (1) annual cash-flow measures, including income rates, cost rates, and balances; (2) trust fund ratios; and (3) summary measures such as actuarial balances and unfunded obligations.

The difference between the annual income rate and annual cost rate, both expressed as percentages of taxable payroll, is the annual balance. The level and trend of the annual balances at the end of the 75-year projection period are factors that the Trustees use to assess the financial condition of the program.

The trust fund ratio for a year is the proportion of the year's projected cost that could be paid with funds available at the beginning of the year. Critical factors considered by the Trustees in assessing actuarial status include: (1) the level and year of maximum trust fund ratio, (2) the year of depletion of the fund reserves and the percent of scheduled benefits that is still payable after reserves are depleted, and (3) the stability of the trust fund ratio at the end of the long-range period.

Solvency at any point in time requires that sufficient financial resources are available to pay all scheduled benefits at that time. Solvency is generally indicated by a positive trust fund ratio. "Sustainable solvency" for the financing of the program under a specified set of assumptions has been achieved when the projected trust fund ratio is positive throughout the 75-year projection period and is either stable or rising at the end of the period.

Summarized measures for any period indicate whether projected income is sufficient, on average, for the whole period. Summarized measures can only indicate the solvency status of a fund for the end of the period. The Trustees summarize the total income and cost over valuation periods that extend through 75 years and over the infinite horizon.¹ This section presents two summarized measures: the actuarial balance and the open group unfunded obligation. The actuarial balance indicates the size of any surplus or shortfall as a percentage of the taxable payroll over the period. The open group unfunded obligation indicates the size of any shortfall in present-value dollars.

This section also includes additional information that the Trustees use to assess the financial status of the Social Security program, including: (1) a comparison of the number of beneficiaries to the number of covered workers,

¹ See appendix F.

Actuarial Estimates

(2) the test of long-range close actuarial balance, and (3) the reasons for the change in the actuarial balance from the last report.

1. Annual Income Rates, Cost Rates, and Balances

The concepts of income rate and cost rate, expressed as percentages of taxable payroll, are important in the consideration of the long-range actuarial status of the trust funds. The annual income rate is the ratio of all non-interest income to the OASDI taxable payroll for the year. Non-interest income includes payroll taxes, taxes on scheduled benefits, and any General Fund transfers or reimbursements. The OASDI taxable payroll consists of the total earnings subject to OASDI taxes with some relatively small adjustments.¹ The annual cost rate is the ratio of the cost of the program to the taxable payroll for the year. The cost includes scheduled benefits, administrative expenses, net interchange with the Railroad Retirement program, and payments for vocational rehabilitation services for disabled beneficiaries. For any year, the income rate minus the cost rate is the “balance” for the year.

Table IV.B1 presents a comparison of the estimated annual income rates and cost rates by trust fund and alternative. Table IV.B2 shows the separate components of the annual income rates.

Under the intermediate assumptions, the Trustees project that the OASI income rate will increase from 10.30 percent of payroll for 2018 to 11.03 percent of payroll for 2019. The projected OASI income rate is low for 2018 because of the payroll tax rate reallocation of 0.57 percentage point from OASI to DI for 2016 through 2018, as enacted in the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015. After returning to the pre-reallocation level for 2019, the income rate generally rises at a very gradual rate to 11.52 percent of taxable payroll for 2092. Income from taxation of benefits causes a gradual increase in the OASI income rate for two main reasons: (1) total scheduled benefits are rising faster than payroll; and (2) the benefit-taxation threshold amounts are fixed (not indexed), and therefore an increasing share of total benefits will be subject to tax as incomes and benefits rise. There is also a one-time upward shift in the income rate, from 11.19 percent of payroll for 2025 to 11.31 percent of payroll for 2026, because of increased taxation of benefits due to expiration of the personal income tax provisions in Public Law 115-97, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act.

¹ Adjustments include adding deemed wage credits based on military service for 1983-2001 and reflecting the lower effective tax rates (as compared to the combined employee-employer rate) that apply to multiple-employer “excess wages.” Lower rates also applied to net earnings from self-employment before 1984 and to income from tips before 1988.

From 2018 to 2037, the OASI cost rate rises rapidly because the retirement of the baby-boom generation will continue to increase the number of beneficiaries much faster than the number of workers increases, as subsequent lower-birth-rate generations replace the baby-boom generation at working ages. From 2038 to 2052, the cost rate declines because the aging baby-boom generation is gradually replaced at retirement ages by the subsequently lower-birth-rate generation born between 1966 and 1989. After 2052, the projected OASI cost rate rises through 2078 and then fluctuates, reaching 15.48 percent of taxable payroll for 2092, with the increase primarily because of projected reductions in death rates at older ages.

Projections of income rates under the low-cost and high-cost sets of assumptions are similar to those projected for the intermediate assumptions, because income rates are largely a reflection of the payroll tax rates specified in the law, with the changes from taxation of benefits noted above. In contrast, OASI cost rates for the low-cost and high-cost assumptions are significantly different from those projected for the intermediate assumptions. For the low-cost assumptions, the OASI cost rate decreases through 2019, and then rises until it peaks in 2036 at 12.60 percent of payroll. The cost rate then declines to 11.56 percent for 2055, rises to 11.74 percent for 2071, and declines again to 11.18 percent for 2088 before rising to 11.30 percent for 2092, at which point the income rate reaches 11.26 percent. For the high-cost assumptions, the OASI cost rate rises throughout the 75-year period. It rises relatively rapidly through about 2039 because of the aging of the baby-boom generation. Thereafter, the cost rate continues to rise and reaches 21.97 percent of payroll for 2092, at which point the income rate reaches 11.92 percent.

The pattern of the projected OASI annual balance is important in the analysis of the financial condition of the program. Under the intermediate assumptions, the annual balance is negative throughout the projection period. This annual deficit is temporarily higher for years 2016 through 2018 because of the 0.57-percentage-point payroll tax rate reallocation from OASI to DI. After returning to the pre-reallocation tax rates in 2019, the annual deficit then rises relatively rapidly from 0.91 percent for 2019 to 3.41 percent for 2038. It then declines to 2.99 percent of payroll for 2052, and generally rises thereafter, reaching 3.96 percent of taxable payroll for 2092.

Under the low-cost assumptions, after the 2016-2018 payroll tax rate reallocation period, the OASI annual deficit generally rises from 0.56 percent of payroll for 2019 to 1.30 percent of payroll for 2035. Then the annual deficit generally declines until it becomes a positive annual balance for 2085. The annual balance turns negative again for 2092, at which point the deficit is 0.04 percent of payroll. Under the high-cost assumptions, the OASI balance generally worsens throughout the projection period. Annual deficits rise to

Actuarial Estimates

1.77 percent for 2020, 6.48 percent for 2050, and 10.05 percent of payroll for 2092.

**Table IV.B1.—Annual Income Rates, Cost Rates, and Balances,
Calendar Years 1990-2095**
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Calendar year	OASI			DI			OASDI		
	Income rate ^a	Cost rate ^b	Balance ^b	Income rate ^a	Cost rate ^b	Balance ^b	Income rate ^a	Cost rate ^b	Balance ^b
Historical data:									
1990.....	11.47	9.65	1.82	1.18	1.09	0.10	12.65	10.74	1.91
1995.....	10.65	10.23	.42	1.87	1.44	.43	12.52	11.67	.85
2000.....	10.85	8.98	1.87	1.78	1.42	.36	12.62	10.40	2.23
2005.....	10.96	9.31	1.65	1.84	1.85	-.02	12.80	11.16	1.63
2006.....	10.96	9.18	1.78	1.83	1.88	-.05	12.79	11.06	1.73
2007.....	11.01	9.44	1.57	1.84	1.88	-.04	12.85	11.32	1.53
2008.....	10.90	9.54	1.37	1.83	2.01	-.19	12.73	11.55	1.18
2009.....	11.23	10.74	.50	1.88	2.31	-.43	13.11	13.05	.06
2010.....	10.75	11.06	-.30	1.79	2.41	-.62	12.54	13.47	-.92
2011.....	10.84	11.04	-.21	1.80	2.42	-.62	12.64	13.47	-.83
2012.....	11.05	11.35	-.30	1.81	2.47	-.66	12.86	13.82	-.96
2013.....	10.97	11.54	-.57	1.81	2.44	-.63	12.78	13.98	-1.20
2014.....	10.96	11.60	-.64	1.81	2.36	-.55	12.77	13.96	-1.19
2015.....	11.00	11.62	-.62	1.80	2.27	-.47	12.80	13.89	-1.09
2016.....	10.71	11.70	-.99	2.39	2.20	.19	13.10	13.90	-.80
2017.....	10.67	11.60	-.92	2.43	2.10	.33	13.10	13.69	-.59
Intermediate:									
2018.....	10.30	11.75	-1.46	2.35	2.06	.29	12.64	13.81	-1.17
2019.....	11.03	11.94	-.91	1.84	2.01	-.17	12.87	13.95	-1.08
2020.....	11.08	12.16	-1.08	1.82	1.97	-.15	12.89	14.12	-1.23
2021.....	11.10	12.33	-1.23	1.82	1.94	-.12	12.92	14.27	-1.35
2022.....	11.13	12.52	-1.40	1.82	1.91	-.09	12.95	14.44	-1.49
2023.....	11.14	12.72	-1.57	1.82	1.90	-.08	12.97	14.62	-1.66
2024.....	11.17	12.91	-1.74	1.82	1.89	-.07	12.99	14.80	-1.81
2025.....	11.19	13.09	-1.91	1.82	1.89	-.07	13.01	14.98	-1.98
2026.....	11.31	13.27	-1.96	1.83	1.89	-.06	13.13	15.16	-2.02
2027.....	11.33	13.47	-2.14	1.83	1.90	-.07	13.15	15.36	-2.21
2030.....	11.37	14.09	-2.72	1.83	1.89	-.07	13.20	15.98	-2.78
2035.....	11.42	14.71	-3.28	1.83	1.95	-.12	13.25	16.65	-3.40
2040.....	11.44	14.83	-3.39	1.83	2.00	-.17	13.27	16.83	-3.56
2045.....	11.43	14.59	-3.15	1.83	2.07	-.24	13.27	16.66	-3.39
2050.....	11.43	14.44	-3.01	1.84	2.10	-.27	13.27	16.54	-3.27
2055.....	11.44	14.46	-3.02	1.84	2.13	-.29	13.28	16.59	-3.31
2060.....	11.46	14.70	-3.24	1.84	2.11	-.27	13.29	16.81	-3.51
2065.....	11.48	14.95	-3.47	1.84	2.12	-.28	13.31	17.07	-3.75
2070.....	11.50	15.23	-3.74	1.84	2.13	-.29	13.34	17.36	-4.03
2075.....	11.51	15.48	-3.96	1.84	2.11	-.27	13.35	17.59	-4.23
2080.....	11.52	15.49	-3.97	1.84	2.11	-.28	13.36	17.61	-4.25
2085.....	11.51	15.37	-3.86	1.84	2.16	-.32	13.35	17.53	-4.18
2090.....	11.52	15.40	-3.89	1.84	2.20	-.36	13.35	17.60	-4.24
2095.....	11.53	15.63	-4.10	1.84	2.20	-.36	13.37	17.82	-4.45
First year balance becomes negative and remains negative throughout the 75-year projection period.....									
			2010.....				2019.....		2010

Long-Range Estimates

**Table IV.B1.—Annual Income Rates, Cost Rates, and Balances,
Calendar Years 1990-2095 (Cont.)**
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Calendar year	OASI			DI			OASDI		
	Income rate ^a	Cost rate ^b	Balance ^b	Income rate ^a	Cost rate ^b	Balance ^b	Income rate ^a	Cost rate ^b	Balance ^b
Low-cost:									
2018.....	10.24	11.59	-1.35	2.34	2.00	0.34	12.58	13.59	-1.01
2019.....	11.01	11.56	-.56	1.84	1.90	-.06	12.85	13.46	-.62
2020.....	11.04	11.60	-.56	1.81	1.81	^c	12.86	13.41	-.55
2021.....	11.06	11.61	-.55	1.81	1.74	.07	12.88	13.35	-.48
2022.....	11.08	11.66	-.58	1.82	1.69	.13	12.90	13.35	-.45
2023.....	11.09	11.72	-.63	1.82	1.64	.17	12.91	13.36	-.45
2024.....	11.11	11.79	-.67	1.82	1.61	.21	12.93	13.39	-.46
2025.....	11.12	11.84	-.72	1.82	1.58	.24	12.94	13.42	-.48
2026.....	11.22	11.89	-.67	1.82	1.56	.26	13.04	13.45	-.41
2027.....	11.23	11.96	-.73	1.82	1.54	.28	13.06	13.50	-.45
2030.....	11.27	12.33	-1.06	1.82	1.49	.34	13.09	13.81	-.72
2035.....	11.30	12.60	-1.30	1.82	1.46	.36	13.12	14.06	-.94
2040.....	11.30	12.44	-1.14	1.82	1.45	.37	13.12	13.89	-.76
2045.....	11.28	11.98	-.70	1.82	1.47	.35	13.10	13.45	-.35
2050.....	11.27	11.67	-.41	1.82	1.46	.36	13.09	13.13	-.05
2055.....	11.26	11.56	-.29	1.82	1.46	.36	13.09	13.02	.07
2060.....	11.27	11.63	-.36	1.82	1.44	.38	13.09	13.07	.03
2065.....	11.28	11.69	-.41	1.82	1.44	.39	13.10	13.12	-.02
2070.....	11.28	11.74	-.46	1.82	1.44	.39	13.11	13.17	-.07
2075.....	11.28	11.72	-.43	1.82	1.42	.40	13.10	13.14	-.03
2080.....	11.27	11.50	-.23	1.82	1.43	.39	13.09	12.92	.17
2085.....	11.25	11.23	.02	1.82	1.47	.35	13.08	12.70	.38
2090.....	11.25	11.22	.04	1.82	1.50	.32	13.08	12.72	.36
2095.....	11.27	11.45	-.18	1.82	1.50	.32	13.09	12.95	.14
First year balance becomes negative and remains negative throughout the 75-year projection period.....									
			2092.....			^d			^d
High-cost:									
2018.....	10.33	11.87	-1.54	2.35	2.11	.25	12.69	13.98	-1.29
2019.....	11.06	12.47	-1.41	1.85	2.15	-.31	12.91	14.63	-1.72
2020.....	11.11	12.88	-1.77	1.82	2.16	-.34	12.93	15.04	-2.11
2021.....	11.15	13.24	-2.09	1.82	2.18	-.35	12.97	15.41	-2.44
2022.....	11.18	13.58	-2.40	1.82	2.19	-.36	13.01	15.76	-2.76
2023.....	11.21	13.92	-2.71	1.83	2.20	-.38	13.03	16.12	-3.09
2024.....	11.24	14.25	-3.02	1.83	2.22	-.40	13.07	16.48	-3.41
2025.....	11.26	14.57	-3.31	1.83	2.25	-.42	13.09	16.82	-3.73
2026.....	11.40	14.87	-3.47	1.83	2.28	-.44	13.24	17.15	-3.91
2027.....	11.43	15.22	-3.79	1.84	2.31	-.47	13.27	17.53	-4.26

Actuarial Estimates

**Table IV.B1.—Annual Income Rates, Cost Rates, and Balances,
Calendar Years 1990-2095 (Cont.)**
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Calendar year	OASI			DI			OASDI		
	Income rate ^a	Cost rate ^b	Balance ^b	Income rate ^a	Cost rate ^b	Balance ^b	Income rate ^a	Cost rate ^b	Balance ^b
High-cost (Cont.):									
2030.....	11.49	16.16	-4.66	1.84	2.37	-0.53	13.33	18.53	-5.20
2035.....	11.57	17.23	-5.66	1.84	2.52	-.68	13.41	19.75	-6.34
2040.....	11.61	17.79	-6.18	1.84	2.66	-.82	13.46	20.45	-6.99
2045.....	11.63	17.94	-6.31	1.85	2.83	-.98	13.48	20.77	-7.29
2050.....	11.65	18.13	-6.48	1.85	2.92	-1.07	13.50	21.05	-7.55
2055.....	11.67	18.45	-6.77	1.85	2.99	-1.14	13.53	21.44	-7.91
2060.....	11.71	18.99	-7.28	1.85	3.00	-1.14	13.57	21.99	-8.42
2065.....	11.75	19.58	-7.82	1.86	3.02	-1.17	13.61	22.60	-8.99
2070.....	11.80	20.28	-8.48	1.86	3.05	-1.19	13.66	23.33	-9.67
2075.....	11.85	21.01	-9.16	1.86	3.02	-1.16	13.70	24.03	-10.33
2080.....	11.88	21.50	-9.62	1.86	3.01	-1.15	13.74	24.50	-10.77
2085.....	11.90	21.73	-9.83	1.86	3.03	-1.17	13.76	24.75	-11.00
2090.....	11.91	21.89	-9.98	1.86	3.06	-1.20	13.77	24.95	-11.18
2095.....	11.93	22.10	-10.18	1.86	3.07	-1.21	13.78	25.17	-11.38
First year balance becomes negative and remains negative throughout the 75-year projection period.....									
			2010				2019		2010

^a Income rates include certain reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury.
^b Benefit payments scheduled to be paid on January 3 are actually paid on December 31 as required by the statutory provision for early delivery of benefit payments when the normal payment delivery date is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday. For comparability with the values for historical years and the projections in this report, all trust fund operations and asset reserves reflect the 12 months of benefits scheduled for payment each year.
^c Between 0 and 0.005 percent of taxable payroll.
^d The annual balance is projected to be negative for a temporary period and return to positive levels before the end of the projection period.

- Notes:
1. The income rate excludes interest income.
2. Revisions of taxable payroll may change some historical values.
3. Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Under the intermediate assumptions, the projected DI cost rate declines from 2.06 percent for 2018 to 1.89 percent for 2025, and remains relatively stable through 2032. After 2032, the DI cost rate increases gradually to 2.13 percent for 2055. From 2055 to 2077, the DI cost rate stays relatively stable before increasing slowly to 2.20 percent of payroll for 2092. The projected DI income rate decreases from 2.35 percent of payroll for 2018 to 1.84 for 2019. Between 2016 and 2018, the income rate is higher due to the temporary payroll tax rate reallocation. Thereafter, the income rate remains relatively stable, reaching 1.84 percent for 2092. The annual balance is positive for years 2016 through 2018, reflecting the reallocation. Thereafter, the annual deficit reappears, generally declines from 0.17 percent for 2019 to a low of 0.06 percent for 2029, and then generally increases to 0.36 percent for 2092.

Under the low-cost assumptions, the projected DI cost rate declines from 2.00 percent of payroll for 2018 to 1.45 percent for 2039, and remains rela-

tively stable thereafter, reaching 1.51 percent for 2092. The annual balance is positive for 2018, negative for 2019, and positive throughout the remainder of the long-range period. Under the high-cost assumptions, the DI cost rate generally rises throughout the projection period, reaching 3.06 percent for 2092. The annual deficit is negative from 2019 through the remainder of the projection period, reaching 0.31 percent for 2019, 1.07 percent for 2050, and 1.20 percent for 2092.

Figure IV.B1 shows the patterns of the historical and projected OASI and DI annual cost rates. Annual DI cost rates rose substantially between 1990 and 2010 in large part due to: (1) aging of the working population as the baby-boom generation moved from ages 25-44 in 1990, where disability prevalence is low, to ages 45-64 in 2010, where disability prevalence is much higher; (2) a substantial increase in the percentage of women insured for DI benefits as a result of increased and more consistent rates of employment; and (3) increased disability incidence rates for women to a level similar to those for men by 2010. After 2010, all of these factors stabilize, and therefore the DI cost rate stabilizes also. Annual OASI cost rates follow a similar pattern to that for DI, but displaced 20 to 25 years later, because the baby-boom generation enters retirement ages 20 to 25 years after entering prime disability ages. Figure IV.B1 shows only the income rates for alternative II because the variation in income rates by alternative is very small. Income rates generally increase slowly for each of the alternatives over the long-range period. Taxation of benefits, which is a relatively small portion of income, is the main source of both the increases in the income rate and the variation among the alternatives. Increases in income from taxation of benefits reflect: (1) increases in the total amount of benefits scheduled to be paid and (2) the increasing share of individual benefits that will be subject to taxation because benefit taxation threshold amounts are not indexed.


Table IV.B1 shows the annual balances for OASI, DI, and OASDI. The pattern of the annual balances is important to the analysis of the financial condition of the Social Security program as a whole. As seen in figure IV.B1, the magnitude of each of the positive balances is the distance between the appropriate cost-rate curve and the income-rate curve above it. The magnitude of each of the deficits is the distance between the appropriate cost-rate curve and the income-rate curve below it. Annual balances follow closely the pattern of annual cost rates after 1990 because the payroll tax rate does not change for the OASDI program, with only small variations in the allocation between DI and OASI except for the 2016-2018 payroll tax rate reallocation.

In the future, the costs of OASI, DI, and the combined OASDI programs as a percentage of taxable payroll are unlikely to fall outside the range encom-

Actuarial Estimates

passed by alternatives I and III because alternatives I and III define a wide range of demographic and economic conditions.

Figure IV.B1.—Long-Range OASI and DI Annual Income Rates and Cost Rates
 [As a percentage of taxable payroll]



Long-range OASDI cost and income are most often expressed as percentages of taxable payroll. However, the Trustees also present cost and income as shares of gross domestic product (GDP), the value of goods and services produced during the year in the United States. Under alternative II, the Trustees project OASDI cost to increase from about 4.9 percent of GDP for 2018 to a peak of about 6.1 percent for 2038. After 2038, OASDI cost as a percentage of GDP declines to a low of about 5.9 percent for 2052 and thereafter generally increases slowly, reaching about 6.1 percent by 2092. Appendix G presents full estimates of income and cost relative to GDP.

Table IV.B2 contains historical and projected annual income rates and their components by trust fund and alternative. The annual income rates consist of the scheduled payroll tax rates, the rates of income from taxation of scheduled benefits, and the rates of income from General Fund reimbursements. Projected income from taxation of benefits increases over time for reasons discussed on page 52. Historical General Fund reimbursements include temporary reductions in revenue due to reduced payroll tax rates and certain other miscellaneous items.

Long-Range Estimates

Table IV.B2.—Components of Annual Income Rates, Calendar Years 1990-2095
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Calendar year	OASI				DI				OASDI			
	Payroll tax	Tax-ation of bene-fits ^a	General Fund Reim-burse-ments ^b	Total ^c	Payroll tax	Tax-ation of bene-fits ^a	General Fund Reim-burse-ments ^b	Total ^c	Payroll tax	Tax-ation of bene-fits ^a	General Fund Reim-burse-ments ^b	Total ^c
Historical data:												
1990 ..	11.29	0.21	-0.03	11.47	1.21	0.01	-0.03	1.18	12.50	0.21	-0.06	12.65
1995 ..	10.46	.19	-.01	10.65	1.87	.01	-.01	1.87	12.33	.20	-.01	12.52
2000 ..	10.56	.29		10.85	1.78	.02	-.02	1.78	12.34	.31	-.02	12.62
2005 ..	10.68	.29	-.01	10.96	1.81	.02	^d 1.84	12.49	.31	-.01	12.80	
2006 ..	10.65	.31	^d	10.96	1.81	.02	^d 1.83	12.46	.34	^d	12.79	
2007 ..	10.68	.33	^d	11.01	1.81	.03	^d 1.84	12.50	.35	^d	12.85	
2008 ..	10.61	.29	^d	10.90	1.80	.02	^d 1.83	12.42	.31	^d	12.73	
2009 ..	10.85	.38	^d	11.23	1.84	.04	^d 1.88	12.70	.42	^d	13.11	
2010 ..	10.30	.42	.04	10.75	1.75	.04	.01	1.79	12.05	.45	.05	12.54
2011 ..	8.82	.41	1.61	10.84	1.50	.03	.27	1.80	10.32	.44	1.88	12.64
2012 ..	8.86	.47	1.72	11.05	1.51	.01	.29	1.81	10.37	.48	2.01	12.86
2013 ..	10.54	.35	.07	10.97	1.79	.01	.01	1.81	12.33	.36	.08	12.78
2014 ..	10.50	.45	.01	10.96	1.78	.03	^d 1.81	12.28	.48	.01	12.77	
2015 ..	10.52	.47	^d	11.00	1.79	.02	^d 1.80	12.31	.49	.01	12.80	
2016 ..	10.23	.48	^d	10.71	2.37	.02	^d 2.39	12.60	.49	^d	13.10	
2017 ..	10.16	.52	^d	10.67	2.40	.03	^d 2.43	12.56	.54	^d	13.10	
Intermediate:												
2018 ..	9.84	.46	^d	10.30	2.33	.02	^d 2.35	12.17	.48	^d	12.64	
2019 ..	10.55	.48	^d	11.03	1.82	.02	^d 1.84	12.37	.50	^d	12.87	
2020 ..	10.57	.51	^d	11.08	1.79	.02	^d 1.82	12.37	.53	^d	12.89	
2021 ..	10.57	.53	^d	11.10	1.80	.02	^d 1.82	12.37	.55	^d	12.92	
2022 ..	10.58	.55	^d	11.13	1.80	.02	^d 1.82	12.37	.57	^d	12.95	
2023 ..	10.58	.57	^d	11.14	1.80	.02	^d 1.82	12.37	.59	^d	12.97	
2024 ..	10.58	.59	^d	11.17	1.80	.03	^d 1.82	12.38	.61	^d	12.99	
2025 ..	10.58	.61	^d	11.19	1.80	.03	^d 1.82	12.37	.64	^d	13.01	
2026 ..	10.58	.73	^d	11.31	1.80	.03	^d 1.83	12.37	.76	^d	13.13	
2027 ..	10.57	.76	^d	11.33	1.80	.03	^d 1.83	12.37	.79	^d	13.15	
2030 ..	10.57	.80	^d	11.37	1.80	.03	^d 1.83	12.37	.83	^d	13.20	
2035 ..	10.57	.85	^d	11.42	1.80	.04	^d 1.83	12.37	.89	^d	13.25	
2040 ..	10.57	.87	^d	11.44	1.80	.04	^d 1.83	12.37	.91	^d	13.27	
2045 ..	10.57	.86	^d	11.43	1.80	.04	^d 1.83	12.37	.90	^d	13.27	
2050 ..	10.57	.86	^d	11.43	1.80	.04	^d 1.84	12.37	.90	^d	13.27	
2055 ..	10.57	.87	^d	11.44	1.80	.04	^d 1.84	12.37	.91	^d	13.28	
2060 ..	10.57	.89	^d	11.46	1.80	.04	^d 1.84	12.37	.93	^d	13.29	
2065 ..	10.57	.90	^d	11.48	1.80	.04	^d 1.84	12.37	.95	^d	13.31	
2070 ..	10.57	.93	^d	11.50	1.80	.04	^d 1.84	12.37	.97	^d	13.34	
2075 ..	10.57	.94	^d	11.51	1.80	.04	^d 1.84	12.37	.98	^d	13.35	
2080 ..	10.57	.95	^d	11.52	1.80	.04	^d 1.84	12.37	.99	^d	13.36	
2085 ..	10.57	.94	^d	11.51	1.80	.04	^d 1.84	12.37	.98	^d	13.35	
2090 ..	10.57	.94	^d	11.52	1.80	.04	^d 1.84	12.37	.99	^d	13.35	
2095 ..	10.57	.96	^d	11.53	1.80	.04	^d 1.84	12.37	1.00	^d	13.37	
Low-cost:												
2018 ..	9.80	.45	^d	10.24	2.31	.02	^d 2.34	12.11	.47	^d	12.58	
2019 ..	10.54	.46	^d	11.01	1.82	.02	^d 1.84	12.36	.49	^d	12.85	
2020 ..	10.56	.48	^d	11.04	1.79	.02	^d 1.81	12.36	.50	^d	12.86	
2021 ..	10.56	.50	^d	11.06	1.79	.02	^d 1.81	12.36	.52	^d	12.88	
2022 ..	10.57	.51	^d	11.08	1.79	.02	^d 1.82	12.36	.53	^d	12.90	
2023 ..	10.57	.52	^d	11.09	1.79	.02	^d 1.82	12.36	.54	^d	12.91	
2024 ..	10.58	.54	^d	11.11	1.80	.02	^d 1.82	12.37	.56	^d	12.93	
2025 ..	10.57	.55	^d	11.12	1.79	.02	^d 1.82	12.36	.57	^d	12.94	
2026 ..	10.57	.65	^d	11.22	1.80	.03	^d 1.82	12.37	.68	^d	13.04	
2027 ..	10.56	.67	^d	11.23	1.79	.03	^d 1.82	12.36	.70	^d	13.06	

Actuarial Estimates

Table IV.B2.—Components of Annual Income Rates, Calendar Years 1990-2095 (Cont.)
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Calendar year	OASI				DI				OASDI			
	Payroll tax	Tax-ation of bene-fits ^a	General Fund Reim-burse-ments ^b	Total ^c	Payroll tax	Tax-ation of bene-fits ^a	General Fund Reim-burse-ments ^b	Total ^c	Payroll tax	Tax-ation of bene-fits ^a	General Fund Reim-burse-ments ^b	Total ^c
Low-cost (Cont.):												
2030 ..	10.57	0.70	d 11.27	1.79	0.03	d 1.82	12.36	0.73	d 13.09			
2035 ..	10.57	.73	d 11.30	1.79	.03	d 1.82	12.36	.76	d 13.12			
2040 ..	10.57	.73	d 11.30	1.79	.03	d 1.82	12.36	.76	d 13.12			
2045 ..	10.57	.71	d 11.28	1.79	.03	d 1.82	12.36	.74	d 13.10			
2050 ..	10.57	.70	d 11.27	1.79	.03	d 1.82	12.36	.73	d 13.09			
2055 ..	10.57	.70	d 11.26	1.79	.03	d 1.82	12.36	.73	d 13.09			
2060 ..	10.57	.71	d 11.27	1.79	.03	d 1.82	12.36	.73	d 13.09			
2065 ..	10.57	.71	d 11.28	1.79	.03	d 1.82	12.36	.74	d 13.10			
2070 ..	10.57	.72	d 11.28	1.79	.03	d 1.82	12.36	.75	d 13.11			
2075 ..	10.57	.72	d 11.28	1.79	.03	d 1.82	12.36	.75	d 13.10			
2080 ..	10.57	.70	d 11.27	1.79	.03	d 1.82	12.36	.73	d 13.09			
2085 ..	10.57	.69	d 11.25	1.79	.03	d 1.82	12.36	.72	d 13.08			
2090 ..	10.57	.69	d 11.25	1.79	.03	d 1.82	12.36	.72	d 13.08			
2095 ..	10.57	.70	d 11.27	1.79	.03	d 1.82	12.36	.73	d 13.09			
High-cost:												
2018 ..	9.87	.46	d 10.33	2.33	.02	d 2.35	12.21	.48	d 12.69			
2019 ..	10.56	.50	d 11.06	1.83	.02	d 1.85	12.39	.52	d 12.91			
2020 ..	10.58	.54	d 11.11	1.80	.03	d 1.82	12.37	.56	d 12.93			
2021 ..	10.58	.57	d 11.15	1.80	.03	d 1.82	12.38	.59	d 12.97			
2022 ..	10.59	.59	d 11.18	1.80	.03	d 1.82	12.38	.62	d 13.01			
2023 ..	10.58	.62	d 11.21	1.80	.03	d 1.83	12.38	.65	d 13.03			
2024 ..	10.59	.65	d 11.24	1.80	.03	d 1.83	12.39	.68	d 13.07			
2025 ..	10.58	.68	d 11.26	1.80	.03	d 1.83	12.38	.71	d 13.09			
2026 ..	10.58	.82	d 11.40	1.80	.04	d 1.83	12.38	.85	d 13.24			
2027 ..	10.58	.85	d 11.43	1.80	.04	d 1.84	12.37	.89	d 13.27			
2030 ..	10.58	.92	d 11.49	1.80	.04	d 1.84	12.38	.96	d 13.33			
2035 ..	10.58	.99	d 11.57	1.80	.04	d 1.84	12.38	1.03	d 13.41			
2040 ..	10.58	1.03	d 11.61	1.80	.05	d 1.84	12.38	1.08	d 13.46			
2045 ..	10.58	1.05	d 11.63	1.80	.05	d 1.85	12.38	1.10	d 13.48			
2050 ..	10.58	1.07	d 11.65	1.80	.05	d 1.85	12.38	1.12	d 13.50			
2055 ..	10.58	1.09	d 11.67	1.80	.06	d 1.85	12.38	1.15	d 13.53			
2060 ..	10.58	1.13	d 11.71	1.80	.06	d 1.85	12.38	1.19	d 13.57			
2065 ..	10.58	1.17	d 11.75	1.80	.06	d 1.86	12.38	1.23	d 13.61			
2070 ..	10.58	1.22	d 11.80	1.80	.06	d 1.86	12.38	1.28	d 13.66			
2075 ..	10.58	1.27	d 11.85	1.80	.06	d 1.86	12.38	1.33	d 13.70			
2080 ..	10.58	1.30	d 11.88	1.80	.06	d 1.86	12.38	1.36	d 13.74			
2085 ..	10.58	1.32	d 11.90	1.80	.06	d 1.86	12.38	1.38	d 13.76			
2090 ..	10.58	1.33	d 11.91	1.80	.06	d 1.86	12.38	1.39	d 13.77			
2095 ..	10.58	1.35	d 11.93	1.80	.06	d 1.86	12.38	1.41	d 13.78			

^a Revenue from taxation of benefits is the amount that would be assessed on benefit amounts scheduled in the law.

^b Includes payroll tax revenue forgone under the provisions of Public Laws 111-147, 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96, and other miscellaneous reimbursements.

^c Values exclude interest income.

^d Between -0.005 and 0.005 percent of taxable payroll.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

2. Comparison of Workers to Beneficiaries

Under the intermediate assumptions, the Trustees project the OASDI cost rate will rise rapidly between 2018 and 2035, primarily because the number of beneficiaries rises much more rapidly than the number of covered workers as the baby-boom generation retires. The ratio of OASDI beneficiaries to workers is dominated by the OASI program because all workers eventually die or retire, but only a relatively small minority become disabled. The trends described below are primarily due to demographic changes and thus affect the DI program roughly 20 years earlier than the OASI and OASDI programs. The baby-boom generation had lower fertility rates than their parents, and the Trustees expect that lower fertility rates will persist for all future generations; therefore, the ratio of OASDI beneficiaries to workers will rise rapidly and reach a permanently higher level after the baby-boom generation retires. Due to increasing longevity, the ratio of beneficiaries to workers will generally rise slowly thereafter. Table IV.B3 provides a comparison of the numbers of covered workers and beneficiaries.

Table IV.B3.—Covered Workers and Beneficiaries, Calendar Years 1945-2095

Calendar year	Covered workers ^a (in thousands)	Beneficiaries ^b (in thousands)			Covered workers per OASDI beneficiary	OASDI beneficiaries per 100 covered workers
		OASI	DI	OASDI ^c		
Historical data:						
1945	46,390	1,106	-	1,106	41.9	2
1950	48,280	2,930	-	2,930	16.5	6
1955	65,066	7,564	-	7,564	8.6	12
1960	72,371	13,740	522	14,262	5.1	20
1965	80,539	18,509	1,648	20,157	4.0	25
1970	92,963	22,618	2,568	25,186	3.7	27
1975	100,193	26,998	4,125	31,123	3.2	31
1980	112,651	30,384	4,734	35,117	3.2	31
1985	120,442	32,763	3,874	36,636	3.3	30
1990	133,013	35,255	4,204	39,459	3.4	30
1995	140,819	37,364	5,731	43,096	3.3	31
2000	154,756	38,556	6,606	45,162	3.4	29
2005	159,169	39,961	8,172	48,133	3.3	30
2006	161,736	40,435	8,428	48,863	3.3	30
2007	163,500	40,863	8,739	49,603	3.3	30
2008	162,868	41,355	9,065	50,420	3.2	31
2009	157,852	42,385	9,475	51,860	3.0	33
2010	157,218	43,440	9,958	53,398	2.9	34
2011	158,763	44,388	10,428	54,816	2.9	35
2012	160,920	45,377	10,799	56,176	2.9	35
2013	163,236	46,517	10,954	57,471	2.8	35
2014	165,541	47,603	10,971	58,574	2.8	35
2015	168,399	48,663	10,881	59,543	2.8	35
2016	171,287	49,811	10,728	60,539	2.8	35
2017	173,568	50,962	10,517	61,480	2.8	35
Intermediate:						
2018	175,271	52,271	10,379	62,651	2.8	36
2020	177,759	55,305	10,358	65,662	2.7	37
2025	183,205	63,004	10,779	73,783	2.5	40
2030	186,845	69,865	11,208	81,073	2.3	43
2035	189,588	74,745	11,763	86,507	2.2	46

Actuarial Estimates

Table IV.B3.—Covered Workers and Beneficiaries, Calendar Years 1945-2095 (Cont.)

Calendar year	Covered workers ^a (in thousands)	Beneficiaries ^b (in thousands)			Covered workers per OASDI beneficiary	OASDI beneficiaries per 100 covered workers
		OASI	DI	OASDI ^c		
2040	192,984	77,164	12,420	89,584	2.2	46
2045	197,749	78,151	13,234	91,385	2.2	46
2050	202,747	79,599	13,793	93,393	2.2	46
2055	207,617	81,787	14,299	96,086	2.2	46
2060	212,005	84,838	14,537	99,375	2.1	47
Intermediate (Cont.):						
2065	216,214	87,904	14,905	102,809	2.1	48
2070	220,775	91,292	15,299	106,591	2.1	48
2075	225,927	94,659	15,543	110,202	2.1	49
2080	231,541	96,822	15,958	112,780	2.1	49
2085	237,387	98,392	16,637	115,028	2.1	48
2090	243,033	100,911	17,306	118,218	2.1	49
2095	248,351	104,546	17,708	122,254	2.0	49
Low-cost:						
2018	175,775	52,257	10,334	62,590	2.8	36
2020	179,916	55,231	10,095	65,326	2.8	36
2025	186,769	62,714	9,936	72,650	2.6	39
2030	191,039	69,187	9,849	79,036	2.4	41
2035	194,666	73,577	9,970	83,547	2.3	43
2040	199,745	75,462	10,236	85,697	2.3	43
2045	207,156	75,937	10,709	86,646	2.4	42
2050	215,162	76,949	11,054	88,004	2.4	41
2055	223,043	78,846	11,421	90,268	2.5	40
2060	230,486	81,672	11,644	93,315	2.5	40
2065	238,073	84,522	12,023	96,545	2.5	41
2070	246,626	87,644	12,480	100,124	2.5	41
2075	256,510	90,638	12,881	103,519	2.5	40
2080	267,374	92,409	13,477	105,886	2.5	40
2085	278,549	93,944	14,360	108,304	2.6	39
2090	289,356	97,316	15,215	112,531	2.6	39
2095	299,775	102,580	15,780	118,360	2.5	39
High-cost:						
2018	175,364	52,284	10,424	62,708	2.8	36
2020	174,673	55,367	10,615	65,982	2.6	38
2025	179,634	63,299	11,600	74,898	2.4	42
2030	182,805	70,617	12,580	83,197	2.2	46
2035	184,821	76,084	13,549	89,633	2.1	48
2040	186,633	79,150	14,575	93,725	2.0	50
2045	188,731	80,781	15,734	96,515	2.0	51
2050	190,796	82,783	16,505	99,288	1.9	52
2055	192,731	85,351	17,124	102,475	1.9	53
2060	194,199	88,680	17,335	106,014	1.8	55
2065	195,261	91,961	17,613	109,574	1.8	56
2070	196,160	95,626	17,834	113,460	1.7	58
2075	197,070	99,368	17,770	117,138	1.7	59
2080	198,056	101,911	17,799	119,710	1.7	60
2085	199,240	103,463	18,008	121,471	1.6	61
2090	200,435	104,868	18,286	123,154	1.6	61
2095	201,510	106,487	18,438	124,925	1.6	62

^a Workers who are paid at some time during the year for employment on which OASDI taxes are due.

^b Beneficiaries with monthly benefits in current-payment status as of June 30.

^c This column is the sum of OASI and DI beneficiaries. A small number of beneficiaries receive benefits from both funds.


Notes:

1. The number of beneficiaries does not include uninsured individuals who received benefits under Section 228 of the Social Security Act. The General Fund of the Treasury reimbursed the trust funds for the costs of most of these individuals.
2. Historical covered worker and beneficiary data are subject to revision.
3. Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

The effect of the demographic shift under the three alternatives on the OASDI cost rates is clear when one considers the projected number of OASDI beneficiaries per 100 covered workers. Compared to the 2017 level of 35 beneficiaries per 100 covered workers, the Trustees project that this ratio rises to 46 by 2035 under the intermediate assumptions because the growth in beneficiaries greatly exceeds the growth in workers. By 2095, this projected ratio rises further under the intermediate and high-cost assumptions, reaching 49 under the intermediate assumptions and 62 under the high-cost assumptions. Under the low-cost assumptions, this ratio rises to 43 by 2035 and then generally declines, reaching 39 by 2095. Figure IV.B2 shows beneficiaries per 100 covered workers.

For each alternative, the curve in figure IV.B2 is strikingly similar to the corresponding cost-rate curve in figure IV.B1. This similarity emphasizes the extent to which the cost rate is determined by the age distribution of the population. The cost rate is essentially the product of the number of beneficiaries and their average benefit, divided by the product of the number of covered workers and their average taxable earnings. For this reason, the pattern of the annual cost rates is similar to that of the annual ratios of beneficiaries to workers.

Figure IV.B2.—Number of OASDI Beneficiaries Per 100 Covered Workers



Actuarial Estimates

Table IV.B3 also shows the number of covered workers per OASDI beneficiary, which was about 2.8 for 2017. Under the intermediate assumptions, this ratio declines generally throughout the long-range period, reaching 2.2 for 2035 and 2.0 by 2095. Under the low-cost assumptions, this ratio declines to 2.3 for 2035, generally rises from 2035 through 2055, and remains relatively stable at 2.5 through 2095. Under the high-cost assumptions, this ratio decreases steadily to 1.6 by 2095.

3. Trust Fund Ratios and Test of Long-Range Close Actuarial Balance

Trust fund ratios are critical indicators of the adequacy of the financial resources of the Social Security program. The trust fund ratio for a year is the amount of asset reserves in a fund at the beginning of a year expressed as a percentage of the cost for the year. Under present law, the OASI and DI Trust Funds do not have the authority to borrow other than in the form of advance tax transfers, which are limited to expected taxes for the current calendar month. If reserves held in either trust fund become depleted during a year, and continuing tax revenues fall short of the cost of scheduled benefits, then full scheduled benefits would not be payable on a timely basis. For this reason, the trust fund ratio is a very critical financial measure.

The trust fund ratio serves an additional important purpose in assessing the actuarial status of the program. If the projected trust fund ratio is positive throughout the period and is either level or increasing at the end of the period, then projected adequacy for the long-range period is likely to continue for subsequent reports. Under these conditions, the program has achieved sustainable solvency.

Table IV.B4 shows the Trustees' projections of trust fund ratios by alternative, without regard to advance tax transfers that would be effected, for the separate and combined OASI and DI Trust Funds. The table also shows the years of trust fund reserve depletion and the percentage of scheduled benefits that would be payable thereafter, by alternative.

Under the intermediate assumptions, the OASI trust fund ratio is projected to decline from 330 percent at the beginning of 2018 until the trust fund reserves become depleted late in 2034 (as compared to early 2035 for last year's report), at which time 77 percent of scheduled benefits would be payable. The DI trust fund ratio is 48 percent at the beginning of 2018. The 0.57-percentage-point reallocation of payroll tax rate (for 2016 through 2018) from OASI to DI increases the trust fund ratio to 62 percent at the beginning of 2019. After 2019, the trust fund ratio declines until the trust fund reserves become depleted in 2032 (4 years later than projected in last year's report), at which time 96 percent of scheduled benefits would be payable.

Long-Range Estimates

Under the intermediate assumptions, the trust fund ratio for the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds declines from 288 percent at the beginning of 2018 until the combined fund reserves become depleted in 2034 (the same year as projected in last year's report), at which time 79 percent of scheduled benefits would be payable.

Under the low-cost assumptions, the trust fund ratio for the DI program increases from 49 percent at the beginning of 2018 to 66 percent at the beginning of 2019, again reflecting the temporary payroll tax rate reallocation. The DI trust fund ratio is then stable through 2021 and thereafter increases through the end of the long-range projection period, reaching the extremely high level of 2,194 percent for 2093. For the OASI program, the trust fund ratio declines steadily, from 331 percent for 2018 until the reserves become depleted in 2062, at which time 97 percent of scheduled benefits would be payable. For the combined OASDI program, the trust fund ratio declines from 289 percent for 2018 to a low of 112 percent in 2048, then rises thereafter, reaching 197 percent by 2093. Because the trust fund ratio is positive throughout the projection period and increasing at the end of the period, under the low-cost assumptions, the DI program and the combined OASDI program achieve sustainable solvency.

Under the high-cost assumptions, the OASI trust fund ratio declines continually until reserves become depleted in 2030, at which time 69 percent of scheduled benefits would still be payable. The DI trust fund ratio increases from 47 percent for 2018 to 59 percent for 2019 because of the payroll tax rate reallocation, but reserves decline quickly after that and become depleted in 2022. At that time, 83 percent of scheduled benefits would still be payable. The combined OASI and DI trust fund ratio declines from 288 percent for 2018 until reserves become depleted in 2030, at which time 70 percent of scheduled benefits would still be payable.

The Trustees project trust fund reserve depletion within the 75-year projection period with the exceptions of the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds and the DI Trust Fund under the low-cost assumptions. It is therefore very likely that lawmakers will need to increase income, reduce program costs, or both, in order to maintain solvency for the trust funds. The stochastic projections discussed in appendix E suggest that trust fund reserve depletion is highly probable by mid-century.

Even under the high-cost assumptions, however, the combined OASI and DI Trust Fund reserves on hand plus their estimated future income are sufficient to fully cover their combined cost until 2030. Under the intermediate assumptions, the combined starting fund reserves plus estimated future income are sufficient to fully cover cost until 2034. In the 2017 report, the Trustees projected that the combined trust fund reserves would become

Actuarial Estimates

depleted in 2029 and 2034 under the high-cost and intermediate assumptions, respectively, and would achieve sustainable solvency under the low-cost assumptions.

Table IV.B4.—Trust Fund Ratios, Calendar Years 2018-2095^a
[In percent]

Calendar year	Intermediate			Low-cost			High-cost		
	OASI	DI	OASDI	OASI	DI	OASDI	OASI	DI	OASDI
2018	330	48	288	331	49	289	330	47	288
2019	308	62	272	307	66	273	309	59	272
2020	289	54	256	290	64	259	288	45	253
2021	270	47	239	274	65	247	265	29	232
2022	250	41	223	260	70	236	241	13	209
2023	231	35	206	246	78	225	216	^b	186
2024	211	31	188	233	89	216	190	^b	161
2025	192	27	171	221	103	207	163	^b	136
2026	173	23	154	210	118	199	136	^b	111
2027	154	20	137	200	136	193	110	^b	86
2030	97	10	86	172	203	175	28	^b	7
2035	^b	^b	^b	128	335	150	^b	^b	^b
2040	^b	^b	^b	85	479	127	^b	^b	^b
2045	^b	^b	^b	53	613	114	^b	^b	^b
2050	^b	^b	^b	33	756	113	^b	^b	^b
2055	^b	^b	^b	20	904	119	^b	^b	^b
2060	^b	^b	^b	8	1,079	126	^b	^b	^b
2065	^b	^b	^b	^b	1,256	132	^b	^b	^b
2070	^b	^b	^b	^b	1,435	135	^b	^b	^b
2075	^b	^b	^b	^b	1,636	138	^b	^b	^b
2080	^b	^b	^b	^b	1,819	147	^b	^b	^b
2085	^b	^b	^b	^b	1,953	166	^b	^b	^b
2090	^b	^b	^b	^b	2,088	187	^b	^b	^b
2095	^b	^b	^b	^b	2,275	202	^b	^b	^b
Trust fund reserves permanently become depleted in	2034	2032	2034	2062	^c	^c	2030	2022	2030
Payable benefits as percent of scheduled benefits:									
At the time of permanent reserve depletion	77	96	79	97	^c	^c	69	83	70
For 2092	73	83	74	100	^c	^c	51	60	52

^a Benefit payments scheduled to be paid on January 3 are actually paid on December 31 as required by the statutory provision for early delivery of benefit payments when the normal payment delivery date is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday. For comparability with the values for historical years and the projections in this report, all trust fund ratios reflect the 12 months of benefits scheduled for payment each year.

^b Trust fund reserves would be depleted at the beginning of this year.

^c Trust fund reserves would not be depleted within the projection period.


Note: The definition of trust fund ratio appears in the Glossary. The ratios shown for the combined trust funds for years after reserve depletion of either the DI or OASI Trust Fund are hypothetical.

Since 2013, when the Trustees modified the test of long-range close actuarial balance, the standard for each trust fund requires meeting two conditions: (1) the test of short-range financial adequacy is satisfied; and (2) the trust fund ratios stay above zero throughout the 75-year projection period, allow-

ing scheduled benefits to be paid in a timely manner throughout the period. Both the long-range test and the short-range test are applied based on the intermediate set of assumptions. As discussed in section IV.A, the DI Trust Fund fails the test of short-range financial adequacy because the trust fund ratio does not reach 100 percent at any time during the 10-year period. Under the intermediate assumptions, the OASI Trust Fund reserves become depleted in 2034, DI Trust Fund reserves become depleted in 2032, and the combined OASI and DI Trust Fund reserves become depleted in 2034. Therefore, the OASI, DI, and combined OASI and DI Trust Funds all fail the test of long-range close actuarial balance.

Figure IV.B3 illustrates the trust fund ratios for the separate OASI and DI Trust Funds for each of the alternative sets of assumptions. DI Trust Fund status is more uncertain than OASI Trust Fund status because there is a high degree of uncertainty associated with future disability prevalence. A graph of the trust fund ratios for the combined trust funds appears in figure II.D6.

Figure IV.B3.—Long-Range OASI and DI Trust Fund Ratios
 [Asset reserves as a percentage of annual cost]



4. Summarized Income Rates, Summarized Cost Rates, and Actuarial Balances

Summarized values for the full 75-year period are useful in analyzing the program’s long-range financial adequacy over the period as a whole, both

Actuarial Estimates

under present law and under proposed modifications to the law. All annual amounts included in a summarized value are present-value discounted to the valuation date. It is important to note that the actuarial balance indicates the solvency status of the fund only for the very end of the period.

Table IV.B5 presents summarized income rates, summarized cost rates, and actuarial balances for 25-year, 50-year, and 75-year valuation periods. Summarized income rates are the sum of the present value of non-interest income for a period (which includes scheduled payroll taxes, the projected income from the taxation of scheduled benefits, and reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury) and the starting trust fund asset reserves, expressed as a percentage of the present value of taxable payroll over the period. Under current law, the total OASDI payroll tax rate will remain at 12.4 percent in the future. In contrast, the Trustees expect income from taxation of benefits, expressed as a percentage of taxable payroll, to increase in most years of the long-range period for the reasons discussed earlier on page 52. Summarized cost rates are the sum of the present value of cost for a period (which includes scheduled benefits, administrative expenses, net interchange with the Railroad Retirement program, and payments for vocational rehabilitation services for disabled beneficiaries) and the present value of the cost of reaching a target trust fund of 100 percent of annual cost at the end of the period, expressed as a percentage of the present value of taxable payroll over the period.

The actuarial balance for a valuation period is equal to the difference between the summarized income rate and the summarized cost rate for the period. An actuarial balance of zero for any period indicates that cost for the period could be met for the period as a whole (but not necessarily at all points within the period), with a remaining trust fund reserve at the end of the period equal to 100 percent of the following year's cost. A negative actuarial balance for a period indicates that the present value of income to the program plus the existing trust fund is less than the present value of the cost of the program plus the cost of reaching a target trust fund reserve of 1 year's cost by the end of the period. Generally, a trust fund is deemed to be adequately financed for a period if the actuarial balance is zero or positive, meaning that the reserves at the end of the period are at least equal to annual cost. Note that solvency is possible with a small negative actuarial balance where reserves are still positive.¹

¹ A program is solvent over any period for which the trust fund maintains a positive level of asset reserves. In contrast, the actuarial balance for a period includes the cost of having a target fund equal to 100 percent of the following year's cost at the end of the period. Therefore, if a program ends the period with reserves that are positive but not sufficient to cover the following year's costs, it will be solvent at the end of the period and yet still have a small negative actuarial balance for that period.

Long-Range Estimates

Table IV.B5 contains summarized rates for the intermediate, low-cost, and high-cost assumptions. The low-cost and high-cost assumptions define a wide range of possibilities. Financial outcomes as good as the low-cost scenario or as bad as the high-cost scenario are unlikely to occur.

For the 25-year valuation period, the OASDI program has an actuarial balance of 0.09 percent of taxable payroll under the low-cost assumptions, -1.77 percent under the intermediate assumptions, and -3.90 percent under the high-cost assumptions. These balances indicate that the program is adequately financed for the 25-year valuation period under only the low-cost assumptions.

For the 50-year valuation period, the OASDI program has actuarial balances of 0.08 percent under the low-cost assumptions, -2.45 percent under the intermediate assumptions, and -5.55 percent under the high-cost assumptions. These actuarial balances mean that the OASDI program is adequately financed for the 50-year valuation period under only the low-cost assumptions.

For the entire 75-year valuation period, the combined OASDI program has actuarial balances of 0.13 percent of taxable payroll under the low-cost assumptions, -2.84 percent under the intermediate assumptions, and -6.62 percent under the high-cost assumptions. These balances indicate that the combined OASDI program is adequately financed for the 75-year valuation period under only the low-cost assumptions.

Assuming the intermediate assumptions accurately capture future demographic and economic trends, solvency for the program over the next 75 years could be restored using a variety of approaches. For example, revenues could be increased in a manner equivalent to an immediate and permanent increase in the combined Social Security payroll tax rate from 12.40 percent to 15.18 percent (a relative increase of 22.4 percent), cost could be reduced in a manner equivalent to an immediate and permanent reduction in scheduled benefits of about 17 percent, or some combination of approaches could be used.

However, eliminating the actuarial deficit for the next 75-year valuation period requires raising payroll taxes or lowering benefits by more than is required just to achieve solvency, because the actuarial deficit includes the cost of attaining a target trust fund equal to 100 percent of annual program cost by the end of the period. The actuarial deficit could be eliminated for the 75-year period by increasing revenues in a manner equivalent to an immediate and permanent increase in the combined payroll tax from 12.40 percent

Actuarial Estimates

to 15.35 percent (a relative increase of 23.8 percent),¹ reducing cost in a manner equivalent to an immediate reduction in scheduled benefits of about 18 percent, or some combination of approaches could be used.

Under the intermediate assumptions, the OASDI program has large annual deficits toward the end of the long-range period that are increasing and reach 4.32 percent of payroll for 2092 (see table IV.B1). These large deficits indicate that annual cost continues to exceed non-interest income after 2092, so continued adequate financing would require larger changes than those needed to maintain solvency for the 75-year period. Over the period extending through the infinite horizon, the actuarial deficit is 4.0 percent of payroll under the intermediate assumptions.

**Table IV.B5.—Components of Summarized Income Rates and Cost Rates,
Calendar Years 2018-2092**
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Valuation period	Summarized income rate			Summarized cost rate			Actuarial balance
	Non-interest income	Beginning asset reserves ^a	Total	Cost ^a	Ending target fund ^a	Total	
OASI:							
Intermediate:							
2018-42.....	11.30	1.41	12.71	13.78	0.56	14.34	-1.63
2018-67.....	11.37	.77	12.14	14.16	.24	14.40	-2.26
2018-92.....	11.41	.57	11.99	14.48	.14	14.62	-2.63
Low-cost:							
2018-42.....	11.21	1.28	12.49	12.17	.48	12.65	-.16
2018-67.....	11.25	.68	11.92	11.95	.21	12.16	-.24
2018-92.....	11.26	.48	11.74	11.82	.12	11.94	-.20
High-cost:							
2018-42.....	11.40	1.60	13.00	15.66	.65	16.31	-3.31
2018-67.....	11.52	.91	12.43	16.91	.29	17.20	-4.76
2018-92.....	11.60	.70	12.31	17.89	.17	18.06	-5.75
DI:							
Intermediate:							
2018-42.....	1.85	.04	1.88	1.94	.08	2.02	-.14
2018-67.....	1.84	.02	1.86	2.02	.03	2.05	-.19
2018-92.....	1.84	.01	1.86	2.05	.02	2.07	-.21
Low-cost:							
2018-42.....	1.84	.03	1.87	1.56	.06	1.61	.26
2018-67.....	1.83	.02	1.85	1.51	.03	1.53	.32
2018-92.....	1.83	.01	1.84	1.49	.02	1.51	.33
High-cost:							
2018-42.....	1.86	.04	1.90	2.39	.10	2.49	-.59
2018-67.....	1.86	.02	1.88	2.63	.04	2.67	-.79
2018-92.....	1.86	.02	1.87	2.72	.02	2.74	-.87

¹ The indicated increase in the payroll tax rate of 2.95 percent is somewhat larger than the 2.84 percent 75-year actuarial deficit because the indicated increase reflects a behavioral response to tax rate changes. In particular, the calculation assumes that an increase in payroll taxes results in a small shift of wages and salaries to forms of employee compensation that are not subject to the payroll tax.

**Table IV.B5.—Components of Summarized Income Rates and Cost Rates,
Calendar Years 2018-2092 (Cont.)**
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Valuation period	Summarized income rate			Summarized cost rate			Actuarial balance
	Non-interest income	Beginning asset reserves ^a	Total	Cost ^a	Ending target fund ^a	Total	
OASDI:							
Intermediate:							
2018-42.....	13.14	1.45	14.59	15.72	0.64	16.36	-1.77
2018-67.....	13.22	.79	14.01	16.18	.28	16.45	-2.45
2018-92.....	13.25	.59	13.84	16.52	.16	16.69	-2.84
Low-cost:							
2018-42.....	13.05	1.31	14.36	13.72	.54	14.26	.09
2018-67.....	13.08	.69	13.77	13.46	.23	13.69	.08
2018-92.....	13.09	.50	13.59	13.31	.14	13.45	.13
High-cost:							
2018-42.....	13.26	1.64	14.89	18.05	.75	18.80	-3.90
2018-67.....	13.38	.93	14.31	19.54	.33	19.87	-5.55
2018-92.....	13.46	.72	14.18	20.61	.19	20.80	-6.62

^aBenefit payments scheduled to be paid on January 3 are actually paid on December 31 as required by the statutory provision for early delivery of benefit payments when the normal payment delivery date is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday. For comparability with the values for historical years and the projections in this report, all trust fund operations and asset reserves reflect the 12 months of benefits scheduled for payment each year.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

5. Open Group Unfunded Obligation

Consistent with practice since 1965, this report focuses on a 75-year open group valuation to evaluate the long-run financial status of the OASDI program. The open group valuation includes non-interest income and cost for past, current, and future participants through the year 2092. The open group unfunded obligation measures the adequacy of financing over the period as a whole for a program financed on a pay-as-you-go basis. On this basis, payroll taxes and scheduled benefits for all participants are included through 2092.

The open group unfunded obligation increased from \$12.5 trillion shown in last year's report to \$13.2 trillion in this report. If there had been no changes in starting values, assumptions, laws, or methods for this report, then the open group unfunded obligation would have increased to \$13.1 trillion solely due to the change in the valuation period. This expected increase in the unfunded obligation occurs because: (1) the unfunded obligation is now discounted to January 1, 2018, rather than to January 1, 2017, which tends to increase the unfunded obligation by the annual nominal interest rate; and (2) the unfunded obligation now includes an additional year (2092). However, changes in the law, assumptions, methods, and starting values resulted in a net \$0.1 trillion increase in the unfunded obligation.

Actuarial Estimates

The 75-year unfunded obligation is equivalent to 2.68 percent of future OASDI taxable payroll and 1.0 percent of GDP through 2092. These percentages were 2.66 and 0.9, respectively, for last year's report. The 75-year unfunded obligation as a percentage of taxable payroll is less than the actuarial deficit, because the unfunded obligation excludes the cost of having an ending target trust fund value.

The actuarial deficit was 2.83 percent of payroll in last year's report, and was expected to increase to a deficit of 2.88 percent of payroll solely due to the change in the valuation period. Changes in the law, assumptions, methods, and starting values combined to account for a 0.04 percent decrease (improvement) in the actuarial deficit to 2.84 percent of payroll. For additional details on these changes, see section IV.B.6.

Table IV.B6 presents the components and the calculation of the long-range (75-year) actuarial balance under the intermediate assumptions. The present value of future cost less future non-interest income over the long-range period, minus the amount of trust fund asset reserves at the beginning of the projection period, is \$13.2 trillion for the OASDI program. This amount is the 75-year "open group unfunded obligation" (see row H). The actuarial deficit (which is the negative of the actuarial balance) combines this unfunded obligation with the present value of the ending target trust fund and expresses the total as a percentage of the present value of the taxable payroll for the period. The present value of future non-interest income minus cost, plus starting trust fund reserves, minus the present value of the ending target trust fund, is -\$14.0 trillion for the OASDI program.

Table IV.B6.—Components of 75-Year Actuarial Balance and Unfunded Obligation Under Intermediate Assumptions

Item	OASI	DI	OASDI
Present value as of January 1, 2018 (in billions):			
A. Payroll tax revenue	\$51,912	\$8,864	\$60,776
B. Reimbursements from general revenue	^a	^a	^a
C. Taxation of benefits revenue	4,128	184	4,312
D. Non-interest income (A + B + C)	56,040	9,048	65,088
E. Cost	71,088	10,057	81,146
F. Cost minus non-interest income (E - D)	15,048	1,009	16,057
G. Trust fund asset reserves at start of period	2,820	71	2,892
H. Open group unfunded obligation (F - G)	12,228	938	13,166
I. Ending target trust fund ^b	697	99	795
J. Income minus cost, plus reserves at start of period, minus ending target trust fund (D - E + G - I = - H - I)	-12,925	-1,036	-13,961
K. Taxable payroll	491,078	491,078	491,078
Percent of taxable payroll:			
Actuarial balance (100 × J ÷ K)	-2.63	-.21	-2.84

^a Less than \$0.5 billion.

^b The calculation of the actuarial balance includes the cost of accumulating a target trust fund reserve equal to 100 percent of annual cost at the end of the period.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Consideration of summary measures alone (such as the actuarial balance and open group unfunded obligation) for a 75-year period can lead to incorrect perceptions and to policy prescriptions that do not achieve sustainable solvency. These concerns can be addressed by considering the trend in trust fund ratios toward the end of the period. (See the discussion of “sustainable solvency” beginning on page 51.)

Another measure of trust fund finances, discussed in appendix F, is the infinite horizon unfunded obligation, which takes account of all annual balances, even those after 75 years. The extension of the time period past 75 years assumes that the current-law OASDI program and the demographic and economic trends used for the 75-year projection continue indefinitely. This infinite horizon unfunded obligation is estimated to be 4.0 percent of taxable payroll or 1.3 percent of GDP. These percentages were 4.2 and 1.4, respectively, for last year’s report. Of course, the degree of uncertainty associated with estimates increases substantially for years further in the future.

6. Reasons for Change in Actuarial Balance From Last Report

Table IV.B7 shows the effects of changes on the long-range actuarial balance under the intermediate assumptions, by category, between last year’s report and this report.

Table IV.B7.—Reasons for Change in the 75-Year Actuarial Balance, Based on Intermediate Assumptions
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Item	OASI	DI	OASDI
Shown in last year’s report:			
Income rate	11.97	1.87	13.84
Cost rate	14.56	2.11	16.67
Actuarial balance	-2.59	-24	-2.83
Changes in actuarial balance due to changes in:			
Legislation / Regulation00	.00	.00
Valuation period ^a	-.05	-.01	-.06
Demographic data and assumptions00	-.01	-.01
Economic data and assumptions	-.01	.00	-.01
Disability data and assumptions	-.01	.02	.01
Methods and programmatic data02	.03	.05
Total change in actuarial balance	-.05	.03	-.02
Shown in this report:			
Actuarial balance	-2.63	-.21	-2.84
Income rate	11.99	1.86	13.84
Cost rate	14.62	2.07	16.69

^a The change in the 75-year valuation period from last year’s report to this report means that the 75-year actuarial balance now includes the relatively large negative annual balance for 2092. This change in the valuation period results in a larger long-range actuarial deficit. The actuarial deficit includes the trust fund reserve at the beginning of the projection period.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Actuarial Estimates

If the law, data, assumptions, and methods had all remained unchanged from last year's Trustees Report, the long-range OASDI actuarial balance would have decreased (become more negative) by 0.06 percent of taxable payroll solely due to the change in the valuation period. However, as described below, projections in this report also reflect changes in law, data, assumptions, and methods. These changes, including the change in the valuation period, combine to decrease the long-range OASDI actuarial balance from -2.83 percent of taxable payroll in last year's report to -2.84 percent in this report.

Since the last report, there have been no new laws, regulations, or policy changes that are expected to have significant long-range financial effects on the OASDI program. However, this year's report does incorporate two notable changes with negligible effects on the actuarial balance. First, estimates in this report reflect the assumption that the 2012 Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) program will be phased out over the next 2 years after having been rescinded by the Administration on September 5, 2017. Last year's report assumed that the 2012 DACA program would continue indefinitely. Incorporating the phase-out of DACA has a negligible negative effect on the long-range actuarial balance for this year's report. Second, Public Law 115-97, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, was enacted on December 22, 2017. There are two aspects of this law with notable effects on the OASDI program. The repeal of the individual mandate of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act is expected to cause some individuals to drop their employer sponsored health insurance, which is estimated to increase OASDI covered wages and taxable payroll slightly. The changes to income tax rates and brackets are expected to have small effects, reducing income from taxation of benefits through 2025 and increasing it thereafter. The combined effects of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act increase the long-range actuarial balance for this report by a negligible positive amount.

As mentioned above, changing the 75-year valuation period from 2017 through 2091 for last year's report to 2018 through 2092 for this report decreases the projected long-range OASDI actuarial balance by 0.06 percent of taxable payroll. This decrease is mainly the result of including the relatively large negative annual balance for 2092 in this year's 75-year projection period. Note that the actuarial balance calculation includes trust fund asset reserves at the beginning of the projection period. These reserves at the start of the period reflect the program's net financial flows for all past years up to the start of the projection period, including 2017.

All changes in demographic data and assumptions combine to decrease the long-range OASDI actuarial balance by 0.01 percent of taxable payroll. Ultimate demographic assumptions are unchanged from those in last year's

Long-Range Estimates

report, with the exception of one minor change to the ultimate immigration assumptions. In particular, the ultimate number of assumed lawful-permanent-resident (LPR) immigrants has been decreased by 10,000 per year in the future due to clarification from the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) regarding the implementation of the 2014 executive actions on immigration. One of these executive actions was intended to increase the number of entrepreneur green cards issued. Therefore, beginning with the 2015 report, the Trustees assumed an increase of 10,000 LPR immigrants per year. DHS recently clarified that this executive action was never implemented, and the Trustees assume it will not be implemented. Therefore, the earlier assumption is being reversed for this report. This change is expected to have a negligible effect on the actuarial balance.

This year's report also includes one change to the near-term demographic assumption for the total fertility rate. Last year's report included a rise in the projected total fertility rate to a level of 2.05 for 2023. This rise reflected the assumption that the drop in the total fertility rate below 2.0 during the recent economic downturn was, in part, a deferral in childbearing that would be partially offset during the latter stages of the economic recovery. However, as the economic recovery has continued to near completion, and more recent data have not shown a recovery in fertility rates, it seems more likely that this persistent drop in the total fertility rate represents a loss of potential births rather than just a deferral for this period. Therefore, this year's report eliminates the temporary rise in the total fertility rate above the ultimate assumed level. This change decreases the actuarial balance by 0.05 percent of taxable payroll.

Four demographic data updates had significant effects on the long-range OASDI actuarial balance. First, final fertility (birth) data for 2016 indicate somewhat lower birth rates than were assumed in last year's report for 2016. These updated data result in slightly lower birth rates during the transition period to the ultimate levels, decreasing the actuarial balance by 0.03 percent of taxable payroll. Second, incorporating 2015 mortality data for ages under 65 from the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) and preliminary 2015 mortality data for ages 65 and older from Medicare experience resulted in higher death rates for all future years than were projected in last year's report. These higher death rates increase (improve) the actuarial balance by 0.05 percent of taxable payroll. Third, this year's estimates incorporate updated LPR immigration data from DHS, which decreases the actuarial balance by 0.01 percent of taxable payroll. Fourth, updates to historical population data and other minor data updates combine to increase the actuarial balance by 0.04 percent of taxable payroll. The majority of this change is due to updated historical estimates of the other-than-LPR population for 2014

Actuarial Estimates

and 2015, and the resulting effects on the projection of labor force, employment, covered workers, and beneficiaries.

Changes in economic data and assumptions combine to decrease the long-range OASDI actuarial balance by 0.01 percent of payroll. Ultimate economic assumptions are unchanged from those in last year's report. However, primarily due to slow growth in labor productivity for 2010 through 2017 and low unemployment rates in 2017, the estimated level of potential GDP is reduced for this report by about 1 percent in 2017 and throughout the projection period. This lower estimated level of potential GDP means that cumulative growth in actual GDP is 1 percent less over the remainder of the projected recovery, and thus decreases the actuarial balance by 0.02 percent of taxable payroll. In addition, near-term interest rates were decreased for this report, reflecting a more gradual path for the rise to the ultimate real interest rate. These lower near-term interest rates decrease the actuarial balance by 0.02 percent of payroll. Other changes to data and near-term economic assumptions, including an extended recovery from lower-than-expected ratios for 2016 and 2017 of labor compensation to GDP and taxable payroll to GDP to the unchanged ultimate ratios, combine for a net increase in the actuarial balance of 0.03 percent of taxable payroll.

Although ultimate disability assumptions are unchanged from those in last year's report, changes in recent disability data and near-term assumptions increase the long-range OASDI actuarial balance by 0.01 percent of taxable payroll. Recent data have shown lower levels of disability applications and awards than expected in last year's report. Based on this experience, estimated disabled-worker incidence rates are reduced for this report over the first few years of the short-range period. This year's report also incorporates lower average benefit levels for disabled workers newly awarded benefits in 2017 and in the future. These changes are primarily responsible for the change in the DI reserve depletion date from 2028 in last year's report to 2032 in this year's report. The short-range effects are noted in section IV.A.4.

The projections in this report also reflect several methodological improvements and updates of program-specific data. These methodological changes, programmatic data updates, and interactions combine to increase the long-range OASDI actuarial balance by 0.05 percent of taxable payroll. Descriptions of six significant methodological changes and programmatic data updates follow.

First, this year's report includes an improvement to the method for projecting mortality rates by marital status. The new method smooths the rates at older ages, utilizing recent data from NCHS and the American Community Survey, rather than older data from the Census Bureau and NCHS. This methodologi-

cal improvement increases the actuarial balance by 0.01 percent of taxable payroll.

Second, the labor force participation rate model has been updated to improve the method for projecting educational attainment among women in age groups 45-49 and 50-54. This change better reflects recent increases in educational attainment among women entering these two age groups and results in an increase in their labor force participation. In turn, this leads to an increase in covered workers and taxable payroll over the projection period, which increases the actuarial balance by 0.02 percent of taxable payroll.

The third significant change is an increase in the assumed ultimate retired-worker prevalence rate for women at age 70. This year's report increases the percentage of fully insured women (excluding those who are receiving a disability or widow benefit) who are assumed to be in receipt of a retired-worker benefit at age 70 from 99.0 percent in last year's report to 99.5 percent in this year's report, to be the same as the percentage assumed for men. This change increases the number of female retired workers, thus decreasing the actuarial balance by 0.02 percent of payroll.

The fourth significant change is in the long-range model for projecting average benefit levels of retired-worker and disabled-worker beneficiaries newly entitled for benefits. This model uses a large sample of 10 percent of all newly entitled retired-worker beneficiaries in a recent year. The sample used in the 2017 report was for worker beneficiaries newly entitled in 2013. This year's report uses the results from worker beneficiaries newly entitled in 2015. In addition, the method used to estimate earnings histories for retired-worker beneficiaries becoming newly entitled in each year after 2017 has been expanded to better match targeted average taxable earnings levels for each of nine birth cohorts (those becoming entitled at ages 62 through 70 in a year). Together, the changes in the sample data and the model for projecting average benefits for newly-entitled worker beneficiaries increase the actuarial balance by 0.05 percent of payroll.

Fifth, recent data and estimates provided by the Office of Tax Analysis (OTA) at the Department of the Treasury indicate higher ultimate levels of revenue from taxation of OASDI benefits than projected in last year's report, independent of the changes due to the recently-enacted Tax Cuts and Jobs Act. These higher levels are primarily due to changes OTA made in their modeling, resulting in a larger share of benefits being subject to income tax. The increase in ultimate projected ratios of income tax on benefits to benefit amounts results in an increase in the actuarial balance of 0.03 percent of taxable payroll.


Actuarial Estimates

The sixth significant change consists of methodological improvements in the modeling of retroactive benefit payments for newly awarded disabled-worker beneficiaries. This year’s projections incorporate both a better data source for determining the total number of months of retroactive benefits for newly awarded disabled-worker beneficiaries and a new adjustment factor which better aligns projected months of disabled-worker retroactive benefit entitlement with observed historical experience. These methodology changes combine to increase the actuarial balance by 0.02 percent of payroll.

In addition to these six significant methodological changes and programmatic data updates, changes in starting levels and projected levels of OASI and DI beneficiaries and benefit amounts over the first 10 years of the projection period, updating other programmatic data, other small methodological improvements, and interactions among the various method changes and updates to programmatic experience combine to decrease the long-range OASDI actuarial balance by 0.05 percent of taxable payroll.

Figure IV.B4 compares the annual cash-flow balances for this report and the prior year’s report for the combined OASDI program over the long-range (75-year) projection period. The figure illustrates the annual effects of the changes described earlier in this section.

Figure IV.B4.—OASDI Annual Balances: 2017 and 2018 Trustees Reports
[As a percentage of taxable payroll, under Intermediate Assumptions]



Long-Range Estimates

The annual balances in this year's report are lower (more negative) through 2024, noticeably higher from 2025 until about 2060, very similar from 2060 until about 2085, and then higher for the remainder of the projection period. For the full 75-year projection period, the annual balances average 0.06 percentage point higher. The lower annual balances in the near term for this year's report are primarily due to lower projected payroll tax revenue for those years, which is caused by several factors. First, the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) published revised data in July 2017 showing that the ratio of labor compensation to GDP for 2016 was 2.1 percent below the level used for the 2017 report. BEA also published data for 2017 indicating that the ratio of labor compensation to GDP was 3.1 percent below the level projected for the 2017 report. Second, based on a complete year of IRS earnings data, the ratio of OASDI effective taxable payroll to GDP for 2016 was 1.7 percent below the level projected for the 2017 report. Based on IRS data through the first half of 2017, the ratio of OASDI effective taxable payroll to GDP for 2017 is now estimated to be 2.0 percent lower than projected for the 2017 report. Third, for this year's report, the ratios of labor compensation to GDP and taxable payroll to GDP are projected to gradually return by 2027 to about the same levels as assumed for the 2017 report. Fourth, this year's report assumes a lower level of labor productivity and potential GDP from the start of the projection period, and therefore reflects slower actual GDP growth in the first ten years of the projection period. Finally, this year's report incorporates negative payroll tax revenue adjustments in 2018, due to overestimated revenue transferred to the trust funds in 2017.

These near-term economic effects are offset by 2025 and then exceeded due to the sunset after 2025 of certain legislative changes, and due to demographic effects, most significantly higher assumed mortality rates throughout the projection period and lower assumed fertility rates in the near-term. The higher mortality rates improve the annual balances by a small amount at first, increasing slowly over the next 35 years, and then remaining relatively level. The lower fertility rates lead to fewer workers and lower income from payroll taxes, which decreases the annual balances as compared to those in last year's report; however, these lower fertility rates in the near-term also lead to fewer individuals receiving benefits, which causes an increase in the annual balances that more than offsets the reduction from fewer workers after about 2085. For 2091, the projected annual deficit is 4.28 percent of taxable payroll in this report, compared to 4.48 percent in last year's report.

V. ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS UNDERLYING ACTUARIAL ESTIMATES

The future income and cost of the OASDI program will depend on many demographic, economic, and program-specific factors. Trust fund income will depend on how these factors affect the size and composition of the working population as well as the level and distribution of earnings. Similarly, program cost will depend on how these factors affect the size and composition of the beneficiary population as well as the general level of benefits.

The Trustees make basic assumptions for several of these factors based on analysis of historical trends, historical conditions, and expected future conditions. These factors include fertility, mortality, immigration, marriage, divorce, productivity, inflation, average earnings, unemployment, real interest rates, and disability incidence and termination. Other factors depend on these basic assumptions. These other, often interdependent, factors include total population, life expectancy, labor force participation, gross domestic product, and program-specific factors. Each year the Trustees reexamine these assumptions and methods in light of new information and make appropriate revisions. The assumptions for this report were selected by the beginning of February 2018.

Future levels of these factors and their interrelationships are inherently uncertain. To address these uncertainties, this report uses three sets of assumptions, designated as intermediate (alternative II), low-cost (alternative I), and high-cost (alternative III). The intermediate set represents the Trustees' best estimate of the future course of the population and the economy. With regard to the net effect on the actuarial status of the OASDI program, the low-cost set is more optimistic and the high-cost set is more pessimistic. The low-cost and high-cost sets of assumptions reflect significant potential changes in the interrelationships among factors, as well as changes in the values for individual factors.

While it is unlikely that all of the factors and interactions will differ in the specified directions from the intermediate values, many combinations of individual differences in the factors could have a similar overall effect. Outcomes with overall long-range cost as low as the low-cost scenario or as high as the high-cost scenario are very unlikely. This report also includes a section on sensitivity analysis, where factors are changed one at a time (see appendix D), and a section on stochastic projections, which provides a probability distribution of possible future outcomes, with all factors being varied around the intermediate alternative (see appendix E).

Demographic Assumptions and Methods

Readers should interpret with care the estimates based on the three sets of alternative assumptions. These estimates are not specific predictions of the future financial status of the OASDI program. Rather, they provide a reasonable range of future income and cost bounded by two plausible, albeit very unlikely, demographic and economic scenarios.

All of the key demographic, economic, and program-specific assumptions reach their long-range ultimate values within the next 25 years. For extrapolations beyond the 75-year long-range period, the ultimate levels or trends reached by the end of the 75-year period remain unchanged. The assumed ultimate values represent average annual experience or growth rates. Actual future values will exhibit fluctuations or cyclical patterns, as in the past.

The following sections briefly discuss the various assumptions and methods used in making the estimates of trust fund actuarial status, which are the focus of this report.¹ There are, of course, many interrelationships among these factors that are important but are beyond the scope of this discussion.

A. DEMOGRAPHIC ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

This section of the report provides a brief overview of the demographic historical data and the assumptions used for the projections.

1. Fertility Assumptions

Birth rates by single year of age, for women aged 14 to 49,² are the basis for the fertility assumptions. These rates apply to the total number of women, across all marital statuses, in the midyear population at each age. Table V.A1 displays the historical and projected total fertility rates.³

Historically, birth rates in the United States have fluctuated widely. The total fertility rate decreased from 3.31 children per woman at the end of World War I (1918) to 2.15 during the Great Depression (1936). After 1936, the total fertility rate rose to 3.68 in 1957 and then fell to 1.74 by 1976. After

¹ Actuarial Studies published by the Office of the Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration, contain further details about the assumptions, methods, and actuarial estimates. A complete list of available studies may be found at www.ssa.gov/OACT/NOTES/actstud.html. To obtain copies of such studies or of this report, please submit a request at www.ssa.gov/OACT/request.html. This entire report, along with supplemental year-by-year tables and additional documentation on assumptions and methods, may be found at www.ssa.gov/OACT/TR/2018/.

² Birth rates at age 14 include births to women aged 14 and under, and birth rates at age 49 include births to women aged 49 and over.

³ The total fertility rate may be interpreted as the average number of children that would be born to a woman in her lifetime if she were to experience, at each age of her life, the birth rate observed in, or assumed for, a specified year, and if she were to survive the entire childbearing period. A rate of about 2.1 would ultimately result in a nearly constant population if immigration and emigration were both zero, and if death rates were to remain at current levels.

Assumptions and Methods

1976, the total fertility rate rose above 2.00 by 1990, where it generally remained through 2009, but dropped below 1.90 for 2011 through 2016 and is estimated to be 1.80 in 2017. The recession and slow recovery in employment opportunity are likely contributing reasons for this recent low level.

These variations in the total fertility rate resulted from changes in many factors, including social attitudes, economic conditions, birth-control practices, and the racial/ethnic composition of the population. The Trustees expect future total fertility rates to remain relatively close to recent levels. Certain population characteristics, such as the higher percentages of women who have never married, of women who are divorced, and of young women who are in the labor force, are consistent with continued lower total fertility rates than those experienced during the baby-boom era (1946-65). Based on consideration of these factors, the Trustees assume ultimate total fertility rates of 2.20, 2.00, and 1.80 children per woman for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost assumptions, respectively. These ultimate rates are unchanged from last year's report.

For the intermediate assumptions, the projected total fertility rate gradually increases from 2017 through 2027, with somewhat more rapid increases in the middle of the 2017-2027 period. Last year's report included a rise in the projected total fertility rate for the intermediate assumptions to a level of 2.05 in 2023. This rise reflected the assumption that the drop in the total fertility rate below 2.0 during the recent economic downturn was, in part, a deferral in childbearing that would be partially offset during the latter stages of the economic recovery. However, as the economic recovery has continued to near completion, and more recent data have not shown a recovery in fertility rates, it seems more likely that this persistent drop in the total fertility rate represents a loss of potential births rather than just a deferral. Therefore, this year's report eliminates the temporary rise in the total fertility rate above the ultimate assumed level. The assumed low-cost and high-cost total fertility rates trend away from the intermediate path and reach the ultimate values in 2027 and 2023, respectively.

2. Mortality Assumptions

For the projections in this year's report, ultimate average annual percentage reductions in future mortality rates were assumed by age group and cause of death. These assumptions were then used to estimate future central death rates by age group, sex, and cause of death. From these estimated central death rates, probabilities of death by single year of age and sex were calculated.

Demographic Assumptions and Methods

Historical death rates are calculated for years 1900 through 2015 for ages below 65 (and for all ages for years prior to 1968) using data from the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS).¹ For ages 65 and over, final Medicare data on deaths for years 1968 through 2014 and preliminary data for 2015 are used.² Death rates by cause of death are produced for all ages for years 1979-2015 using data from the NCHS.

The total age-sex-adjusted death rate³ declined at an average annual rate of 1.03 percent between 1900 and 2015. Between 1979 and 2015, the period for which death rates were analyzed by cause, the total age-sex-adjusted death rate, for all causes combined, declined at an average rate of 0.87 percent per year.

Death rates have declined substantially in the U.S. since 1900, with rapid declines over some periods and slow or no improvement over the other periods. Many factors are responsible for historical reductions in death rates, including medical advances, increased availability of health-care services, and improvements in sanitation and nutrition. Historical death rates generally declined more slowly for older ages and more rapidly for children and infants than for the rest of the population. Between 1900 and 2015, the age-sex-adjusted death rate declined at an average rate of 0.77 percent per year for ages 65 and over, and 3.03 percent per year for ages under 15.

Mortality assumptions differ for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost scenarios. Throughout the projection, the low-cost scenario contains annual percentage reductions that are smaller than those in the intermediate scenario, while those in the high-cost scenario are larger. The ultimate annual percentage reductions for each of the three alternatives are the same as those in last year's report.

The trends in the annual reductions in central death rates are calculated for the period from 2005 to 2015 by age group, sex, and cause of death. These trends are the starting reductions for alternative II. For alternatives I and III, 50 and 150 percent of the starting reductions are used, respectively. These annual reductions, by alternative, are assumed to transition rapidly from the starting reductions until they reach the ultimate annual percentage reductions assumed for 2042 and later.

¹ These rates reflect NCHS data on deaths and Census estimates of population.

² These rates reflect Medicare data on deaths and enrollments.

³ Based on the enumerated total population as of April 1, 2010, if that population were to experience the death rates by age and sex for the selected year.

Assumptions and Methods

Table V.A1 contains historical and projected age-sex-adjusted death rates for the total population (all ages), for ages under 65, and for ages 65 and over. Age-sex adjustment eliminates the effect of a changing distribution of population by age and sex, allowing the pure effects of changes in death rates to be observed. Under the intermediate assumptions, projected age-sex-adjusted death rates are, in general, slightly higher than the death rates in last year's report for both the age group under 65 and the age group 65 and over. These changes primarily result from incorporating more recent historical data, which continue to show low rates of improvement.

The projected average annual rate of decline for the total age-sex-adjusted death rate is about 0.41 percent, 0.77 percent, and 1.15 percent between 2017 and 2092 for alternatives I, II, and III, respectively. In keeping with the patterns observed in the historical data, the assumed future rates of decline are greater for younger ages than for older ages, but to a substantially lesser degree than in the past. Accordingly, the projected age-sex-adjusted death rates for ages 65 and over decline at average annual rates of about 0.37 percent, 0.68 percent, and 1.01 percent between 2017 and 2092 for alternatives I, II, and III, respectively. The projected age-sex-adjusted death rates for ages under 15 decline at average annual rates of about 0.80 percent, 1.59 percent, and 2.59 percent between 2017 and 2092 for alternatives I, II, and III, respectively.

Demographers express a wide range of views on the likely rate of future decline in death rates. For example, some believe that the long-standing historical tendency for mortality to decline more slowly at the oldest ages will cease in the future. Others believe that biological factors, social factors, and limitations on health care spending may slow future rates of decline in mortality.

The Trustees periodically revise the assumed ultimate rates of decline in mortality based on experience, new conditions, and expert opinion. Evolving trends in health care and lifestyle will determine what modifications to the assumed ultimate rates of decline in mortality will be warranted for future reports.

Demographic Assumptions and Methods

**Table V.A1.—Fertility and Mortality Assumptions,^a
Calendar Years 1940-2095**

Calendar year	Total fertility rate ^b	Age-sex-adjusted death rate ^c per 100,000, by age		
		Total	Under 65	65 and over
Historical data:				
1940	2.23	1,919.8	750.1	9,718.8
1945	2.42	1,716.6	674.8	8,662.9
1950	3.03	1,561.9	570.2	8,173.7
1955	3.50	1,453.8	508.2	7,758.4
1960	3.61	1,454.3	503.2	7,795.4
1965	2.88	1,428.8	495.2	7,653.3
1970	2.43	1,340.0	485.7	7,036.3
1975	1.77	1,204.8	426.6	6,393.6
1980	1.82	1,136.9	384.3	6,154.3
1985	1.83	1,081.0	353.3	5,932.9
1990	2.07	1,021.3	333.6	5,606.3
1995	1.98	1,001.5	317.9	5,559.5
2000	2.05	960.7	281.0	5,492.3
2005	2.06	901.3	270.7	5,105.4
2006	2.11	876.1	267.6	4,933.5
2007	2.12	856.8	261.6	4,825.2
2008	2.07	857.0	258.8	4,845.5
2009	2.00	827.1	255.3	4,639.7
2010	1.93	821.3	248.5	4,640.1
2011	1.89	819.4	249.1	4,621.4
2012	1.87	812.0	248.6	4,568.2
2013	1.85	812.1	249.4	4,563.6
2014	1.86	805.3	251.5	4,497.8
2015	1.85	^d 815.8	255.0	^d 4,555.0
2016	1.82	^e 791.0	^e 245.8	^e 4,425.8
2017	^e 1.80	^e 783.6	^e 243.7	^e 4,383.6
Intermediate:				
2020	1.84	762.4	236.7	4,267.4
2025	1.99	728.9	224.2	4,094.1
2030	2.00	697.7	211.9	3,936.2
2035	2.00	668.4	200.3	3,789.5
2040	2.00	641.1	189.4	3,652.5
2045	2.00	615.5	179.2	3,524.2
2050	2.00	591.5	169.7	3,403.7
2055	2.00	569.0	160.8	3,290.5
2060	2.00	547.9	152.5	3,184.0
2065	2.00	528.1	144.8	3,083.5
2070	2.00	509.4	137.5	2,988.7
2075	2.00	491.8	130.7	2,899.2
2080	2.00	475.2	124.4	2,814.4
2085	2.00	459.5	118.4	2,734.1
2090	2.00	444.7	112.8	2,657.9
2095	2.00	430.7	107.5	2,585.6

Assumptions and Methods

**Table V.A1.—Fertility and Mortality Assumptions,^a
Calendar Years 1940-2095 (Cont.)**

Calendar year	Total fertility rate ^b	Age-sex-adjusted death rate ^c per 100,000, by age		
		Total	Under 65	65 and over
Low-cost:				
2020	1.91	785.3	243.9	4,395.2
2025	2.15	769.3	237.9	4,312.3
2030	2.20	752.7	231.4	4,228.2
2035	2.20	736.2	224.9	4,145.5
2040	2.20	720.2	218.5	4,065.2
2045	2.20	704.7	212.3	3,987.2
2050	2.20	689.7	206.4	3,911.8
2055	2.20	675.2	200.6	3,838.9
2060	2.20	661.1	195.1	3,768.4
2065	2.20	647.6	189.7	3,700.1
2070	2.20	634.5	184.6	3,634.0
2075	2.20	621.8	179.6	3,569.9
2080	2.20	609.5	174.8	3,507.9
2085	2.20	597.6	170.1	3,447.7
2090	2.20	586.1	165.6	3,389.4
2095	2.20	574.9	161.3	3,332.8
High-cost:				
2020	1.76	737.6	228.6	4,130.9
2025	1.80	684.8	208.8	3,858.9
2030	1.80	638.0	190.3	3,623.4
2035	1.80	596.2	173.6	3,414.2
2040	1.80	558.7	158.6	3,226.4
2045	1.80	525.0	145.2	3,056.8
2050	1.80	494.5	133.3	2,902.8
2055	1.80	466.9	122.6	2,762.7
2060	1.80	441.8	112.9	2,634.5
2065	1.80	418.9	104.3	2,516.9
2070	1.80	398.0	96.4	2,408.7
2075	1.80	378.8	89.3	2,308.7
2080	1.80	361.1	82.9	2,216.1
2085	1.80	344.8	77.0	2,130.1
2090	1.80	329.7	71.7	2,049.9
2095	1.80	315.6	66.8	1,974.9

^a This table contains basic assumptions along with key summary values that are derived from basic assumptions.

^b The total fertility rate for any year is the average number of children that would be born to a woman in her lifetime if she were to experience, at each age of her life, the birth rate observed in, or assumed for, the selected year, and if she were to survive the entire childbearing period.

^c Based on the enumerated total population as of April 1, 2010, if that population were to experience the death rates by age and sex observed in, or assumed for, the selected year.

^d Estimated.

^e Estimated, intermediate alternative.

3. Immigration Assumptions

Projections of the total Social Security area population reflect assumptions for annual immigration flows. For this report, four categories of immigration flows are used:

Demographic Assumptions and Methods

- Lawful permanent resident (LPR) immigration: Persons who enter the Social Security area and are granted LPR status, or who are already in the Social Security area and adjust their status to become LPRs.¹
- Legal emigration: LPRs and citizens who leave the Social Security area population.
- Other-than-LPR immigration: Persons who enter the Social Security area and stay to the end of the year without being granted LPR status, such as undocumented immigrants, and foreign workers and students entering with temporary visas.
- Other-than-LPR emigration: Other-than-LPR immigrants who leave the Social Security area population or who adjust their status to become LPRs.

Net LPR immigration is the difference between LPR immigration and legal emigration. Net other-than-LPR immigration is the difference between other-than-LPR immigration and other-than-LPR emigration. Total net immigration refers to the sum of net LPR immigration and net other-than-LPR immigration.

Immigration assumptions differ for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost scenarios. The low-cost scenario includes higher annual net immigration and the high-cost scenario includes lower annual net immigration. Table V.A2 contains historical and projected levels of various immigration flows.

LPR immigration has increased significantly since World War II, due to various factors and legislative changes, including the Immigration Act of 1965 and the Immigration Act of 1990.

The intermediate alternative assumes that ultimate annual LPR immigration, which includes residents who adjust their status to become LPRs, will be 1,050,000 persons for 2019 and later. Alternative I assumes that ultimate annual LPR immigration will be 1,250,000 persons for 2018 and later, while alternative III assumes that ultimate annual LPR immigration will be 850,000 persons for 2019 and later. For all three alternatives, the ultimate level of LPR immigration is reduced by 10,000 persons from last year's report. This reduction is due to clarification from the Department of Home-

¹ Persons who enter the country with legal visas but without LPR status, such as temporary foreign workers and students, are not included in the "LPR immigration" category.

Assumptions and Methods

land Security (DHS) regarding implementation of the 2014 executive actions on immigration.¹

The assumed ratios of annual legal emigration to LPR immigration are 20, 25, and 30 percent for alternatives I, II, and III, respectively. This range is consistent with the limited historical data for legal emigration from the Social Security area. These ratios are unchanged from last year's report. Under the intermediate alternative, by combining the ultimate annual LPR immigration and legal emigration assumptions, ultimate annual net LPR immigration is about 788,000 persons. For the low-cost and high-cost scenarios, ultimate annual net LPR immigration is 1,000,000 persons and 595,000 persons, respectively.

The estimated number of other-than-LPR immigrants residing in the Social Security area and the annual level of other-than-LPR immigration have been affected significantly by the most recent recession. Although net other-than-LPR immigration was greatly reduced during the economic downturn, it has begun to rise since then. Under the intermediate assumptions, annual other-than-LPR immigration is expected to continue increasing, reflecting a continued recovery from levels experienced during the recession. The ultimate levels of other-than-LPR immigration are unchanged from last year's report: 1,350,000 persons for alternative II, 1,650,000 persons for alternative I, and 1,050,000 persons for alternative III.

Emigration from the other-than-LPR immigrant population includes those who leave the Social Security area and those who adjust their status to become LPRs. This other-than-LPR immigrant population is highly mobile and far more likely to leave the Social Security area than is the citizen or LPR population. However, as other-than-LPR immigrants stay in the country for longer periods of time, they generally become less likely to leave the country.

Under the intermediate assumptions, the total annual number of other-than-LPR immigrants who leave the Social Security area averages about 424,000 through the 75-year projection period. In addition, the ultimate annual number of other-than-LPR immigrants who adjust status to become LPRs is assumed to be 450,000 for the intermediate assumptions. For the low-cost and high-cost scenarios, the total annual number of other-than-LPR emi-

¹ Prior to this year, the Trustees assumed that there would be an additional 10,000 LPRs annually due to increased usage of the "national interest waiver." This waiver permits certain non-citizens with advanced degrees or exceptional abilities to seek green cards without employer sponsorship if their admission is in the national interest. However, DHS has clarified that this provision has not been implemented, and the Trustees assume it will not be implemented.

Demographic Assumptions and Methods

grants averages about 501,000 and 332,000, respectively, through the 75-year projection period. The ultimate annual number of people adjusting status to LPR status is assumed to be 550,000 persons and 350,000 persons, for the low-cost and high-cost scenarios, respectively. The ultimate annual number of people adjusting status to become LPRs is one-third as large as the assumed ultimate annual number of the other-than-LPR immigrants entering the Social Security area, and is unchanged from last year's report for all three sets of assumptions.

Under the assumptions described above, the projected size of the other-than-LPR immigrant population grows substantially. This growth reflects the excess of annual immigration over the combined annual numbers of emigrants (including adjustments of status) and deaths that occur within the other-than-LPR immigrant population.

Under the intermediate assumptions, projected net other-than-LPR immigration reaches a peak in 2018, reflecting the recovering economy, then sharply decreases over the next few years, primarily due to the decline in the annual number of other-than-LPR immigrants entering the country, to a stable long-term level. This is followed by a gradual decrease in annual net other-than-LPR immigration starting in 2022, due to the increasing number of other-than-LPR immigrants residing in the Social Security area. Because the number of other-than-LPR immigrants leaving the Social Security area is based on rates of departure, an increase in the number of other-than-LPR immigrants residing in the Social Security area results in an increase in the number who emigrate out of the area. All other components of other-than-LPR immigration and emigration are assumed to be stable after 2022, and thus do not contribute toward any change in annual net other-than-LPR immigration. Under the intermediate assumptions, the projected average annual level of net other-than-LPR immigration over the 75-year projection period is about 484,000 persons. For the low-cost and high-cost assumptions, projected average annual net other-than-LPR immigration is about 607,000 persons and 356,000 persons, respectively.

The projected average annual level of total net immigration (LPR and other-than-LPR, combined) is about 1,272,000 persons per year during the 75-year projection period under the intermediate assumptions. For the low-cost and high-cost assumptions, projected average annual total net immigration is about 1,607,000 persons and 952,000 persons, respectively.

Demographers express a wide range of views about the future course of immigration for the United States. Some believe that net immigration will increase substantially in the future. Others believe that potential immigrants

Assumptions and Methods

may be increasingly attracted to other countries, that the number of potential immigrants may be lower due to lower birth rates in many countries, or that changes in the law or enforcement of the law will reduce immigration.

Table V.A2.—Immigration Assumptions,^a Calendar Years 1940-2095
[in thousands]

Calendar year	LPR immigration				Other-than-LPR immigration ^b				Total net immigration
	LPR in	Legal out	Adjustments of status ^{c d}	Net LPR	Other-than-LPR in	Other-than-LPR out	Adjustments of status ^{c d}	Net other-than-LPR	
Historical data:									
1940	61	15	-	46	-	-	-	-	-
1945	73	18	-	55	-	-	-	-	-
1950	227	57	-	171	-	-	-	-	-
1955	280	70	-	210	-	-	-	-	-
1960	268	67	-	201	-	-	-	-	-
1965	261	77	49	232	-	-	49	-	-
1970	307	93	65	279	-	-	65	-	-
1975	340	98	53	294	-	-	53	-	-
1980	431	136	112	407	-	-	112	208	614
1985	458	144	119	432	-	-	119	264	696
1990	548	166	114	497	-	-	114	620	1,116
1995	511	192	255	575	-	-	255	557	1,132
2000	482	224	413	672	1,409	294	413	703	1,374
2005	561	290	597	869	1,822	84	597	1,141	2,010
2006	639	303	573	909	1,426	53	573	800	1,709
2007	584	267	482	800	926	373	482	71	871
2008	635	278	478	835	708	985	478	-755	79
2009	633	277	475	832	802	222	475	104	935
2010	622	262	426	786	678	203	426	50	835
2011	647	264	408	791	594	254	408	-69	722
2012	621	255	401	766	687	694	401	-407	359
2013	589	249	409	748	815	494	409	-88	660
2014	627	256	398	769	1,073	364	398	311	1,080
2015	689	271	395	813	1,082	324	395	364	1,177
2016	^e 776	^e 296	^e 408	^e 888	^f 1,450	^e 192	^e 408	^f 849	^f 1,737
2017	^f 700	^f 288	^f 450	^f 863	^f 1,450	^f 231	^f 450	^f 769	^f 1,632
Intermediate:									
2020	600	263	450	788	1,450	290	450	710	1,498
2025	600	263	450	788	1,350	338	450	562	1,349
2030	600	263	450	788	1,350	367	450	533	1,321
2035	600	263	450	788	1,350	393	450	507	1,295
2040	600	263	450	788	1,350	415	450	485	1,272
2045	600	263	450	788	1,350	430	450	470	1,257
2050	600	263	450	788	1,350	441	450	459	1,247
2055	600	263	450	788	1,350	448	450	452	1,239
2060	600	263	450	788	1,350	454	450	446	1,233
2065	600	263	450	788	1,350	459	450	441	1,229
2070	600	263	450	788	1,350	462	450	438	1,225
2075	600	263	450	788	1,350	465	450	435	1,222
2080	600	263	450	788	1,350	467	450	433	1,221
2085	600	263	450	788	1,350	468	450	432	1,219
2090	600	263	450	788	1,350	469	450	431	1,218
2095	600	263	450	788	1,350	470	450	430	1,218

Demographic Assumptions and Methods

Table V.A2.—Immigration Assumptions,^a Calendar Years 1940-2095 (Cont.)
[in thousands]

Calendar year	LPR immigration				Other-than-LPR immigration ^b				Total net immigration
	LPR in	Legal out	Adjustments of status ^{c d}	Net LPR	Other-than-LPR in	Other-than-LPR out	Adjustments of status ^{c d}	Net other-than-LPR	
Low-cost:									
2020	700	250	550	1,000	1,750	308	550	892	1,892
2025	700	250	550	1,000	1,650	373	550	727	1,727
2030	700	250	550	1,000	1,650	415	550	685	1,685
2035	700	250	550	1,000	1,650	452	550	648	1,648
2040	700	250	550	1,000	1,650	485	550	615	1,615
2045	700	250	550	1,000	1,650	507	550	593	1,593
2050	700	250	550	1,000	1,650	524	550	576	1,576
2055	700	250	550	1,000	1,650	537	550	563	1,563
2060	700	250	550	1,000	1,650	546	550	554	1,554
2065	700	250	550	1,000	1,650	553	550	547	1,547
2070	700	250	550	1,000	1,650	559	550	541	1,541
2075	700	250	550	1,000	1,650	563	550	537	1,537
2080	700	250	550	1,000	1,650	565	550	535	1,535
2085	700	250	550	1,000	1,650	567	550	533	1,533
2090	700	250	550	1,000	1,650	568	550	532	1,532
2095	700	250	550	1,000	1,650	569	550	531	1,531
High-cost:									
2020	500	255	350	595	850	236	350	264	859
2025	500	255	350	595	1,050	266	350	434	1,029
2030	500	255	350	595	1,050	287	350	413	1,008
2035	500	255	350	595	1,050	306	350	394	989
2040	500	255	350	595	1,050	322	350	378	973
2045	500	255	350	595	1,050	335	350	365	960
2050	500	255	350	595	1,050	343	350	357	952
2055	500	255	350	595	1,050	349	350	351	946
2060	500	255	350	595	1,050	354	350	346	941
2065	500	255	350	595	1,050	358	350	342	937
2070	500	255	350	595	1,050	361	350	339	934
2075	500	255	350	595	1,050	363	350	337	932
2080	500	255	350	595	1,050	365	350	335	930
2085	500	255	350	595	1,050	367	350	333	928
2090	500	255	350	595	1,050	368	350	332	927
2095	500	255	350	595	1,050	369	350	331	926

^a This table contains basic assumptions along with key summary values that are derived from basic assumptions.

^b Historical other-than-LPR immigration and emigration estimates depend on a residual method. The Office of the Chief Actuary developed these estimates, as well as the resulting other-than-LPR January 1 stock estimates, for years through 2000. For years 2001 and later, the residual method uses stock estimates. For 2001 through 2004, the stock is set to values that linearly grade from the 2000 stock estimate to the DHS 2005 stock estimate. For 2005 through 2012, DHS provided the stock estimates. Because DHS no longer provides stock estimates after 2012, the 2013 through 2015 stock estimates are developed by the Office of the Chief Actuary, based on the same methods used by DHS.

^c Estimates do not include persons who attained LPR status under the special one-time provisions of the Immigration Reform and Control Act of 1986.

^d Adjustments of status are a positive for net LPR immigration and a negative for net other-than-LPR immigration.

^e Estimated.

^f Estimated, intermediate alternative.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

4. Total Population Estimates

The starting Social Security area population for December 31, 2015, is derived from the Census Bureau's estimate of the residents of the 50 States and D.C. and U.S. Armed Forces overseas. Adjustments are made to reflect mortality assumptions for the aged population since 2010 that are consistent with Medicare and Social Security data, net immigration assumptions for the aged population since 2010, estimates of the net undercount in the 2010 census, inclusion of U.S. citizens living abroad (including residents of U.S. territories), and inclusion of non-citizens living abroad who are insured for Social Security benefits. The Office of the Chief Actuary projects the population in the Social Security area by age, sex, and marital status for December 31 of each year from 2016 through 2095 by combining the assumptions for future fertility, mortality, and immigration with assumptions for marriage and divorce. Previous sections of this chapter present the assumptions for future fertility, mortality, and immigration. Assumptions for future rates of marriage and divorce reflect historical data from the National Center for Health Statistics, the Census Bureau, and selected individual States.

This report presents a July 1 (i.e., midyear) population for each year, which is derived from surrounding December populations. Table V.A3 shows the historical and projected population for July 1 by broad age group, for the three alternatives. It also shows the aged and total dependency ratios (see table footnotes for definitions).

Demographic Assumptions and Methods

**Table V.A3.—Social Security Area Population on July 1 and Dependency Ratios,
Calendar Years 1945-2095**

Calendar year	Population (in thousands)			Total	Dependency ratio	
	Under 20	20-64	65 and over		Aged ^a	Total ^b
Historical data:						
1945	49,121	88,109	10,900	148,130	0.124	0.681
1950	53,903	92,382	12,769	159,053	.138	.722
1955	63,293	96,207	15,075	174,576	.157	.815
1960	73,074	99,802	17,277	190,153	.173	.905
1965	80,020	104,885	19,071	203,975	.182	.945
1970	81,020	112,991	20,895	214,906	.185	.902
1975	78,629	122,642	23,307	224,578	.190	.831
1980	74,835	134,106	26,309	235,250	.196	.754
1985	72,948	144,577	29,151	246,676	.202	.706
1990	74,797	152,768	31,925	259,491	.209	.699
1995	79,278	160,729	34,318	274,325	.214	.707
2000	82,003	170,181	35,503	287,687	.209	.690
2005	83,982	181,066	37,156	302,204	.205	.669
2006	84,572	183,341	37,722	305,635	.206	.667
2007	85,152	184,971	38,477	308,600	.208	.668
2008	85,548	185,798	39,363	310,709	.212	.672
2009	85,775	186,734	40,243	312,752	.216	.675
2010	85,717	188,355	41,050	315,122	.218	.673
2011	85,417	189,850	42,041	317,308	.221	.671
2012	85,100	190,655	43,446	319,200	.228	.674
2013	84,826	191,268	44,926	321,020	.235	.678
2014	84,717	192,142	46,335	323,194	.241	.682
2015	84,714	193,126	47,773	325,613	.247	.686
2016 ^c	84,826	194,181	49,273	328,279	.254	.691
2017 ^c	85,040	195,210	50,867	331,117	.261	.696
Intermediate:						
2020	85,645	197,892	55,961	339,497	.283	.716
2025	87,466	200,771	65,052	353,288	.324	.760
2030	89,727	204,114	73,039	366,880	.358	.797
2035	93,414	207,761	77,950	379,125	.375	.825
2040	97,077	212,111	80,654	389,841	.380	.838
2045	98,995	217,721	82,565	399,282	.379	.834
2050	99,955	223,258	85,043	408,256	.381	.829
2055	101,479	227,993	88,261	417,733	.387	.832
2060	103,819	231,979	92,313	428,111	.398	.845
2065	106,687	236,306	96,061	439,053	.407	.858
2070	109,457	240,455	100,056	449,968	.416	.871
2075	111,654	244,664	104,246	460,565	.426	.882
2080	113,364	250,886	106,663	470,913	.425	.877
2085	115,124	257,567	108,651	481,342	.422	.869
2090	117,293	262,799	111,975	492,066	.426	.872
2095	119,775	267,020	116,234	503,029	.435	.884

Assumptions and Methods

Table V.A3.—Social Security Area Population on July 1 and Dependency Ratios, Calendar Years 1945-2095 (Cont.)

Calendar year	Population (in thousands)				Dependency ratio	
	Under 20	20-64	65 and over	Total	Aged ^a	Total ^b
Low-cost:						
2020	86,477	198,828	55,844	341,149	0.281	0.716
2025	90,332	203,010	64,627	357,969	.318	.763
2030	95,585	207,665	72,132	375,381	.347	.808
2035	102,416	212,606	76,431	391,453	.359	.841
2040	108,946	218,557	78,470	405,972	.359	.858
2045	112,834	226,833	79,763	419,430	.352	.849
2050	115,312	235,969	81,748	433,029	.346	.835
2055	119,015	244,377	84,630	448,022	.346	.833
2060	124,269	252,015	88,460	464,743	.351	.844
2065	130,349	260,133	92,010	482,492	.354	.855
2070	136,102	268,588	95,752	500,442	.357	.863
2075	140,843	277,929	99,602	518,373	.358	.865
2080	144,896	290,029	101,687	536,613	.351	.850
2085	149,260	302,744	103,668	555,671	.342	.835
2090	154,497	313,305	107,944	575,746	.345	.838
2095	160,318	322,347	113,948	596,613	.353	.851
High-cost:						
2020	84,214	195,839	56,061	336,114	.286	.716
2025	83,880	197,264	65,488	346,632	.332	.757
2030	83,205	199,415	74,020	356,639	.371	.788
2035	83,886	201,910	79,627	365,422	.394	.810
2040	84,888	204,765	83,088	372,740	.406	.820
2045	85,231	207,675	85,695	378,601	.413	.823
2050	85,042	209,685	88,707	383,433	.423	.829
2055	84,783	210,937	92,238	387,958	.437	.839
2060	84,721	211,499	96,424	392,644	.456	.856
2065	84,983	212,274	100,254	397,511	.472	.873
2070	85,414	212,396	104,395	402,204	.492	.894
2075	85,723	211,842	108,830	406,395	.514	.918
2080	85,791	212,665	111,500	409,956	.524	.928
2085	85,758	213,965	113,339	413,062	.530	.931
2090	85,805	214,827	115,298	415,931	.537	.936
2095	85,995	215,256	117,390	418,641	.545	.945

^a Ratio of the population at ages 65 and over to the population at ages 20-64.

^b Ratio of the population at ages 65 and over and the population under age 20 to the population at ages 20-64.

^c Estimated, intermediate alternative.

Notes:

1. Historical data are subject to revision.
2. Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

5. Life Expectancy Estimates

Life expectancy, or the average remaining number of years expected prior to death, is an additional way to summarize the Trustees' mortality assumptions. This report includes life expectancy in two different forms (period and cohort), which are useful for two separate purposes.

Demographic Assumptions and Methods

- Period life expectancy for a given year uses the actual or expected death rates at each age for that year. It is a useful summary statistic for illustrating the overall level of the death rates experienced in a single year. Period life expectancy for a particular year provides an individual's expected average remaining lifetime at a selected age, assuming no change in death rates after that year. Table V.A4 presents historical and projected life expectancy calculated on a period basis.
- Cohort life expectancy does not use death rates for a single year, but for the series of years in which the individual will actually reach each succeeding age if he or she survives. Cohort life expectancy provides an individual's expected average remaining lifetime at a selected age in a given year, using actual or expected future death rates. Table V.A5 presents historical and projected life expectancy calculated on a cohort basis. Cohort life expectancy is somewhat greater than period life expectancy for a given year because: (1) death rates at any age tend to decline over time; and (2) cohort life expectancy uses death rates from future years, while period life expectancy uses death rates only from the given year.

Life expectancy at a given age reflects death rates at that and all older ages. Period life expectancy is somewhat related to the age-sex-adjusted death rate discussed in section V.A.2. However, life expectancy places far greater weight on death rates at relatively younger ages than those at relatively older ages. Therefore, changes in death rates at younger ages have far greater effects in changing life expectancy over time. It is important to keep this concept in mind when considering trends in life expectancy.

Assumptions and Methods

Table V.A4.—Period Life Expectancy^a

Calendar year	Historical data											
	At birth		At age 65									
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
1940	61.4	65.7	11.9	13.4								
1945	62.9	68.4	12.6	14.4								
1950	65.6	71.1	12.8	15.1								
1955	66.7	72.8	13.1	15.6								
1960	66.7	73.2	12.9	15.9								
1965	66.8	73.8	12.9	16.3								
1970	67.2	74.9	13.1	17.1								
1975	68.7	76.6	13.7	18.0								
1980	69.9	77.5	14.0	18.4								
1985	71.1	78.2	14.4	18.6								
1990	71.8	78.9	15.1	19.1								
1995	72.5	79.1	15.4	19.1								
2000	74.0	79.4	15.9	19.0								
2005	74.8	80.0	16.7	19.5								
2006	75.1	80.2	17.0	19.7								
2007	75.4	80.5	17.2	19.9								
2008	75.5	80.5	17.2	19.9								
2009	75.9	80.8	17.5	20.2								
2010	76.1	80.9	17.6	20.2								
2011	76.2	81.0	17.7	20.2								
2012	76.3	81.0	17.8	20.3								
2013	76.3	81.1	17.8	20.3								
2014	76.3	81.1	17.9	20.4								
2015 ^b	76.2	81.0	17.8	20.4								
2016 ^c	76.7	81.3	18.1	20.6								
2017 ^c	76.8	81.4	18.2	20.7								
Calendar year	Intermediate				Low-cost				High-cost			
	At birth		At age 65		At birth		At age 65		At birth		At age 65	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
2020	77.2	81.7	18.4	20.9	76.8	81.4	18.2	20.6	77.6	82.1	18.7	21.1
2025	77.8	82.2	18.8	21.2	77.1	81.6	18.4	20.8	78.6	82.9	19.3	21.6
2030	78.4	82.7	19.1	21.5	77.4	81.9	18.5	21.0	79.5	83.6	19.8	22.1
2035	79.0	83.2	19.5	21.8	77.7	82.1	18.7	21.1	80.4	84.4	20.4	22.6
2040	79.5	83.6	19.8	22.1	78.0	82.4	18.9	21.3	81.2	85.0	20.8	23.0
2045	80.0	84.1	20.1	22.3	78.3	82.6	19.0	21.4	82.0	85.7	21.3	23.4
2050	80.5	84.5	20.4	22.6	78.6	82.9	19.2	21.6	82.7	86.3	21.7	23.8
2055	81.0	84.9	20.7	22.9	78.8	83.1	19.4	21.7	83.4	86.8	22.1	24.2
2060	81.5	85.3	20.9	23.1	79.1	83.3	19.5	21.8	84.0	87.3	22.5	24.5
2065	81.9	85.6	21.2	23.4	79.4	83.5	19.7	22.0	84.6	87.8	22.9	24.9
2070	82.4	86.0	21.5	23.6	79.6	83.8	19.8	22.1	85.2	88.3	23.3	25.2
2075	82.8	86.3	21.7	23.8	79.9	84.0	20.0	22.3	85.8	88.8	23.6	25.5
2080	83.2	86.7	22.0	24.0	80.2	84.2	20.1	22.4	86.3	89.2	24.0	25.9
2085	83.6	87.0	22.2	24.3	80.4	84.4	20.3	22.5	86.8	89.6	24.3	26.2
2090	84.0	87.3	22.5	24.5	80.7	84.6	20.4	22.6	87.3	90.0	24.6	26.5
2095	84.3	87.6	22.7	24.7	80.9	84.8	20.6	22.8	87.7	90.4	25.0	26.7

^a The period life expectancy at a given age for a given year is the average remaining number of years expected prior to death for a person at that exact age, born on January 1, using the mortality rates for that year over the course of his or her remaining life.

^b Estimated.

^c Estimated, intermediate alternative.

Demographic Assumptions and Methods

Table V.A5.—Cohort Life Expectancy^a

Calendar year	Intermediate				Low-cost				High-cost			
	At birth ^b		At age 65 ^c		At birth ^b		At age 65 ^c		At birth ^b		At age 65 ^c	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
1940	70.4	76.6	12.7	14.7	70.2	76.4	12.7	14.7	70.6	76.8	12.7	14.7
1945	72.2	78.3	13.0	15.4	72.0	78.0	13.0	15.4	72.5	78.6	13.0	15.4
1950	73.4	79.6	13.1	16.2	73.0	79.1	13.1	16.2	73.9	80.1	13.1	16.2
1955	74.0	80.2	13.1	16.7	73.4	79.6	13.1	16.7	74.6	80.9	13.1	16.7
1960	74.7	80.6	13.2	17.4	74.0	79.8	13.2	17.4	75.6	81.5	13.2	17.4
1965	75.6	81.1	13.5	18.0	74.7	80.2	13.5	18.0	76.8	82.2	13.5	18.0
1970	76.9	82.0	13.8	18.5	75.7	80.9	13.8	18.5	78.2	83.3	13.8	18.5
1975	77.8	82.7	14.2	18.7	76.4	81.5	14.2	18.7	79.4	84.2	14.2	18.7
1980	78.6	83.4	14.7	18.8	77.1	81.9	14.7	18.8	80.5	85.1	14.7	18.8
1985	79.3	83.9	15.4	19.1	77.5	82.3	15.4	19.1	81.4	85.8	15.4	19.1
1990	80.0	84.5	16.1	19.4	78.0	82.7	16.0	19.4	82.3	86.5	16.1	19.4
1995	80.8	85.0	16.7	19.8	78.6	83.1	16.7	19.7	83.3	87.2	16.8	19.8
2000	81.3	85.4	17.5	20.2	78.9	83.3	17.4	20.1	84.0	87.8	17.6	20.3
2005	81.8	85.8	18.1	20.7	79.2	83.6	17.9	20.4	84.7	88.3	18.3	20.9
2006	81.9	85.9	18.2	20.7	79.3	83.6	18.0	20.5	84.8	88.4	18.5	21.1
2007	82.0	86.0	18.3	20.8	79.3	83.7	18.0	20.5	84.9	88.5	18.6	21.2
2008	82.1	86.1	18.4	20.9	79.4	83.7	18.1	20.6	85.1	88.6	18.7	21.3
2009	82.2	86.1	18.5	21.0	79.5	83.8	18.2	20.6	85.2	88.8	18.8	21.4
2010	82.3	86.2	18.5	21.1	79.5	83.8	18.2	20.7	85.4	88.9	19.0	21.5
2011	82.4	86.3	18.6	21.1	79.6	83.9	18.3	20.7	85.5	89.0	19.1	21.6
2012	82.5	86.4	18.7	21.2	79.7	83.9	18.3	20.8	85.6	89.1	19.2	21.7
2013	82.6	86.5	18.8	21.3	79.7	84.0	18.3	20.8	85.7	89.2	19.3	21.8
2014	82.7	86.5	18.9	21.3	79.8	84.0	18.4	20.9	85.9	89.3	19.4	21.9
2015	82.8	86.6	18.9	21.4	79.8	84.1	18.4	20.9	86.0	89.3	19.6	22.0
2016	82.9	86.7	19.0	21.5	79.9	84.1	18.5	20.9	86.1	89.5	19.7	22.2
2017	83.0	86.8	19.1	21.6	79.9	84.2	18.5	21.0	86.2	89.6	19.8	22.3
2020	83.2	87.0	19.3	21.7	80.1	84.3	18.6	21.1	86.6	89.9	20.1	22.6
2025	83.7	87.3	19.6	22.0	80.4	84.5	18.8	21.2	87.2	90.3	20.7	23.0
2030	84.1	87.7	20.0	22.3	80.6	84.7	18.9	21.4	87.7	90.8	21.2	23.5
2035	84.5	88.0	20.3	22.6	80.9	84.9	19.1	21.5	88.2	91.2	21.7	23.9
2040	84.9	88.3	20.6	22.9	81.1	85.1	19.3	21.7	88.7	91.6	22.1	24.3
2045	85.2	88.6	20.9	23.1	81.4	85.3	19.4	21.8	89.2	92.0	22.6	24.7
2050	85.6	88.9	21.2	23.4	81.6	85.5	19.6	22.0	89.7	92.4	23.0	25.1
2055	85.9	89.2	21.4	23.6	81.8	85.7	19.8	22.1	90.1	92.7	23.4	25.4
2060	86.3	89.5	21.7	23.9	82.1	85.9	19.9	22.3	90.5	93.1	23.7	25.8
2065	86.6	89.7	22.0	24.1	82.3	86.1	20.1	22.4	90.9	93.4	24.1	26.1
2070	86.9	90.0	22.2	24.4	82.5	86.3	20.2	22.5	91.3	93.7	24.5	26.4
2075	87.2	90.2	22.5	24.6	82.7	86.4	20.4	22.7	91.7	94.0	24.8	26.7
2080	87.5	90.5	22.7	24.8	82.9	86.6	20.5	22.8	92.0	94.3	25.1	27.0
2085	87.8	90.7	22.9	25.0	83.1	86.8	20.7	22.9	92.4	94.6	25.5	27.3
2090	88.1	91.0	23.2	25.2	83.4	87.0	20.8	23.0	92.7	94.9	25.8	27.6
2095	88.4	91.2	23.4	25.4	83.6	87.1	20.9	23.2	93.0	95.2	26.1	27.9

^a The cohort life expectancy at a given age for a given year is the average remaining number of years expected prior to death for a person at that exact age, born on January 1, using the mortality rates for the series of years in which the individual will actually reach each succeeding age if he or she survives.

^b Cohort life expectancy at birth for those born in the calendar year is based on a combination of actual, estimated, and projected death rates for birth years 1940 through 2015. For birth years after 2015, these values depend on estimated and projected death rates.

^c Age 65 cohort life expectancy for those attaining age 65 in calendar years 1940 through 2014 depends on actual death rates or on a combination of actual, estimated, and projected death rates. After 2014, these values depend on estimated and projected death rates.

B. ECONOMIC ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

The three alternative sets of economic assumptions provide a reasonable range for estimating the financial status of the trust funds. The intermediate assumptions reflect the Trustees' consensus expectation of sustained moderate economic growth and their best estimate for other economic parameters. The low-cost assumptions represent a more optimistic outlook: a faster recovery, stronger long-term economic growth, and relatively optimistic levels for other parameters. The high-cost assumptions represent a more pessimistic scenario: weaker economic growth in the near term, interrupted by a recession, slower long-term economic growth, and relatively pessimistic levels for other parameters.

Actual economic data were available through the third quarter of 2017 at the time the assumptions for this report were set. The data indicated that economic activity peaked in the fourth quarter of 2007¹ with the level of gross domestic product (GDP) about 1 percent above the estimated long-term sustainable trend level. A severe recession followed, with a low point in the economic cycle reached in the second quarter of 2009 with GDP about 7 percent below the estimated sustainable trend level. The annual growth rate in real GDP has been positive in all years since then, but not as strong as in most past recoveries. The Trustees project that the economy will return to its sustainable trend level of output within the first 10 years of the projection period and remain on that trend thereafter. However, the speed of the return varies by alternative. The economy is projected to fully return to its sustainable trend level of output in 2025 under the intermediate assumptions, three years later than in last year's report, although it is projected to be within 1 percent of the sustainable trend level by 2019. Under the low-cost assumptions, the economy is projected to return to its sustainable trend level of output by 2022, a year later than in last year's report. Under the high-cost assumptions, the estimated sustainable trend is lower, and the economy has already returned to the sustainable trend. However, due to the assumed recession, GDP is projected to drop to 2.5 percent below the trend level in the second half of 2019, and the subsequent recovery is assumed to return GDP to the sustainable trend in 2027. Complete economic cycles have little effect on the long-range estimates of financial status, so the assumptions do not include cycles beyond the short-range period (2018 through 2027).

The key economic assumptions underlying the three sets of projections of the future financial status of the OASI and DI Trust Funds are discussed in the remainder of this section.

¹ See www.nber.org/cycles/cyclesmain.html.

1. Productivity Assumptions

Total U.S. economy productivity is defined as the ratio of real GDP to hours worked by all workers.¹ The rate of change in total-economy productivity is a major determinant of the growth of average earnings. Over the last five complete economic cycles (1966-73, 1973-79, 1979-89, 1989-2000, and 2000-07, measured peak to peak), the annual increases in total-economy productivity averaged 2.28, 1.08, 1.41, 1.79 and 2.12 percent, respectively. For the period from 1966 to 2007, covering those last five complete economic cycles, the annual increase in total-economy productivity averaged 1.73 percent.

The assumed ultimate annual increases in total-economy productivity are 1.98, 1.68, and 1.38 percent for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost assumptions, respectively.² These rates of increase are unchanged from the 2017 report.

The average annual rate of change in total-economy productivity from 2007 (the end of the last complete economic cycle) to 2017 is estimated to be 1.00 percent. For the intermediate assumptions, the annual change in productivity is 1.72 percent for 2018, 1.71 percent for 2019, and rises to 1.73 percent for 2021, before declining to its ultimate value of 1.68 percent by 2025. For the low-cost assumptions, the annual change in productivity is 2.27 percent for 2018, then increases to 2.50 percent for 2019, and gradually approaches its ultimate value of 1.98 percent for 2024 and thereafter. For the high-cost assumptions, the annual change in productivity is 1.29 percent for 2018, 0.19 percent for 2019 due to the assumed recession, rebounds to 1.65 percent for 2020, declines to 1.26 percent for 2021, and then averages 1.44 for 2022 through 2027, before reaching its ultimate value of 1.38 percent after 2027.

2. Price Inflation Assumptions

Changes in the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers (CPI) directly affect the OASDI program through the automatic cost-of-living benefit increases. Changes in the GDP price index (GDP defla-

¹ Historical levels of real GDP are from the Bureau of Economic Analysis' National Income and Product Accounts. Historical total hours worked are provided by the Bureau of Labor Statistics and cover all U.S. Armed Forces and civilian employment.

² These assumptions are consistent with ultimate annual increases in private non-farm business productivity of 2.42, 2.06, and 1.69 percent. Compared to total-economy productivity, private non-farm business productivity is a more widely known concept that excludes the farm, government, non-profit institution, and private household sectors.

Assumptions and Methods

tor) affect the nominal levels of GDP, wages, self-employment income, average earnings, and taxable payroll.

The annual increases in the CPI averaged 4.61, 8.54, 5.31, 2.96, and 2.65 percent over the economic cycles 1966-73, 1973-79, 1979-89, 1989-2000, and 2000-07, respectively. The annual increases in the GDP deflator averaged 4.60, 7.52, 4.68, 2.20, and 2.50 percent for the respective economic cycles. For the period from 1966 to 2007, covering the last five complete economic cycles, the annual increases in the CPI and GDP deflator averaged 4.56 and 4.03 percent, respectively. The estimated average annual change from 2007 (the end of the last complete economic cycle) to 2017 is 1.66 percent for the CPI and 1.54 percent for the GDP deflator.

The assumed ultimate annual increases in the CPI are 3.2, 2.6, and 2.0 percent for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost assumptions, respectively.¹ These assumptions are unchanged from the 2017 report. For a given rate of growth in average real earnings, a higher price inflation rate results in faster nominal earnings and revenue growth immediately, while the resulting added growth in benefit levels occurs with a delay, causing an overall improvement in the actuarial balance. Similarly, a lower price inflation rate causes an overall decline in the actuarial balance.

The Federal Reserve Board's monetary policy changed in the 1980s toward more vigilance in preventing high inflation. Consistent with the Board's continued emphasis on containing inflation, as indicated by their current target for the Personal Consumption Expenditures (PCE) price index,² the Trustees lowered the assumed ultimate annual rate of increase in the CPI for the intermediate assumptions from 4.0 percent for the 1996 report to 2.8 percent for the 2004 through 2013 reports, to 2.7 percent for the 2014 and 2015 reports, and to 2.6 percent for the 2016 through 2018 reports.

For the intermediate assumptions, the annual change in the CPI is 2.23 percent for 2018, 2.50 percent for 2019, and reaches the ultimate growth rate of 2.60 percent for 2020 and later. For the low-cost assumptions, the annual change in the CPI is 2.72 percent for 2018, increases to

¹ The Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) produces a series called the Consumer Price Index Research Series Using Current Methods (CPI-U-RS) that approximates the measured rate of inflation over the 1978-2017 period had the method currently used been in effect since 1978. BLS does not revise the CPI values published in earlier years, for which different methods were used. These CPI published values are shown in table V.B1. The Trustees use an adjusted CPI series based on the CPI-U-RS when setting the ultimate price inflation assumption because it provides a time series that is consistent with the current method for computing the CPI.

² The Trustees' assumed ultimate annual growth rate for the GDP deflator of 2.2 percent is based on an assumed 2.3 percent annual growth rate for the PCE price index. The Trustees' assumption takes into account the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) target, as well as the potential for inflationary shocks during the 2027-2092 projection period.

3.26 percent for 2019, declines to 3.22 percent in 2020, and reaches its ultimate annual growth rate of 3.20 percent for 2021 and later. For the high-cost assumptions, the annual rate of change in the CPI is 1.64 percent for 2018, decreases to 1.49 percent for 2019, rises to 1.92 percent in 2020, and reaches its ultimate annual change of 2.00 percent for 2021 and later.

The annual increase in the GDP deflator differs from the annual increase in the CPI because the two indices are constructed using different computational methods and coverage. The difference between the rate of change in the CPI and the rate of change in the GDP deflator is called the price differential in this report. For the period including 1966 through 2007, covering the last five complete economic cycles, the average annual price differential was 0.54 percentage point. From 2007 (the end of the last complete economic cycle) to 2017, the average annual price differential is estimated to be 0.13 percentage point.

The assumed ultimate price differentials are 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5 percentage point for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost alternatives, respectively. Varying the ultimate projected price differential across alternatives recognizes the historical variation in this measure. Accordingly, the assumed ultimate annual increases in the GDP deflator are 2.9 (3.2 less 0.3), 2.2 (2.6 less 0.4), and 1.5 (2.0 less 0.5) percent for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost alternatives, respectively. The ultimate price differentials for the three alternatives are unchanged from the 2017 report.

The price differential was -0.24 percentage point for 2013, -0.29 for 2014, -1.49 for 2015, -0.30 in 2016, is estimated to be 0.32 in 2017, and is assumed to be 0.26 for 2018. The negative price differential for 2013 through 2016 primarily reflects a general decline in oil prices. Changes in oil prices affect the CPI much more than the GDP deflator because oil represents a much larger share of U.S. consumption than of U.S. production. For 2018 and later, oil prices are assumed to grow at a relatively stable rate. For the intermediate assumptions, the price differential is 0.44 percentage point for 2019 and 0.40 percentage point for 2020 and later.

3. Average Earnings Assumptions

The average level of nominal earnings in OASDI covered employment for each year has a direct effect on the size of the taxable payroll and on the future level of average benefits. In addition, under the automatic adjustment provisions in the law, growth in the average wage in the U.S. economy directly affects certain parameters used in the OASDI benefit formulas as well as the contribution and benefit base, the exempt amounts under the

Assumptions and Methods

retirement earnings test, the amount of earnings required for a quarter of coverage, and in certain circumstances, the automatic cost-of-living benefit increases.

Projected growth rates in average covered earnings are derived from projections of the most inclusive measure, average U.S. earnings. Average U.S. earnings is defined as the ratio of the sum of total U.S. wages and net proprietors' income to the sum of total U.S. civilian employment and Armed Forces. The growth rate in average U.S. earnings for any period is equal to the combined growth rates for total U.S. economy productivity, average hours worked, the ratio of earnings to total compensation (which includes fringe benefits), the ratio of total compensation to GDP, and the GDP deflator.

The average annual change in average hours worked was -0.28 percent over the last five complete economic cycles covering the period from 1966 to 2007. The annual change in average hours worked averaged -0.72, -0.54, -0.03, 0.14, and -0.60 percent over the economic cycles 1966-73, 1973-79, 1979-89, 1989-2000, and 2000-07, respectively. From 2007 (the end of the last complete economic cycle) to 2017, the average annual change in average hours worked is estimated to be -0.07 percent.

The assumed ultimate annual rates of change for average hours worked are 0.05, -0.05, and -0.15 percent for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost assumptions, respectively. These values are unchanged from the 2017 report.

The average annual change in the ratio of earnings to total compensation was -0.20 percent from 1966 to 2007. Most of this decrease was due to the relative increase in the cost of employer-sponsored group health insurance for wage workers. Assuming that the level of total employee compensation is not affected by the amount of employer-sponsored group health insurance, any increase or decrease in the cost of employer-sponsored group health insurance leads to a commensurate decrease or increase in other components of employee compensation, including wages. Projections of future ratios of earnings to total compensation follow this principle. The Trustees assume that the total amount of future employer-sponsored group health insurance premiums will increase more slowly than in the past due to provisions of the Affordable Care Act of 2010, as described in the 2010 report. Data from the Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) indicate that the other significant component of non-wage employee compensation is employer contributions to retirement plans. This component is assumed to grow faster than employee compensation in the future as life expectancy and potential time in retirement increase.

The average annual rates of change in the ratio of wages to employee compensation from 2027 to 2092 are about 0.02, -0.08, and -0.18 percent for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost assumptions, respectively. These assumed rates are the same as those assumed for the 2017 report. Under the intermediate assumptions, the ratio of wages to employee compensation declines from 0.810 for 2017 to 0.767 for 2092. The assumed ultimate annual rate of this decline is 0.08 percent, the same as assumed for last year's report, compared with 0.07 percent for the 2016 report, 0.09 percent for the 2015 report, 0.13 percent for the 2014 report, and 0.20 percent for the 2009 report, prior to enactment of the Affordable Care Act of 2010. The ratio of earnings to compensation includes self-employment income both in the numerator and in the denominator. As a result, the rate of decline in earnings to compensation (which, under the intermediate assumptions, averages 0.06 percent from 2027 to 2092) is less than the rate of decline in wages to employee compensation.

The ratio of total compensation (i.e., employee compensation and net proprietors' income) to GDP varies over the economic cycle and with changes in the relative sizes of different sectors of the economy. Over the last five economic cycles from 1966 to 2007, this ratio has averaged 0.627. The ratio declined from 0.648 for 2001 to 0.601 in 2010, increased to 0.610 in 2012, and is 0.608 in 2016. This ratio is assumed to rise as the economy recovers, reaching a level of 0.631 for 2027. For years after 2027, relative sizes of different sectors of the economy are assumed to remain about constant,¹ and therefore the ratio of total compensation to GDP remains at about the 2027 level for each set of assumptions.

The projected average annual growth rate in average nominal U.S. earnings from 2027 to 2092 is about 3.80 percent for the intermediate assumptions. This growth rate reflects the average annual growth rate of approximately -0.06 percent for the ratio of earnings to total compensation, and also reflects the assumed ultimate annual growth rates of 1.68 percent for productivity, -0.05 percent for average hours worked, and 2.20 percent for the GDP deflator. Similarly, the projected average annual growth rates in average nominal U.S. earnings are 5.01 percent for the low-cost assumptions and 2.59 percent for the high-cost assumptions.

Over long periods, the average annual growth rate in the average wage in OASDI covered employment (henceforth the "average covered wage") is expected to be very close to the average annual growth rate in average U.S.

¹ However, employment in the uniformed military sector has declined in size over the last 40 years, and is assumed to remain at its 2017 level throughout the 75-year projection period.

Assumptions and Methods

earnings. The projected average annual growth rates in the average covered wage from 2027 to 2092 are 5.02, 3.80, and 2.58 percent for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost assumptions, respectively. The estimated annual rate of change in the average covered wage is 2.88 percent for 2017. For the intermediate assumptions, as the economy continues to recover, the annual rate of change in the average covered wage averages 4.27 percent from 2017 to 2027. Thereafter, the average annual rate of change in the average covered wage is 3.80 percent.

4. Assumed Real-Wage Differential

The real increase in the average covered wage has traditionally been expressed in the form of a real-wage differential—the annual percentage change in the average covered wage minus the annual percentage change in the CPI. For the period from 1966 to 2007, covering the last five complete economic cycles, the real-wage differential averaged 0.88 percentage point, the result of averages of 1.43, 0.04, 0.48, 1.55, and 0.58 percentage points over the economic cycles 1966-73, 1973-79, 1979-89, 1989-2000, and 2000-07, respectively.

For the years 2028-92, the projected average annual real-wage differentials for OASDI covered employment are 1.82, 1.20, and 0.58 percentage points for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost assumptions, respectively. The rounded average annual real-wage differentials are unchanged from the 2017 report.

The estimated real-wage differential averaged 0.54 percentage point for 2008 through 2017 (the years since the peak of the last complete economic cycle). The real-wage differential decreased from 3.88 percentage points in 2015 to 0.00 percentage points in 2016, a decline that reflects higher inflation and slower growth in GDP and productivity. For the intermediate assumptions, the wage differential is projected to rise from 0.75 in 2017 to 1.95 in 2020 before gradually declining to its long-run average of 1.20 percentage points for 2028 through 2092. For the low-cost assumptions, the real-wage differential is 2.14 percentage points for 2018, increases to 3.08 percentage points in 2020, and gradually declines to its long-run average of 1.82 percentage points for 2028 through 2092. For the high-cost assumptions, the real-wage differential is 1.36 percentage points for 2018, drops to -1.14 percentage points in 2019 due to the assumed recession, and rises to 1.51 percentage points in 2022 before gradually declining to its long-run average of 0.58 percentage point for 2028 through 2092.

Economic Assumptions and Methods

Table V.B1.—Principal Economic Assumptions

Calendar year	Annual percentage change ^a in—						
	Productivity (Total U.S. economy)	Earnings as a percent of compensation	Average hours worked	GDP price index	Average annual wage in covered employment	Consumer Price Index	Real- wage differ- ential ^b
Historical data:							
5-year periods:							
1960 to 1965 . . .	3.28	-0.18	0.15	1.36	3.22	1.24	1.98
1965 to 1970 . . .	2.07	-.31	-.68	4.03	5.84	4.23	1.61
1970 to 1975 . . .	2.08	-.50	-.88	6.60	6.58	6.76	-.22
1975 to 198095	-.32	-.17	7.19	8.89	8.91	-.04
1980 to 1985 . . .	1.75	-.33	.01	5.21	6.52	5.22	1.29
1985 to 1990 . . .	1.36	-.19	-.06	3.11	4.79	3.83	.96
1990 to 1995 . . .	1.33	-.11	.33	2.44	3.54	3.03	.51
1995 to 2000 . . .	2.31	.28	.14	1.67	5.30	2.43	2.88
2000 to 2005 . . .	2.62	-.41	-.79	2.35	2.68	2.49	.19
2005 to 2010 . . .	1.61	-.08	-.47	1.93	2.50	2.30	.22
2010 to 201548	.20	.37	1.68	2.96	1.61	1.34
Economic cycles:^c							
1966 to 1973 . . .	2.28	-.29	-.72	4.60	6.05	4.61	1.43
1973 to 1979 . . .	1.08	-.43	-.54	7.52	8.58	8.54	.04
1979 to 1989 . . .	1.41	-.28	-.03	4.68	5.83	5.31	.48
1989 to 2000 . . .	1.79	.05	.14	2.20	4.50	2.96	1.55
2000 to 2007 . . .	2.12	-.23	-.60	2.50	3.22	2.65	.58
2007 to 2017 . . .	1.00	.03	-.07	1.54	2.20	1.66	.54
Single years:							
200796	-.05	-.29	2.67	4.50	2.88	1.62
200891	-.06	-.75	1.93	2.42	4.09	-1.67
2009	2.88	-.66	-1.86	.79	-1.56	-.67	-.89
2010	2.55	-.10	.56	1.23	2.59	2.07	.53
201110	.28	.93	2.06	3.14	3.56	-.42
201246	.40	-.04	1.84	3.32	2.10	1.22
201343	.01	.25	1.61	1.20	1.37	-.17
201468	.25	.26	1.80	3.70	1.50	2.20
201574	.07	.43	1.08	3.46	-.41	3.88
201618	.06	-.40	1.28	.97	.98	^d
2017 ^e	1.10	.02	-.07	1.81	2.88	2.13	.75
Intermediate:							
2018	1.72	.03	-.06	1.97	3.82	2.23	1.59
2019	1.71	-.03	.01	2.06	4.23	2.50	1.73
2020	1.72	.04	.01	2.20	4.55	2.60	1.95
2021	1.73	.03	^d	2.20	4.52	2.60	1.92
2022	1.72	-.06	^d	2.20	4.43	2.60	1.83
2023	1.72	-.07	^d	2.20	4.38	2.60	1.78
2024	1.71	-.11	-.01	2.20	4.33	2.60	1.73
2025	1.68	-.09	-.03	2.20	4.26	2.60	1.66
2026	1.68	-.08	-.05	2.20	4.20	2.60	1.60
2027	1.68	-.06	-.05	2.20	3.97	2.60	1.37
2027 to 2092 . . .	1.68	-.06	-.05	2.20	3.80	2.60	1.20

Assumptions and Methods

Table V.B1.—Principal Economic Assumptions (Cont.)

Calendar year	Annual percentage change ^a in—						Real-wage differential ^b
	Productivity (Total U.S. economy)	Earnings as a percent of compensation	Average hours worked	GDP price index	Average annual wage in covered employment	Consumer Price Index	
Low-cost:							
2018	2.27	0.04	0.08	2.21	4.87	2.72	2.14
2019	2.50	-.02	.23	2.75	6.33	3.26	3.06
2020	2.27	.06	.17	2.92	6.30	3.22	3.08
2021	2.13	.06	.12	2.90	5.99	3.20	2.79
2022	2.00	-.02	.06	2.90	5.49	3.20	2.29
2023	1.97	-.02	.05	2.90	5.35	3.20	2.15
2024	1.98	-.05	.05	2.90	5.32	3.20	2.12
2025	1.98	-.01 ^d	.05	2.90	5.38	3.20	2.18
2026	1.98	^d	.05	2.90	5.41	3.20	2.21
2027	1.98	.02	.05	2.90	5.21	3.20	2.01
2027 to 2092 . . .	1.98	.02	.05	2.90	5.02	3.20	1.82
High-cost:							
2018	1.29	.03	-.07	1.65	3.00	1.64	1.36
201919	-.04	-.24	1.15	.34	1.49	-1.14
2020	1.65	.02	-.17	1.42	2.92	1.92	1.00
2021	1.26	-.01	-.10	1.50	3.32	2.00	1.32
2022	1.47	-.11	-.10	1.50	3.51	2.00	1.51
2023	1.47	-.13	-.10	1.50	3.47	2.00	1.47
2024	1.42	-.17	-.12	1.50	3.28	2.00	1.28
2025	1.44	-.16	-.12	1.50	3.27	2.00	1.27
2026	1.44	-.16	-.12	1.50	3.25	2.00	1.25
2027	1.39	-.15	-.13	1.50	2.88	2.00	.88
2027 to 2092 . . .	1.38	-.15	-.15	1.50	2.58	2.00	.58

^a For rows with a single year listed, the value is the annual percentage change from the prior year. For rows with a range of years listed, the value is the compound average annual percentage change.

^b For rows with a single year listed, the value is the annual percentage change in the average annual wage in covered employment less the annual percentage change in the Consumer Price Index. For rows with a range of years listed, the value is the average of annual values of the real wage differential, beginning with the year following the first year of the range. Values are rounded after all computations.

^c Economic cycles are shown from peak to peak, except for the last cycle, which is not yet complete.

^d Greater than -0.005 and less than 0.005.

^e Historical data are not available for the full year. Estimated values vary slightly by alternative and are shown for the intermediate assumptions.

5. Labor Force and Unemployment Projections

The model used by the Office of the Chief Actuary projects the civilian labor force by age, sex, marital status, and presence of children. Projections of the labor force participation rates reflect changes in disability prevalence, educational attainment, the average level of Social Security retirement benefits, the state of the economy, and the change in life expectancy. The projections also include a “cohort effect,” which reflects an upward trend in female participation rates across cohorts born through 1948.

The annual rate of growth in the size of the labor force decreased from an average of about 2.4 percent during the 1966-73 economic cycle and 2.7 percent during the 1973-79 cycle to 1.7 percent during the 1979-89 cycle,

1.3 percent during the 1989-2000 cycle, and 1.0 percent during the 2000-07 cycle. Further slowing of labor force growth is expected to follow from a substantial slowing of growth in the working age population in the future—a consequence of the baby-boom generation reaching retirement ages and succeeding lower-birth-rate cohorts reaching working ages. Under the intermediate assumptions, the labor force is projected to increase by an average of 0.9 percent per year from 2017 to 2027 and 0.5 percent per year over the remainder of the 75-year projection period.

Labor force participation rates are projected with a model that uses demographic and economic assumptions specific to each alternative. More optimistic economic assumptions in the low-cost alternative are consistent with higher labor force participation rates, while demographic assumptions in the low-cost alternative (such as slower improvement in longevity) are consistent with lower labor force participation rates. These economic and demographic influences have largely offsetting effects. Therefore, the projected labor force participation rates do not vary substantially across alternatives.

Historically, labor force participation rates reflect trends in demographics and pensions. Between the mid-1960s and the mid-1980s, labor force participation rates at ages 50 and over declined for males but were fairly stable for females. During this period, the baby-boom generation reached working age and more women entered the labor force. This increasing supply of labor allowed employers to offer attractive early retirement options. Between the mid-1980s and the mid-1990s, participation rates at ages 55 and older roughly stabilized for males and increased for females. Since the mid-1990s, however, participation rates for both sexes at ages 50 and over have generally risen.

Many economic and demographic factors, including longevity, health, disability prevalence, the business cycle, incentives for retirement in Social Security and private pensions, education, and marriage patterns, will influence future labor force participation rates. The Office of the Chief Actuary models some of these factors explicitly. To model the effects of other factors related to increases in life expectancy, projected participation rates are adjusted upward for mid-career and older ages to reflect projected increases in life expectancy. For the intermediate projections, this adjustment increases the total labor force by 2.8 percent for 2092.

For men age 16 and over, the projected age-adjusted labor force participation rates¹ for 2092 are 73.1, 73.2, and 73.1 percent for the low-cost, intermedi-

¹ The Office of the Chief Actuary adjusts the labor force participation rates to the 2011 age distribution of the civilian noninstitutional U.S. population.

Assumptions and Methods

ate, and high-cost assumptions, respectively. The low-cost assumptions result in a larger working-age population and a larger labor force when compared to the intermediate assumptions, but a slightly lower labor force participation rate for men. This occurs because the low-cost assumptions include shorter life expectancies and relatively higher numbers of never-married individuals in the population. Shorter life expectancies tend to reduce work at older ages, while labor force participation rates tend to be lower for never-married men and higher for never-married women compared to their married counterparts.¹ For women age 16 and over, the projected age-adjusted labor force participation rates for 2092 are 62.0, 61.4, and 60.5 percent for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost assumptions, respectively.

The age-adjusted rates for 2092 are higher under all three alternatives than the age-adjusted rates for 2016 of 70.5 percent for men and 58.0 percent for women (based on actual age-specific rates published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics), primarily due to the Trustees' projected increases in life expectancy. In the first ten years of the projection period, the assumed labor force participation rates increase as the economic recovery draws more people into the labor force. Increasing disability prevalence rates offset these increases somewhat in the intermediate and high-cost assumptions, but a decrease in disability prevalence further contributes to increases in labor force participation in the low-cost assumptions.

The total civilian unemployment rates are presented in table V.B2. For years through 2027, the table presents total civilian rates without adjustment for the changing age-sex distribution of the population. For years after 2027, the table presents age-sex-adjusted rates, using the age-sex distribution of the 2011 civilian labor force. Age-sex-adjusted rates allow for more meaningful comparisons across longer time periods.

The total civilian unemployment rate reflects the projected levels of unemployment for various age-sex groups of the population. Each group's unemployment rate is projected in relation to changes in the economic cycle, as measured by the ratio of actual to potential GDP.² For each alternative, the total civilian unemployment rate moves toward the ultimate assumed rate as the economy moves toward the long-range sustainable growth path.

The assumed ultimate age-sex-adjusted unemployment rates are 4.5, 5.5, and 6.5 percent for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost assumptions,

¹ The high-cost labor force participation rate is also lower than the intermediate because life expectancy has a non-linear effect on labor force participation rates in the Office of the Chief Actuary's model.

² Potential GDP is the level of GDP assuming the economy is operating at the underlying sustainable trend rate of growth.

respectively. These values are unchanged from the 2017 report. Improvements in labor market conditions will eventually draw more nonparticipants back into the labor force and unemployment rate will increase from an estimated 4.4 percent for 2017 to the assumed 5.5 percent for 2021 under the intermediate assumptions. Under the low-cost assumptions, the ultimate unemployment rate is reached in 2020.¹ Under the high-cost assumptions, the ultimate unemployment rate is reached in 2026.

6. Gross Domestic Product Projections

The value of real GDP equals the product of three components: (1) average weekly total employment,² (2) productivity, and (3) average hours worked per week. Consequently, the growth rate in real GDP is approximately equal to the sum of the growth rates for total employment, productivity, and average hours worked. For the period from 1966 to 2007, which covers the last five complete economic cycles, the average growth rate in real GDP was 3.1 percent. This average growth rate approximately equals the sum of the average growth rates of 1.6 percent for total employment, 1.7 percent for productivity, and -0.3 percent for average hours worked. The real GDP for 2016 was 12.4 percent above the 2007 level. The estimated real GDP growth from 2016 to 2017 is 2.2 percent.

For the intermediate assumptions, the average annual growth in real GDP is 2.4 percent from 2017 to 2027, the approximate sum of component growth rates of 0.7 percent for total employment, 1.7 percent for productivity, and -0.02 percent for average hours worked. The projected average annual growth in real GDP of 2.4 percent for this period is approximately 0.2 percentage point higher than the underlying sustainable trend rate. This growth of 0.2 percentage point above trend reflects a relatively rapid increase in employment and total economy productivity, and a relatively slow decline in average hours worked, as the economy recovers. After 2027, the assumptions do not explicitly reflect economic cycles. The projected annual growth rate in real GDP combines the projected growth rates for total employment, total U.S. economy productivity, and average hours worked. After 2027, the annual growth in real GDP averages 2.1 percent, based on the projected average annual growth rate of 0.5 percent for total employment and the assumed ultimate growth rates of 1.7 percent for productivity and -0.05 percent for average hours worked. The projected growth rate of real

¹ The assumed ultimate unemployment rate is an age-sex-adjusted rate.

² Total employment is the sum of the U.S. Armed Forces and total civilian employment, which depends on the total civilian labor force and unemployment rate.

Assumptions and Methods

GDP is slower than the past average growth rate mainly because the working-age population is expected to grow more slowly than in the past.

For the low-cost assumptions, the annual growth in real GDP averages 3.2 percent over the decade ending in 2027. The relatively fast growth is due mostly to high assumed rates of growth for employment and worker productivity. For the high-cost assumptions, the annual growth in real GDP averages 1.6 percent for the decade ending in 2027.

7. Interest Rates

Table V.B2 presents average annual nominal and real interest rates for newly issued trust fund securities. The nominal rate is the average of the nominal interest rates for special U.S. Government obligations issuable to the trust funds in each of the 12 months of the year. Interest for these securities is generally compounded semiannually. The real interest rate is defined as the annual yield rate for investments in these securities divided by the annual rate of growth in the CPI for the first year after issuance. The real rate shown for each year reflects the actual realized (historical) or expected (future) real yield on securities issuable in the prior year.

To develop a reasonable range of assumed ultimate future real interest rates for the three alternatives, the Office of the Chief Actuary examined historical experience for the last five complete economic cycles. For the period from 1966 to 2007, the real interest rate averaged 2.8 percent per year. The real interest rates averaged 1.3, -1.0, 5.2, 4.0, and 2.2 percent per year over the economic cycles 1966-73, 1973-79, 1979-89, 1989-2000, and 2000-07, respectively. The assumed ultimate real interest rates are 3.2 percent, 2.7 percent, and 2.2 percent for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost assumptions, respectively. These rates are the same as in the 2017 report.

The actual average annual nominal interest rate was approximately 1.8 percent for 2016, which means that securities newly issued in 2016 would yield 1.8 percent if held one year. Estimated average prices rose from 2016 to 2017 by approximately 2.1 percent. The annual real interest rate for 2017 is -0.3 percent, the approximate difference between the nominal interest rate and the rate of price increase. For the 10-year short-range projection period, projected nominal interest rates depend on changes in the economic cycle and in the CPI. When combined with the ultimate CPI assumptions of 3.2, 2.6, and 2.0 percent, the assumed ultimate real interest rates produce ultimate nominal interest rates of 6.4 percent for the low-cost assumptions, 5.3 percent for the intermediate assumptions, and 4.2 percent for the high-cost assumptions. These nominal rates for newly issued trust fund securities reach their ultimate levels by 2027, the end of the short-range period.

Economic Assumptions and Methods

Table V.B2.—Additional Economic Factors

Calendar year	Average annual unemployment rate ^a	Annual percentage change ^b in—			Average annual interest rate	
		Labor force ^c	Total employment ^d	Real GDP ^e	Nominal ^f	Real ^g
Historical data:						
5-year periods:						
1960 to 1965.....	5.5	1.3	1.6	5.0	4.0	2.5
1965 to 1970.....	3.9	2.2	2.1	3.5	5.9	1.0
1970 to 1975.....	6.1	2.5	1.5	2.7	6.7	^h
1975 to 1980.....	6.8	2.7	2.9	3.7	8.5	-9
1980 to 1985.....	8.3	1.5	1.5	3.3	12.1	6.9
1985 to 1990.....	5.9	1.7	2.0	3.4	8.5	5.1
1990 to 1995.....	6.6	1.0	.9	2.6	7.0	4.3
1995 to 2000.....	4.6	1.5	1.8	4.3	6.2	3.9
2000 to 2005.....	5.4	.9	.7	2.5	4.6	2.4
2005 to 2010.....	6.8	.6	-.4	.8	3.8	1.8
2010 to 2015.....	7.2	.4	1.3	2.2	2.0	.5
Economic cycles:¹						
1966 to 1973.....	4.6	2.4	2.0	3.6	6.1	1.3
1973 to 1979.....	6.8	2.7	2.4	3.0	7.7	-1.0
1979 to 1989.....	7.3	1.7	1.7	3.1	10.5	5.2
1989 to 2000.....	5.6	1.3	1.3	3.3	6.8	4.0
2000 to 2007.....	5.2	1.0	.9	2.4	4.6	2.2
2007 to 2017.....	7.0	.5	.5	1.4	2.3	.9
Single years:						
2007.....	4.6	1.1	1.1	1.8	4.7	1.9
2008.....	5.8	.8	-.4	-.3	3.6	.6
2009.....	9.3	-.1	-3.7	-2.8	2.9	4.4
2010.....	9.6	-.2	-.6	2.5	2.8	.9
2011.....	8.9	-.2	.6	1.6	2.4	-.7
2012.....	8.1	.9	1.8	2.2	1.5	.3
2013.....	7.4	.3	1.0	1.7	1.9	.1
2014.....	6.2	.3	1.6	2.6	2.3	.4
2015.....	5.3	.8	1.7	2.9	2.0	2.7
2016.....	4.9	1.3	1.7	1.5	1.8	1.0
2017 ¹	4.4	.7	1.2	2.2	2.3	-.3

Assumptions and Methods

Table V.B2.—Additional Economic Factors (Cont.)

Calendar year	Average annual unemployment rate ^a	Annual percentage change ^b in—			Average annual interest rate	
		Labor force ^c	Total employment ^d	Real GDP ^e	Nominal ^f	Real ^g
Intermediate:						
2018	4.4	1.1	1.1	2.7	2.7	0.1
2019	4.9	1.3	.9	2.6	3.4	.2
2020	5.3	1.3	.8	2.6	3.9	.8
2021	5.5	1.0	.7	2.5	4.3	1.3
2022	5.5	.7	.7	2.4	4.6	1.7
2023	5.5	.7	.7	2.4	4.9	2.0
2024	5.5	.7	.7	2.4	5.1	2.3
2025	5.5	.6	.6	2.3	5.2	2.5
2026	5.5	.6	.6	2.2	5.3	2.6
2027	5.5	.6	.6	2.2	5.3	2.7
2030	5.5	.5	.5	2.1	5.3	2.7
2035	5.5	.4	.4	2.1	5.3	2.7
2040	5.5	.5	.5	2.1	5.3	2.7
2045	5.5	.5	.5	2.2	5.3	2.7
2050	5.5	.5	.5	2.1	5.3	2.7
2055	5.5	.5	.5	2.1	5.3	2.7
2060	5.5	.4	.4	2.1	5.3	2.7
2065	5.5	.4	.4	2.0	5.3	2.7
2070	5.5	.4	.4	2.1	5.3	2.7
2075	5.5	.5	.5	2.1	5.3	2.7
2080	5.5	.5	.5	2.1	5.3	2.7
2085	5.5	.5	.5	2.1	5.3	2.7
2090	5.5	.4	.4	2.1	5.3	2.7
2095	5.5	.4	.4	2.0	5.3	2.7
Low-cost:						
2018	4.1	1.1	1.5	3.9	3.5	-.4
2019	4.2	1.7	1.5	4.3	4.8	.3
2020	4.5	1.5	1.3	3.8	5.1	1.6
2021	4.6	1.0	.9	3.2	5.4	1.9
2022	4.6	.9	.9	3.0	5.6	2.2
2023	4.6	.8	.8	2.9	5.8	2.4
2024	4.6	.9	.9	2.9	6.1	2.6
2025	4.6	.8	.8	2.8	6.2	2.9
2026	4.6	.7	.7	2.7	6.4	3.0
2027	4.6	.7	.7	2.7	6.4	3.2
2030	4.5	.6	.6	2.6	6.4	3.2
2035	4.5	.6	.6	2.6	6.4	3.2
2040	4.5	.6	.6	2.7	6.4	3.2
2045	4.5	.8	.8	2.8	6.4	3.2
2050	4.5	.8	.8	2.8	6.4	3.2
2055	4.5	.7	.7	2.7	6.4	3.2
2060	4.5	.7	.7	2.7	6.4	3.2
2065	4.5	.7	.7	2.7	6.4	3.2
2070	4.5	.7	.7	2.7	6.4	3.2
2075	4.5	.8	.8	2.8	6.4	3.2
2080	4.5	.8	.8	2.8	6.4	3.2
2085	4.5	.8	.8	2.8	6.4	3.2
2090	4.5	.7	.7	2.7	6.4	3.2
2095	4.5	.7	.7	2.7	6.4	3.2

Economic Assumptions and Methods

Table V.B2.—Additional Economic Factors (Cont.)

Calendar year	Average annual unemployment rate ^a	Annual percentage change ^b in—			Average annual interest rate	
		Labor force ^c	Total employment ^d	Real GDP ^e	Nominal ^f	Real ^g
High-cost:						
2018	4.5	1.0	0.9	2.2	2.1	0.7
2019	6.4	1.1	-.8	-.9	1.6	.7
2020	7.0	.6	^h	1.5	2.7	-.3
2021	6.9	.8	.9	2.0	3.4	.7
2022	6.8	.5	.6	2.0	3.7	1.4
2023	6.8	.5	.6	1.9	3.9	1.7
2024	6.7	.5	.6	1.9	4.0	1.9
2025	6.6	.5	.6	1.9	4.1	2.0
2026	6.5	.5	.6	1.9	4.3	2.1
2027	6.5	.5	.5	1.8	4.2	2.3
2030	6.5	.4	.4	1.6	4.2	2.2
2035	6.5	.3	.3	1.6	4.2	2.2
2040	6.5	.3	.3	1.5	4.2	2.2
2045	6.5	.3	.3	1.5	4.2	2.2
2050	6.5	.2	.2	1.5	4.2	2.2
2055	6.5	.2	.2	1.4	4.2	2.2
2060	6.5	.2	.2	1.4	4.2	2.2
2065	6.5	.1	.1	1.3	4.2	2.2
2070	6.5	.1	.1	1.3	4.2	2.2
2075	6.5	.1	.1	1.3	4.2	2.2
2080	6.5	.1	.1	1.3	4.2	2.2
2085	6.5	.1	.1	1.4	4.2	2.2
2090	6.5	.1	.1	1.3	4.2	2.2
2095	6.5	.1	.1	1.3	4.2	2.2

^a The Office of the Chief Actuary adjusts the civilian unemployment rates for 2028 and later to the age-sex distribution of the civilian labor force in 2011. For years through 2027, the values are the total rates without adjustment for the changing age-sex distribution.

^b For rows with a single year listed, the value is the annual percentage change from the prior year. For rows with a range of years listed, the value is the compounded average annual percentage change.

^c The U.S. civilian labor force.

^d Total U.S. military and civilian employment.

^e The value of the total output of goods and services in 2009 dollars.

^f The average of the nominal interest rates, compounded semiannually, for special public-debt obligations issuable to the trust funds in each of the 12 months of the year.

^g The realized or expected annual real yield for each year on securities issuable in the prior year.

^h Greater than -0.05 and less than 0.05 percent.

ⁱ Economic cycles are shown from peak to peak, except for the last cycle, which is not yet complete.

^j Historical data are not available for the full year. Estimated values vary slightly by alternative and are shown for the intermediate assumptions.

C. PROGRAM-SPECIFIC ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS

The Office of the Chief Actuary at the Social Security Administration uses a set of models to project future income and cost under the OASDI program. These models rely not only on the demographic and economic assumptions described in the previous sections, but also on a number of program-specific assumptions and methods. Values of many program parameters change from year to year as prescribed by formulas set out in the Social Security Act. These program parameters affect the level of payroll taxes collected and the level of benefits paid. The office uses more complex models to project the numbers of future workers covered under OASDI and the levels of their covered earnings, as well as the numbers of future beneficiaries and the expected levels of their benefits. The following subsections provide descriptions of these program-specific assumptions and methods.

1. Automatically Adjusted Program Parameters

The Social Security Act requires that certain parameters affecting the determination of OASDI benefits and taxes be adjusted annually to reflect changes in particular economic measures. Formulas prescribed in the law, applied to reported statistics, change these program parameters annually. The law bases these automatic adjustments on measured changes in the national average wage index (AWI) and the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers (CPI).¹ This section shows values for program parameters adjusted using these indices from the time that these adjustments became effective through 2027. Projected values for future years depend on the economic assumptions described in the preceding section of this report.

Tables V.C1 and V.C2 present the historical and projected values of the CPI-based benefit increases, the AWI series, and the values of many of the wage-indexed program parameters. Each table shows projections under the three alternative sets of economic assumptions. Table V.C1 includes:

- *The annual cost-of-living benefit increase percentages.* The automatic cost-of-living adjustment provisions in the Social Security Act specify increases in OASDI benefits based on increases in the CPI. Volatility in oil prices has resulted in substantial volatility in recent cost-of-living adjustments. A large cost-of-living adjustment for December 2008 was followed by no cost-of-living adjustments for December 2009 and December 2010. More recent volatility in oil prices again affected the

¹ The *Federal Register* publishes details of these indexation procedures annually. Also see www.ssa.gov/OACT/COLA/.

Program Assumptions and Methods

CPI, resulting in no cost-of-living adjustment for December 2015. Cost-of-living adjustments resumed in December 2016. All three sets of assumptions include annual cost-of-living adjustments for all future years.

- *The annual levels of and percentage increases in the AWI.* Under section 215(b)(3) of the Social Security Act, Social Security benefit computations index taxable earnings (for most workers first becoming eligible for benefits in 1979 or later) using the AWI for each year after 1950. This procedure converts a worker's past earnings to approximately average-wage-indexed equivalent values near the time of his or her benefit eligibility. Other program parameters presented in this section that are subject to the automatic-adjustment provisions also rely on the AWI.
- *The wage-indexed contribution and benefit base.* For any year, the contribution and benefit base is the maximum amount of earnings subject to the OASDI payroll tax and creditable toward benefit computation. The Social Security Act defers any increase in the contribution and benefit base if there is no cost-of-living adjustment effective for December of the preceding year. There was no increase in the contribution and benefit base for 2010, 2011, or 2016 because there was no cost-of-living adjustment for the immediate prior December in each case. Under all three sets of assumptions, the contribution and benefit base is projected to increase for all future years.
- *The wage-indexed retirement earnings test exempt amounts.* The exempt amounts are the annual amount of earnings below which beneficiaries do not have benefits withheld. A lower exempt amount applies for years prior to the year of attaining normal retirement age. A higher exempt amount applies beginning with the year in which a beneficiary attains normal retirement age. Starting in 2000, the retirement earnings test no longer applies beginning with the month of attaining normal retirement age. The Social Security Act defers any increase in these exempt amounts if there is no cost-of-living adjustment effective for December of the preceding year. There was no increase in these exempt amounts for 2010, 2011, or 2016 because there was no cost-of-living adjustment for the immediate prior December. Under all three sets of assumptions, the exempt amounts increase for all future years.

Assumptions and Methods

Table V.C1.—Cost-of-Living Benefit Increases, Average Wage Index, Contribution and Benefit Bases, and Retirement Earnings Test Exempt Amounts, 1975–2027

Calendar year	Cost-of-living benefit increase ^a (percent)	Average wage index (AWI) ^b		Contribution and benefit base ^c	Retirement earnings test exempt amount	
		Amount	Increase (percent)		Under NRA ^d	At NRA ^e
Historical data:						
1975	8.0	\$8,630.92	7.5	\$14,100	\$2,520	\$2,520
1976	6.4	9,226.48	6.9	15,300	2,760	2,760
1977	5.9	9,779.44	6.0	16,500	3,000	3,000
1978	6.5	10,556.03	7.9	17,700	3,240	4,000
1979	9.9	11,479.46	8.7	22,900	3,480	4,500
1980	14.3	12,513.46	9.0	25,900	3,720	5,000
1981	11.2	13,773.10	10.1	29,700	4,080	5,500
1982	7.4	14,531.34	5.5	32,400	4,440	6,000
1983	3.5	15,239.24	4.9	35,700	4,920	6,600
1984	3.5	16,135.07	5.9	37,800	5,160	6,960
1985	3.1	16,822.51	4.3	39,600	5,400	7,320
1986	1.3	17,321.82	3.0	42,000	5,760	7,800
1987	4.2	18,426.51	6.4	43,800	6,000	8,160
1988	4.0	19,334.04	4.9	45,000	6,120	8,400
1989	4.7	20,099.55	4.0	48,000	6,480	8,880
1990	5.4	21,027.98	4.6	51,300	6,840	9,360
1991	3.7	21,811.60	3.7	53,400	7,080	9,720
1992	3.0	22,935.42	5.2	55,500	7,440	10,200
1993	2.6	23,132.67	.9	57,600	7,680	10,560
1994	2.8	23,753.53	2.7	60,600	8,040	11,160
1995	2.6	24,705.66	4.0	61,200	8,160	11,280
1996	2.9	25,913.90	4.9	62,700	8,280	12,500
1997	2.1	27,426.00	5.8	65,400	8,640	13,500
1998	1.3	28,861.44	5.2	68,400	9,120	14,500
1999	^f 2.5	30,469.84	5.6	72,600	9,600	15,500
2000	3.5	32,154.82	5.5	76,200	10,080	17,000
2001	2.6	32,921.92	2.4	80,400	10,680	25,000
2002	1.4	33,252.09	1.0	84,900	11,280	30,000
2003	2.1	34,064.95	2.4	87,000	11,520	30,720
2004	2.7	35,648.55	4.6	87,900	11,640	31,080
2005	4.1	36,952.94	3.7	90,000	12,000	31,800
2006	3.3	38,651.41	4.6	94,200	12,480	33,240
2007	2.3	40,405.48	4.5	97,500	12,960	34,440
2008	5.8	41,334.97	2.3	102,000	13,560	36,120
2009	.0	40,711.61	-1.5	106,800	14,160	37,680
2010	.0	41,673.83	2.4	106,800	14,160	37,680
2011	3.6	42,979.61	3.1	106,800	14,160	37,680
2012	1.7	44,321.67	3.1	110,100	14,640	38,880
2013	1.5	44,888.16	1.3	113,700	15,120	40,080
2014	1.7	46,481.52	3.5	117,000	15,480	41,400
2015	.0	48,098.63	3.5	118,500	15,720	41,880
2016	.3	48,642.15	1.1	118,500	15,720	41,880
Intermediate:						
2017	^g 2.0	50,020.69	2.8	^g 127,200	^g 16,920	^g 44,880
2018	2.4	51,894.47	3.7	^g 128,400	^g 17,040	^g 45,360
2019	2.7	54,076.92	4.2	132,300	17,520	46,680
2020	2.6	56,534.16	4.5	137,100	18,240	48,360
2021	2.6	59,089.48	4.5	142,800	18,960	50,400
2022	2.6	61,705.86	4.4	149,400	19,800	52,800
2023	2.6	64,405.95	4.4	156,000	20,760	55,080
2024	2.6	67,191.23	4.3	162,900	21,600	57,600
2025	2.6	70,057.16	4.3	170,100	22,560	60,120
2026	2.6	73,003.92	4.2	177,600	23,520	62,640
2027	2.6	75,908.24	4.0	185,100	24,600	65,400

Program Assumptions and Methods

Table V.C1.—Cost-of-Living Benefit Increases, Average Wage Index, Contribution and Benefit Bases, and Retirement Earnings Test Exempt Amounts, 1975-2027 (Cont.)

Calendar year	Cost-of-living benefit increase ^a (percent)	Average wage index (AWI) ^b		Contribution and benefit base ^c	Retirement earnings test exempt amount	
		Amount	Increase (percent)		Under NRA ^d	At NRA ^e
Low-cost:						
2017	2.0	\$50,031.80	2.9	\$127,200	\$16,920	\$44,880
2018	3.0	52,409.41	4.8	128,400	17,040	45,360
2019	3.5	55,687.90	6.3	132,300	17,520	46,680
2020	3.2	59,194.02	6.3	138,600	18,360	48,840
2021	3.2	62,741.89	6.0	147,000	19,560	51,960
2022	3.2	66,191.66	5.5	156,300	20,760	55,200
2023	3.2	69,734.56	5.4	165,900	21,960	58,560
2024	3.2	73,442.74	5.3	174,900	23,160	61,800
2025	3.2	77,392.43	5.4	184,200	24,480	65,040
2026	3.2	81,576.71	5.4	194,100	25,800	68,520
2027	3.2	85,836.60	5.2	204,600	27,120	72,240
High-cost:						
2017	2.0	50,015.84	2.8	\$127,200	\$16,920	\$44,880
2018	1.6	51,505.66	3.0	128,400	17,040	45,360
2019	1.7	51,740.36	.5	132,300	17,520	46,680
2020	2.0	53,243.84	2.9	136,200	18,000	48,000
2021	2.0	54,994.26	3.3	136,800	18,120	48,240
2022	2.0	56,917.34	3.5	140,700	18,720	49,680
2023	2.0	58,885.61	3.5	145,200	19,320	51,360
2024	2.0	60,812.41	3.3	150,300	19,920	53,160
2025	2.0	62,796.24	3.3	155,700	20,640	54,960
2026	2.0	64,830.08	3.2	160,800	21,360	56,760
2027	2.0	66,699.05	2.9	165,900	21,960	58,560

^a Effective with benefits payable for June in each year 1975-82, and for December in each year after 1982.
^b See table VI.G6 for projected dollar amounts of the AWI for years beyond the last year of this table.
^c Public Law 95-216 specified amounts for 1978-81. Public Law 101-239 changed the indexing procedure and caused slightly higher bases after 1989.
^d Normal retirement age. See table V.C3 for specific values.
^e In 1955-82, the retirement earnings test did not apply at ages 72 and over. In 1983-99, the test did not apply at ages 70 and over. Beginning in 2000, the test does not apply beginning with the month of normal retirement age attainment. In the year of normal retirement age attainment, the higher exempt amount applies to earnings prior to the month of normal retirement age attainment. Public Law 95-216 specified amounts for 1978-82. Public Law 104-121 specified amounts for 1996-2002.
^f Originally determined as 2.4 percent. Pursuant to Public Law 106-554, effectively 2.5 percent.
^g Actual amount, as determined under automatic-adjustment provisions.


Table V.C2 shows values for other wage-indexed parameters. The table provides historical values from 1978, when indexing of the amount of earnings required for a quarter of coverage first began, through 2018, and also shows projected values through 2027. These other wage-indexed program parameters are:

- *The bend points in the formula for computing the primary insurance amount (PIA) for workers who reach age 62, become disabled, or die in a given year.* As figure V.C1 illustrates, these two bend points define three ranges in a worker’s average indexed monthly earnings (AIME). The formula for the worker’s PIA multiplies a 90, 32, or 15 percent fac-

Assumptions and Methods


tor by the portion of the worker's AIME that falls within the three respective ranges, and then adds the resulting products together.

Figure V.C1.—Primary-Insurance-Amount Formula for Those Newly Eligible in 2018



- *The bend points in the formula for computing the maximum total amount of monthly benefits payable based on the earnings record of a retired or deceased worker (maximum family benefit). As figure V.C2 illustrates, these three bend points define four ranges in a worker's PIA. The formula for the maximum family benefit multiplies a 150, 272, 134, or 175 percent factor by the portion of the worker's PIA that falls within the four respective ranges, and then adds the resulting products together.*

Figure V.C2.—OASI Maximum-Family-Benefit Formula for Those Newly Eligible in 2018



- *The amount of earnings required in a year to earn a quarter of coverage (QC).* The number and timing of QCs earned determines an individual’s insured status—the basic requirement for benefit eligibility under OASDI.
- *The old-law contribution and benefit base—the contribution and benefit base that would have been in effect without enactment of the 1977 amendments.* This old-law base is used in determining special-minimum benefits for certain workers who have many years of low earnings in covered employment. Since 1986, the calculation of OASDI benefits for certain workers who are eligible to receive pensions based on non-covered employment uses the old-law base. In addition, the Railroad Retirement program and the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 use the old-law base for certain purposes.

Assumptions and Methods

Table V.C2.—Values for Selected Wage-Indexed Program Parameters, Calendar Years 1978-2027

Calendar year	AIME bend points in PIA formula ^a		PIA bend points in OASI maximum-family-benefit formula ^b			Earnings required for a quarter of coverage	Old-law contribution and benefit base ^c
	First	Second	First	Second	Third		
Historical data:							
1978	d	d	d	d	d	^e \$250	^e \$17,700
1979	^e \$180	^e \$1,085	^e \$230	^e \$332	^e \$433	260	18,900
1980	194	1,171	248	358	467	290	20,400
1981	211	1,274	270	390	508	310	22,200
1982	230	1,388	294	425	554	340	24,300
1983	254	1,528	324	468	610	370	26,700
1984	267	1,612	342	493	643	390	28,200
1985	280	1,691	358	517	675	410	29,700
1986	297	1,790	379	548	714	440	31,500
1987	310	1,866	396	571	745	460	32,700
1988	319	1,922	407	588	767	470	33,600
1989	339	2,044	433	626	816	500	35,700
1990	356	2,145	455	656	856	520	38,100
1991	370	2,230	473	682	890	540	39,600
1992	387	2,333	495	714	931	570	41,400
1993	401	2,420	513	740	966	590	42,900
1994	422	2,545	539	779	1,016	620	45,000
1995	426	2,567	544	785	1,024	630	45,300
1996	437	2,635	559	806	1,052	640	46,500
1997	455	2,741	581	839	1,094	670	48,600
1998	477	2,875	609	880	1,147	700	50,700
1999	505	3,043	645	931	1,214	740	53,700
2000	531	3,202	679	980	1,278	780	56,700
2001	561	3,381	717	1,034	1,349	830	59,700
2002	592	3,567	756	1,092	1,424	870	63,000
2003	606	3,653	774	1,118	1,458	890	64,500
2004	612	3,689	782	1,129	1,472	900	65,100
2005	627	3,779	801	1,156	1,508	920	66,900
2006	656	3,955	838	1,210	1,578	970	69,900
2007	680	4,100	869	1,255	1,636	1,000	72,600
2008	711	4,288	909	1,312	1,711	1,050	75,900
2009	744	4,483	950	1,372	1,789	1,090	79,200
2010	761	4,586	972	1,403	1,830	1,120	79,200
2011	749	4,517	957	1,382	1,803	1,120	79,200
2012	767	4,624	980	1,415	1,845	1,130	81,900
2013	791	4,768	1,011	1,459	1,903	1,160	84,300
2014	816	4,917	1,042	1,505	1,962	1,200	87,000
2015	826	4,980	1,056	1,524	1,987	1,220	88,200
2016	856	5,157	1,093	1,578	2,058	1,260	88,200
2017	885	5,336	1,131	1,633	2,130	1,300	94,500
2018	895	5,397	1,144	1,651	2,154	1,320	95,400
Intermediate:							
2019	921	5,550	1,176	1,698	2,215	1,360	98,100
2020	955	5,758	1,220	1,762	2,298	1,410	101,700
2021	995	6,000	1,272	1,836	2,394	1,470	106,200
2022	1,041	6,272	1,330	1,919	2,503	1,530	111,000
2023	1,088	6,556	1,390	2,006	2,616	1,600	115,800
2024	1,136	6,846	1,451	2,095	2,732	1,670	121,200
2025	1,185	7,146	1,515	2,187	2,852	1,750	126,300
2026	1,237	7,455	1,580	2,281	2,975	1,820	131,700
2027	1,289	7,773	1,648	2,378	3,102	1,900	137,400

Program Assumptions and Methods

Table V.C2.—Values for Selected Wage-Indexed Program Parameters, Calendar Years 1978-2027 (Cont.)

Calendar year	AIME bend points in PIA formula ^a		PIA bend points in OASI maximum-family-benefit formula ^b			Earnings required for a quarter of coverage	Old-law contribution and benefit base ^c
	First	Second	First	Second	Third		
Low-cost:							
2019	\$921	\$5,551	\$1,177	\$1,699	\$2,215	\$1,360	\$98,100
2020	965	5,815	1,233	1,779	2,321	1,420	102,900
2021	1,025	6,178	1,310	1,891	2,466	1,510	109,200
2022	1,090	6,567	1,392	2,010	2,621	1,600	116,100
2023	1,155	6,961	1,476	2,130	2,778	1,700	123,000
2024	1,218	7,344	1,557	2,247	2,931	1,790	129,900
2025	1,284	7,737	1,640	2,367	3,088	1,890	136,800
2026	1,352	8,148	1,727	2,493	3,252	1,990	144,000
2027	1,424	8,586	1,820	2,627	3,427	2,100	151,800
High-cost:							
2019	921	5,549	1,176	1,698	2,215	1,360	98,100
2020	948	5,714	1,211	1,749	2,280	1,400	101,100
2021	952	5,740	1,217	1,757	2,291	1,400	101,400
2022	980	5,907	1,252	1,808	2,357	1,440	104,400
2023	1,012	6,101	1,293	1,867	2,435	1,490	108,000
2024	1,048	6,315	1,339	1,932	2,520	1,540	111,600
2025	1,084	6,533	1,385	1,999	2,607	1,600	115,500
2026	1,119	6,747	1,430	2,065	2,693	1,650	119,400
2027	1,156	6,967	1,477	2,132	2,780	1,700	123,300

^a The formula to compute a PIA is: (1) 90% of AIME below the first bend point, plus (2) 32% of AIME in excess of the first bend point but not in excess of the second, plus (3) 15% of AIME in excess of the second bend point. The bend points are determined based on the first year a beneficiary becomes eligible for benefits.

^b The formula to compute an OASI family maximum is: (1) 150% of PIA below the first bend point, plus (2) 272% of PIA in excess of the first bend point but not in excess of the second, plus (3) 134% of PIA in excess of the second bend point but not in excess of the third, plus (4) 175% of PIA in excess of the third bend point. This formula also determines family maximums for disabled workers first eligible after 1978 and entitled before July 1980.

^c Contribution and benefit base that would have been in effect without enactment of the Social Security Amendments of 1977. Public Law 101-239 changed the indexing procedure and caused slightly higher bases after 1989.

^d No provision in law for this amount in this year.

^e Amount specified by Social Security Amendments of 1977.

In addition to the economic factors that affect the determination of OASDI benefits, there are certain legislated changes that affect current and future benefit amounts. Two such changes are the scheduled increases in the normal retirement age and in the delayed retirement credits. Table V.C3 shows the scheduled changes in these parameters and the resulting effects on benefit levels expressed as a percentage of PIA.

Assumptions and Methods

Table V.C3.—Legislated Changes in Normal Retirement Age and Delayed Retirement Credits for Persons Reaching Age 62 in Each Year 1986 and Later

Year of birth	Year of attainment of age 62	Normal retirement age (NRA)	Credit for each year of delayed retirement after NRA (percent)	Benefit, as a percentage of PIA, beginning at age —				
				62	65	66	67	70
1924	1986	65	3	80	100	103	106	115
1925	1987	65	3 1/2	80	100	103 1/2	107	117 1/2
1926	1988	65	3 1/2	80	100	103 1/2	107	117 1/2
1927	1989	65	4	80	100	104	108	120
1928	1990	65	4	80	100	104	108	120
1929	1991	65	4 1/2	80	100	104 1/2	109	122 1/2
1930	1992	65	4 1/2	80	100	104 1/2	109	122 1/2
1931	1993	65	5	80	100	105	110	125
1932	1994	65	5	80	100	105	110	125
1933	1995	65	5 1/2	80	100	105 1/2	111	127 1/2
1934	1996	65	5 1/2	80	100	105 1/2	111	127 1/2
1935	1997	65	6	80	100	106	112	130
1936	1998	65	6	80	100	106	112	130
1937	1999	65	6 1/2	80	100	106 1/2	113	132 1/2
1938	2000	65, 2 mo	6 1/2	79 1/6	98 8/9	105 5/12	111 11/12	131 5/12
1939	2001	65, 4 mo	7	78 1/3	97 7/9	104 2/3	111 2/3	132 2/3
1940	2002	65, 6 mo	7	77 1/2	96 2/3	103 1/2	110 1/2	131 1/2
1941	2003	65, 8 mo	7 1/2	76 2/3	95 5/9	102 1/2	110	132 1/2
1942	2004	65, 10 mo	7 1/2	75 5/6	94 4/9	101 1/4	108 3/4	131 1/4
1943-54	2005-16	66	8	75	93 1/3	100	108	132
1955	2017	66, 2 mo	8	74 1/6	92 2/9	98 8/9	106 2/3	130 2/3
1956	2018	66, 4 mo	8	73 1/3	91 1/9	97 7/9	105 1/3	129 1/3
1957	2019	66, 6 mo	8	72 1/2	90	96 2/3	104	128
1958	2020	66, 8 mo	8	71 2/3	88 8/9	95 5/9	102 2/3	126 2/3
1959	2021	66, 10 mo	8	70 5/6	87 7/9	94 4/9	101 1/3	125 1/3
1960 & later	2022 & later	67	8	70	86 2/3	93 1/3	100	124

2. Covered Employment

Projections of the total U.S. labor force and unemployment rate (see table V.B2) are based on Bureau of Labor Statistics definitions from the Current Population Survey (CPS). These projections represent the average weekly number of employed and unemployed persons, age 16 and over, in the U.S. in a calendar year. Covered employment for a calendar year is defined as the total number of persons who have any OASDI covered earnings (that is, earnings subject to the OASDI payroll tax) at any time during that year. For those age 16 and over, projected covered employment is the sum of age-sex groups, each reflecting the growth projected for the group's total U.S. employment and average weeks worked per year.¹ For the short-range period, the age-sex-adjusted average weeks worked declines slightly as the age-sex-adjusted unemployment rate rises to its ultimate assumed value of 5.5 percent. After 2027, the average weeks worked for each age-sex group

¹ For those under age 16, projected covered employment is the sum of age-sex components, each of which is projected as a ratio to the Social Security area population.

is assumed to remain constant. The projection method also accounts for changes in non-OASDI-covered employment and the increase in coverage of Federal civilian employment as a result of the 1983 Social Security Amendments. It also reflects changes in the number and employment status of other-than-LPR immigrants residing within the Social Security coverage area, such as undocumented immigrants and foreign workers and students with temporary visas.

The covered-worker rate is the ratio of OASDI covered workers to the Social Security area population. For men age 16 and over, the projected age-adjusted covered-worker rates¹ for 2092 are 69.3, 68.9, and 68.6 percent for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost assumptions, respectively. For women age 16 and over, the projected age-adjusted covered-worker rates for 2092 are 66.4, 65.1, and 63.8 percent for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost assumptions, respectively. For men, the intermediate projected rate for 2092 is slightly lower than the 2016 age-adjusted rate of 69.7 percent primarily due to the projected increase in the portion of the Social Security area population that consists of other-than-LPR immigrants. For women, the intermediate projected rate for 2092 is higher than the 2016 age-adjusted rate of 63.6 percent because the projected increase in the age-adjusted labor force participation rate more than offsets the projected increase in the portion of the population that will be other-than-LPR immigrants.

3. Insured Population

Eligibility for worker benefits under the OASDI program requires some threshold level of work in covered employment. A worker satisfies this requirement by his or her accumulation of quarters of coverage (QCs). Prior to 1978, a worker earned one QC for each calendar quarter in which he or she earned at least \$50. In 1978, when annual earnings reporting replaced quarterly reporting, the amount required to earn a QC (up to a maximum of four per year) was set at \$250. As specified in the law, the Social Security Administration has adjusted this amount each year since then according to changes in the AWI. Its value in 2018 is \$1,320.

There are three types of insured status that a worker can acquire under the OASDI program. The number and recency of QCs earned determine each status. A worker is fully insured when his or her total number of QCs is greater than or equal to the number of years elapsed after the year of attainment of age 21 (but not less than six). Once a worker has accumulated

¹ Age-adjusted covered-worker rates are adjusted to the 2011 age distribution of the Social Security area population.

Assumptions and Methods

40 QCs, he or she remains permanently fully insured. A worker is disability insured if he or she is: (1) a fully insured worker who has accumulated 20 QCs during the 40-quarter period ending with the current quarter, (2) a fully insured worker aged 24-30 who has accumulated QCs during one-half of the quarters elapsed after the quarter of attainment of age 21 and up to and including the current quarter, or (3) a fully insured worker under age 24 who has accumulated six QCs during the 12-quarter period ending with the current quarter. A worker is currently insured when he or she has accumulated six QCs during the 13-quarter period ending with the current quarter. Periods of disability reduce the number of quarters required for insured status, but not below the minimum of six QCs.

There are many types of benefits payable to workers and their family members under the OASDI program. A worker must be fully insured to be eligible for a primary retirement benefit and for his or her spouse or children to be eligible for auxiliary retirement benefits. A deceased worker must have been either currently insured or fully insured at the time of death for his or her children (and their mother or father) to be eligible for benefits. If there are no eligible surviving children, the deceased worker must have been fully insured at the time of death for his or her surviving spouse to be eligible. A worker must be disability insured to be eligible for a primary disability benefit and for his or her spouse or children to be eligible for auxiliary disability benefits.

The Office of the Chief Actuary estimates the fully insured population, as a percentage of the Social Security area population, by single year of age and sex starting in 1969. The short-range model extrapolates the historical trend in these rates from data in the Continuous Work History Sample (CWHS). The model uses information on quarters of coverage earned due to employment covered by Social Security derived from tabulations of the CWHS. The model also uses historical administrative data on beneficiaries in force and estimated historical mortality rates. The model combines this information to estimate the proportion of individuals who were alive and fully insured as of the end of each historical year. Using projected mortality rates and covered workers, the model extrapolates these rates into the future and applies them to the historical and projected population to arrive at the fully insured population by age and sex through the end of the short-range period.

The long-range fully insured model uses 30,000 simulated work histories for each sex and birth cohort, representing everyone except the other-than-LPR immigrant population. For the other-than-LPR immigrant population, the model generates substantially lower percentages attaining fully insured status. The model constructs simulated work histories using past coverage rates,

earnings distributions, and amounts required for crediting QCs, and develops them in a manner that replicates historical individual variations in work patterns. The probability of covered employment in any year is assumed to be higher for those who have worked more consistently in the recent past. Model parameters are selected so that simulated fully insured percentages are consistent with the fully insured percentages estimated by the short-range model for the recent historical period.

The Office of the Chief Actuary estimates the disability insured population, as a percentage of the fully insured population, by age and sex starting in 1970. The office bases historical values on a tabulation of the disability insured population from the CWHS and estimates of the fully insured population. The short-range model projects these percentages by using the relationship between the historical percentages and covered-worker rates. The long-range model projects these percentages by using the same simulated work histories used to project the fully insured percentages. The long-range model makes additional adjustments to the model simulations in order to bring the disability insured percentages in the historical and short-range periods into close agreement with those estimated from the CWHS and the short-range model.

The office does not project the currently insured population because the number of beneficiaries who are entitled to benefits based solely on currently insured status has been very small and is likely to remain small in the future.

Using these insured models, the percentage of the Social Security area population aged 62 and over that is fully insured is projected to increase from its estimated level of 86.4 for December 31, 2015, to 86.8, 87.6, and 88.6 for December 31, 2095, under the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost alternatives, respectively. Over the projection period, the percentages for both males and females change significantly. The percentage for males declines, reflecting, in part, increases in the percent of the population that is classified as other-than-LPR immigrants and is thus less likely to have earnings reported and credited to them. The percentage for females increases, reflecting the past substantial growth in the employment of younger cohorts of women. Under the intermediate assumptions, for example, the percentage for males decreases from 93.4 to 86.8, and the percentage for females increases from 80.6 to 88.3.

4. Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Beneficiaries

The Office of the Chief Actuary projects the number of OASI beneficiaries for each type of benefit separately by the sex of the worker on whose earn-

Assumptions and Methods

ings the benefits are based and by the age of the beneficiary. For the long-range period, the office also projects the number of beneficiaries by marital status for several types of benefits. The office uses two separate models in making these projections. The short-range model makes projections during the first 10 years of the projection period and the long-range model makes projections thereafter.

The short-range model develops the number of retired-worker beneficiaries by applying award rates to the aged fully insured population, excluding those already receiving retired-worker, disabled-worker, aged-widow(er), or aged-spouse benefits, and by applying termination rates to the number of retired-worker beneficiaries.

The long-range model projects the number of retired-worker beneficiaries who were not previously converted from disabled-worker beneficiary status as a percentage of the exposed population.¹ For age 62, the model projects this percentage by using a linear regression based on the historical relationship between this percentage, the labor force participation rate at age 62, and the number of months from age 62 to normal retirement age. The percentage for ages 70 and over is nearly 100 because delayed retirement credits cannot be earned after age 70. The long-range model projects the percentage for each age 63 through 69 based on historical experience with an adjustment for changes in the portion of the primary insurance amount that is payable at each age of entitlement. The model adjusts these percentages for ages 62 through 69 to reflect changes in the normal retirement age.

The long-range model calculates the number of retired-worker beneficiaries previously converted from disabled-worker beneficiary status using an extension of disabled-worker death rates by age, sex, and duration.

The Office of the Chief Actuary estimates the number of aged-spouse beneficiaries, excluding those who are also receiving a retired-worker benefit, from the population projected by age and sex. Benefits of aged-spouse beneficiaries depend on the earnings records of their husbands or wives, who are referred to as “earners.” The short-range model projects insured aged-spouse beneficiaries in conjunction with the retired-worker beneficiaries. This model projects uninsured aged-spouse beneficiaries by applying award rates to the aged uninsured male or female population and by applying termination rates to the population already receiving such benefits.

¹ The exposed population is the fully insured population age 62 and over, excluding persons entitled to or converted from disabled-worker benefits and fully insured persons entitled only to widow(er) benefits.

Program Assumptions and Methods

The long-range model estimates aged-spouse beneficiaries separately for those married and divorced. The model projects the number of married aged-spouse beneficiaries, by age and sex, by applying a series of factors to the number of spouses, aged 62 and over, in the population. These factors are the probabilities that the spouse and the earner meet all of the conditions of eligibility—that is, the probabilities that: (1) the earner is 62 or over, (2) the earner is insured, (3) the earner is either receiving benefits or has suspended benefits, (4) the spouse is not receiving a benefit for the care of an entitled child, (5) the spouse is either not insured or is insured but not receiving benefits, and (6) the spouse is not eligible to receive a significant government pension based on earnings in noncovered employment. To calculate the estimated number of aged-spouse beneficiaries, the model applies a projected prevalence rate to the resulting number of spouses. Due to the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015, aged spouses are no longer eligible to receive an aged-spouse benefit if the earner suspends their benefit after April 29, 2016. Additionally, for those turning age 62 in 2016 and later, deemed filing now applies to all retired workers and spouses even after initial entitlement, regardless of age. Thus, spouses who are insured are no longer eligible to delay their retired-worker benefit while receiving an aged-spouse benefit.

The long-range model estimates the number of divorced aged-spouse beneficiaries, by age and sex, by applying the same factors to the number of divorced persons aged 62 and over in the population, with three differences. First, the model applies a factor to reflect the probability that the earner (former spouse) is still alive. If the former spouse is not alive, the person may be entitled to a divorced widow(er) benefit. Second, the model applies a factor to reflect the probability that the marriage to the former spouse lasted at least 10 years. Third, the model does not apply factor (3) in the previous paragraph because, effective January 1985, a divorced person is generally no longer required to wait for the former spouse to receive benefits.

The Office of the Chief Actuary bases the projected numbers of children under age 18, and students aged 18 and 19, who are eligible for benefits as children of retired-worker beneficiaries, on the projected number of children in the population. The short-range model develops the number of entitled children by applying award rates to the number of children in the population who have two living parents and by applying termination rates to the number of children already receiving benefits.

The long-range model projects separately the number of entitled children by sex of the earner parent. For each age under 18, the model multiplies the projected number of children with a parent aged 62 and over by the ratio of the number of retired workers aged 62 to 71 to the number of members of the

Assumptions and Methods

population aged 62 to 71. For student beneficiaries, the model multiplies the number of children aged 18 and 19 in the population by the probabilities that: (1) the parent is alive, aged 62 or over, insured, and receiving a retired-worker benefit; and (2) the child is attending high school.

The Office of the Chief Actuary projects the number of disabled children, aged 18 and over, of retired-worker beneficiaries from the adult population. The short-range model applies award rates to the population and applies termination rates to the number of disabled children already receiving benefits. The long-range model projects the number of disabled children in a manner similar to that used for student children except for a factor that reflects the probability of being disabled before age 22.

The short-range model develops the number of spouses of retired workers, who are entitled to spouse benefits because they are caring for a child who is under age 16 or disabled, by applying award rates to the number of awards to children of retired workers and by applying termination rates to the number of young spouses with a child in their care who are already receiving benefits. The long-range model projects the number of young-spouse beneficiaries with a child in their care as a proportion of the number of child beneficiaries of retired workers, including projected changes in average family size.

The Office of the Chief Actuary projects the number of aged-widow(er) beneficiaries, excluding those who are also receiving a retired-worker benefit, from the population by age and sex. The short-range model projects fully insured aged-widow(er) beneficiaries in conjunction with the retired-worker beneficiaries. The model projects the number of uninsured aged-widow(er) beneficiaries by applying award rates to the aged uninsured male or female population and by applying termination rates to the population already receiving such benefits. The long-range model projects uninsured aged-widow(er) beneficiaries by marital status. The model multiplies the number of widow(er)s in the population aged 60 and over by the probabilities that: (1) the deceased earner is fully insured at death, (2) the widow(er) is not receiving a benefit for the care of an entitled child, (3) the widow(er) is not fully insured, and (4) the widow(er) benefits are not withheld because of receipt of a significant government pension based on earnings in noncovered employment. In addition, the model applies the same factors to the number of divorced persons aged 60 and over in the population and includes additional factors representing the probability that the person's former earner spouse has died and that the marriage lasted at least 10 years. The model projects the number of insured aged-widow(er) beneficiaries who are ages 60 through 70 in a manner similar to that for uninsured aged-widow(er) ben-

Program Assumptions and Methods

eficiaries. In addition, the model assumes that some insured widow(er)s who had not applied for their retired-worker benefits will receive widow(er) benefits. The model projects insured aged-widow(er) beneficiaries over age 70 by applying termination rates to the population that started receiving such benefits prior to age 70.

The short-range model develops the number of disabled-widow(er) beneficiaries by applying award rates to the uninsured male or female population and by applying termination rates to the population already receiving a disabled-widow(er) benefit. The long-range model projects the number for each cohort by age from 50 to normal retirement age as percentages of the widowed and divorced populations, adjusted for the insured status of the deceased spouse, the prevalence of disability, and the probability that the disabled spouse is not receiving another type of benefit.

The Office of the Chief Actuary bases the projected number of children under age 18, and students aged 18 and 19, who are entitled to benefits as survivors of deceased workers, on the number of children in the population whose mothers or fathers are deceased. The short-range model develops the number of entitled children by applying award rates to the number of orphaned children and by applying termination rates to the number of children already receiving benefits.

The long-range model projects the number of child-survivor beneficiaries in a manner similar to that for student beneficiaries of retired workers, except that the model replaces the probability that the parent is aged 62 or over with the probability that the parent is deceased.

The Office of the Chief Actuary projects the number of disabled-child-survivor beneficiaries, aged 18 and over, from the adult population. The short-range model applies award rates to the population and applies termination rates to the number of disabled-child-survivor beneficiaries already receiving benefits. The long-range model projects the number of disabled-child-survivor beneficiaries in a manner similar to that for student-child-survivor beneficiaries, except for including an additional factor to reflect the probability of being disabled before age 22.

The short-range model develops the numbers of entitled mother-survivor and father-survivor beneficiaries by applying award rates to the number of awards to child-survivor beneficiaries, in cases where the children are either under age 16 or disabled, and by applying termination rates to the number of mother-survivors and father-survivors already receiving benefits. The long-range model estimates the numbers of mother-survivor and father-survivor

Assumptions and Methods

beneficiaries, assuming they are not remarried, from the number of child-survivor beneficiaries.

The Office of the Chief Actuary projects the number of parent-survivor beneficiaries based on the historical pattern of the number of such beneficiaries.

Table V.C4 shows the projected number of beneficiaries under the OASI program by type of benefit. The retired-worker beneficiary counts include those persons who receive a residual auxiliary benefit in addition to their retired-worker benefit. The office makes estimates of the number and amount of residual payments separately for spouses and widow(er)s.

Table V.C4.—OASI Beneficiaries With Benefits in Current-Payment Status at the End of Calendar Years 1945-2095
[In thousands]

Calendar year	Retired workers and auxiliaries			Survivors				Total
	Worker ^a	Spouse	Child	Widow-widower	Mother-father	Child	Parent	
Historical data:								
1945	518	159	13	94	121	377	6	1,288
1950	1,771	508	46	314	169	653	15	3,477
1955	4,474	1,192	122	701	292	1,154	25	7,961
1960	8,061	2,269	268	1,544	401	1,577	36	14,157
1965	11,101	2,614	461	2,371	472	2,074	35	19,128
1970	13,349	2,668	546	3,227	523	2,688	29	23,030
1975	16,589	2,867	643	3,888	582	2,919	21	27,509
1980	19,564	3,018	639	4,415	563	2,610	15	30,823
1985	22,435	3,069	456	4,862	372	1,918	10	33,122
1990	24,841	3,104	421	5,098	304	1,777	6	35,551
1995	26,679	3,027	441	5,213	275	1,884	4	37,522
2000	28,505	2,798	459	4,901	203	1,878	3	38,747
2005	30,461	2,524	488	4,569	178	1,903	2	40,126
2006	30,976	2,476	490	4,494	171	1,899	2	40,508
2007	31,528	2,431	494	4,436	165	1,892	2	40,947
2008	32,274	2,370	525	4,380	160	1,915	2	41,625
2009	33,514	2,343	561	4,327	160	1,921	2	42,828
2010	34,593	2,316	580	4,285	159	1,913	2	43,847
2011	35,600	2,291	594	4,239	158	1,907	2	44,791
2012	36,720	2,280	612	4,193	154	1,907	1	45,868
2013	37,893	2,285	625	4,139	150	1,899	1	46,992
2014	39,009	2,303	635	4,092	143	1,892	1	48,075
2015	40,089	2,335	648	4,050	140	1,893	1	49,155
2016	41,233	2,370	661	4,004	133	1,893	1	50,296
2017	42,447	2,375	675	3,961	128	1,904	1	51,491
Intermediate:								
2018	43,859	2,371	692	3,928	125	1,915	1	52,891
2020	47,090	2,263	736	3,866	120	1,940	1	56,015
2025	54,932	1,919	857	3,752	113	1,980	1	63,553
2030	61,907	1,946	983	3,522	119	2,010	1	70,488
2035	66,610	1,923	1,088	3,292	131	2,039	1	75,084
2040	69,007	1,818	1,146	3,105	134	2,066	1	77,277

Program Assumptions and Methods

Table V.C4.—OASI Beneficiaries With Benefits in Current-Payment Status at the End of Calendar Years 1945-2095 (Cont.)
[In thousands]

Calendar year	Retired workers and auxiliaries			Survivors				Total
	Worker ^a	Spouse	Child	Widow-widower	Mother-father	Child	Parent	
Intermediate (Cont.):								
2045	70,204	1,770	1,143	2,977	130	2,038	1	78,263
2050	71,862	1,752	1,164	2,870	126	1,989	1	79,765
2055	74,260	1,764	1,190	2,786	121	1,935	1	82,058
2060	77,441	1,774	1,218	2,710	118	1,884	1	85,146
2065	80,556	1,796	1,229	2,665	116	1,856	1	88,219
2070	83,934	1,844	1,263	2,645	115	1,850	1	91,653
2075	87,209	1,868	1,305	2,621	113	1,845	1	94,961
2080	89,260	1,881	1,316	2,582	110	1,830	1	96,979
2085	90,847	1,930	1,327	2,557	107	1,805	1	98,574
2090	93,417	2,011	1,365	2,552	104	1,785	1	101,236
2095	97,009	2,079	1,412	2,554	103	1,772	1	104,930
Low-cost:								
2018	43,834	2,370	693	3,924	125	1,917	1	52,864
2020	47,000	2,263	737	3,858	120	1,948	1	55,927
2025	54,587	1,923	865	3,734	116	2,019	1	63,245
2030	61,008	1,926	1,009	3,555	117	2,146	1	69,762
2035	65,070	1,904	1,138	3,358	129	2,265	1	73,865
2040	66,806	1,785	1,221	3,194	133	2,383	1	75,524
2045	67,421	1,716	1,235	3,081	131	2,416	1	76,000
2050	68,609	1,676	1,274	2,979	129	2,409	1	77,078
2055	70,687	1,661	1,325	2,892	128	2,400	1	79,094
2060	73,599	1,638	1,382	2,806	129	2,403	1	81,960
2065	76,450	1,619	1,418	2,747	133	2,448	1	84,815
2070	79,510	1,610	1,481	2,712	137	2,524	1	87,974
2075	82,350	1,588	1,553	2,670	140	2,594	1	90,897
2080	83,977	1,570	1,583	2,625	141	2,638	1	92,535
2085	85,519	1,604	1,613	2,617	142	2,667	1	94,162
2090	88,891	1,682	1,694	2,656	144	2,705	1	97,773
2095	93,996	1,743	1,792	2,709	147	2,758	1	103,147
High-cost:								
2018	43,882	2,371	691	3,931	125	1,913	1	52,913
2020	47,175	2,262	732	3,872	119	1,928	1	56,090
2025	55,308	1,909	844	3,768	109	1,930	1	63,869
2030	62,931	1,966	956	3,461	120	1,863	1	71,298
2035	68,369	1,954	1,038	3,192	129	1,801	1	76,483
2040	71,535	1,873	1,064	2,979	128	1,745	1	79,325
2045	73,429	1,853	1,044	2,835	120	1,674	1	80,955
2050	75,645	1,865	1,044	2,726	112	1,599	1	82,993
2055	78,436	1,900	1,045	2,646	104	1,521	1	85,653
2060	81,903	1,951	1,042	2,577	96	1,441	1	89,011
2065	85,249	2,017	1,029	2,539	90	1,375	1	92,300
2070	88,922	2,121	1,038	2,523	85	1,329	1	96,018
2075	92,590	2,198	1,051	2,505	79	1,289	1	99,713
2080	94,993	2,263	1,048	2,466	74	1,251	1	102,096
2085	96,525	2,329	1,043	2,417	70	1,210	1	103,595
2090	97,973	2,395	1,046	2,374	65	1,171	1	105,025
2095	99,625	2,441	1,053	2,337	61	1,136	1	106,654

^a Retired-worker beneficiaries include persons who also receive a residual benefit consisting of the excess of an auxiliary benefit over their retired-worker benefit.

Notes:

1. The number of beneficiaries does not include uninsured individuals who receive benefits under Section 228 of the Social Security Act. Transfers from the General Fund of the Treasury reimburse the OASI Trust Fund for the cost of most of these individuals.
2. Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

5. Disability Insurance Beneficiaries

The DI Trust Fund pays for benefits to disabled workers who: (1) satisfy the disability insured requirements, (2) are unable to engage in any substantial gainful activity due to a medically determinable physical or mental impairment severe enough to satisfy the requirements of the program, and (3) have not yet attained normal retirement age. Spouses and children of such disabled workers may also receive DI benefits provided they satisfy certain criteria, primarily age and earnings requirements.

The Office of the Chief Actuary projects the number of disabled-worker beneficiaries in current-payment status (disability prevalence) for each future year. The projections start with the number in current-payment status as of December 2017. Projections of the number of new beneficiaries awarded benefits each year (disability incidence) and the number of beneficiaries leaving the disability rolls each year then determine the number in current-payment status in later years. Beneficiaries leave the rolls due to death and recovery (disability terminations) and due to conversion from disabled-worker to retired-worker beneficiary status at normal retirement age, after which the OASI Trust Fund pays for benefits. The remainder of this section describes the concepts of disability incidence, termination, and prevalence.

a. Disability Incidence

The disability incidence rate is the ratio of the number of new beneficiaries awarded benefits each year to the number of individuals who meet insured requirements but are not yet receiving benefits (the disability-exposed population¹). The Office of the Chief Actuary projects the number of newly awarded beneficiaries for each future year by multiplying assumed age-sex-specific disability incidence rates and the projected disability-exposed population by age and sex.

Figure V.C3 illustrates the historical and estimated incidence rates under the three alternatives. Incidence rates have varied substantially during the historical period since 1970 due to a variety of demographic and economic factors, along with changes in legislation and program administration. The solid lines in figure V.C3 show the incidence rate adjusted to the age-sex distribution of the disability-exposed population for 2000. This adjustment allows a comparison of incidence rates over time by focusing on the likelihood of becom-

¹ The disability-exposed population excludes those receiving benefits, while the disability insured population includes them. Section V.C.3 of this report describes the projection of the disability insured population.

ing disabled, and by excluding the effects of a changing distribution of the population toward ages where disability is more or less likely.

The dashed lines in figure V.C3 represent the gross (unadjusted) incidence rates. The changing age-sex distribution of the exposed population over time influences these unadjusted rates. The gross incidence rate fell substantially below the age-sex-adjusted rate between 1975 and 1995 as the baby-boom generation swelled the size of the younger working-age population, where disability incidence is lower than in older populations. After 1995, the gross rate generally increased relative to the age-sex-adjusted rate as the baby-boom generation moved into an age range where disability incidence peaks. After 2023, the projected gross incidence rate generally declines relative to the age-sex-adjusted rate as the baby-boom generation moves above the normal retirement age and the lower-birth-rate cohorts of the 1970s enter prime disability ages (50 to normal retirement age). As these smaller cohorts age beyond normal retirement age, by about 2050, the gross incidence rate returns to a higher relative level under the intermediate assumptions. Thereafter, the gross rate remains higher than the age-sex-adjusted rate, and reflects the persistently higher average age of the working-age population, which is largely due to lower birth rates since 1965, and to the increase in the normal retirement age.

For the first 10 years of the projection period (through 2027), incidence rates reflect several factors including: (1) aspects of program administration, such as efforts to reduce the disability determination backlogs and recent changes in procedures for adjudicating claims; (2) assumed future unemployment rates; and (3) recent trends in incidence. At the beginning of the recent period of high unemployment, disability incidence rates were well above the general trend level, with rates reaching a peak in 2010. Over the last few years, incidence rates have subsided as the economy has recovered, and have persisted at levels well below those expected over the long-term. Some of the elevation of disability incidence rates experienced during the recession and the lowering of incidence rates experienced during the recovery are likely due to many individuals applying for disability benefits earlier than they would have otherwise. For 2017, the actual incidence rate (4.3 per thousand) was below the level projected in last year's report (4.7 per thousand). In this year's report, incidence rates are assumed to rise more gradually early in the short-range period than in last year's report, but are slightly higher late in the period. Incidence rates are assumed to be somewhat elevated during the period 2018 through 2022, when the Social Security Administration is expected to eliminate a backlog of individuals who have appealed for a hearing on a prior disability claim denial. In 2027, at the end of the short-range

Assumptions and Methods


period, age-sex-specific incidence rates reach the ultimate rates assumed for the long-range projections. These ultimate age-sex-specific disability incidence rates were selected based on careful analysis of historical levels and patterns and expected future conditions, including the impact of scheduled increases in the normal retirement age.¹ The ultimate incidence rates represent the expected average rates of incidence for the future.

For the intermediate alternative, the Trustees assume that the ultimate age-sex-adjusted incidence rate (adjusted to the disability-exposed population for the year 2000) will be 5.4 awards per thousand exposed, which is the same as in last year's report. Figure V.C3 illustrates that the estimated ultimate age-sex-adjusted incidence rate of 5.4 is slightly higher than the average rate for the historical period 1970 through 2017, reflecting the increase in female incidence rates over this period. However, a similar comparison using gross incidence rates gives a very different result. The estimated ultimate gross incidence rate is substantially greater than the average gross rate over the historical period due to the large changes in the age-sex distribution of the disability-exposed population between 1970 and 2010.

The Trustees assume that the ultimate age-sex-adjusted incidence rates for the low-cost and high-cost alternatives will be 4.3 and 6.4 awards per thousand exposed, or about 17 percent lower and 23 percent higher than the average for the historical period, respectively. These ultimate age-sex-adjusted incidence rates are similar to those in last year's report.

¹ Projected incidence rates are adjusted upward to account for additional workers who are expected to file for disability benefits (rather than retirement benefits) in response to reductions in retirement benefits as the normal retirement age rises.

Figure V.C3.—DI Disability Incidence Rates, 1970-2095
 [Awards per thousand disability-exposed]



b. Disability Termination

Beneficiaries stop receiving disability benefits when they die, recover from their medically-determinable disabling condition, or return to work. Disabled-worker beneficiaries who return to substantial work for an extended period are deemed to have recovered, and their benefits are then terminated. The termination rate is the ratio of the number of terminations for these reasons to the average number of disabled-worker beneficiaries during the year.

The Office of the Chief Actuary projects termination rates by age, sex, and reason for termination. In addition, the office projects termination rates by duration of entitlement to disabled-worker benefits in the long-range period (post-2027).

In the short-range period (through 2027), the projected age-sex-adjusted death rate (adjusted to the 2000 disabled-worker population) under the intermediate assumptions gradually declines from 25.4 deaths per thousand beneficiaries for 2017 to about 23.7 per thousand for 2027. The projected age-sex-adjusted recovery rate (medical improvement and return to work) under the intermediate assumptions decreases from the relatively high level of 18.2 per thousand beneficiaries for 2017 to 11.0 per thousand beneficiaries


Assumptions and Methods

for 2027. The recovery rate has been high due to an ongoing administrative effort to work down a backlog of continuing disability reviews. The rate is expected to decrease as the backlog is reduced. Under the low-cost and high-cost assumptions, total age-sex-adjusted termination rates due to death and recovery are roughly 10-15 percent higher or lower, respectively, than under the intermediate assumptions.

For the long-range period (post-2027), the Office of the Chief Actuary projects death and recovery rates by age, sex, and duration of entitlement relative to the average level of rates experienced over the base period 2006 through 2010. The assumed ultimate age-sex-adjusted recovery rate for disabled workers is about 10.3 per thousand beneficiaries. The assumed ultimate age-sex-adjusted recovery rates for the low-cost and high-cost alternatives are about 12.5 and 8.2 recoveries per thousand beneficiaries, respectively. Recovery rates by age, sex, and duration of entitlement reach ultimate levels in the twentieth year of the projection period (2037) for all three sets of assumptions. In contrast, death rates by age and sex change throughout the long-range period at the same rate as death rates in the general population. From the age-sex-adjusted death rate of 25.4 per thousand beneficiaries in 2017, this rate decreases to 18.1, 11.3, and 6.6 per thousand disabled-worker beneficiaries for 2095 under the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost assumptions, respectively.

Figure V.C4 illustrates gross and age-sex-adjusted total termination rates (including both recoveries and deaths) for disabled-worker beneficiaries for the historical period since 1970, and for the projection period through 2095. In the near term, through 2018, recovery terminations are projected to remain at relatively high levels, consistent with the assumption that the Social Security Administration will receive sufficient budget appropriations to reduce the pending backlog of continuing disability reviews. As with incidence rates, the age-sex-adjusted termination rate illustrates the real change in the tendency to terminate benefits. Changes in the age-sex distribution of the beneficiary population influence the gross termination rate. A shift in the disabled-worker beneficiary population to older ages, as occurred over the past 20 years when the baby-boom generation moved into pre-retirement ages, increases gross death termination rates relative to the age-sex-adjusted rates.

Figure V.C4.—DI Disability Termination Rates, 1970-2095
 [Terminations per thousand disabled-worker beneficiaries]



c. Comparison of Incidence, Termination, and Conversion

Incidence and termination rates are the foundation for projecting the number of disabled-worker beneficiaries in current-payment status. At normal retirement age, disabled-worker beneficiaries convert to retired-worker status and leave the DI rolls.


Figure V.C5 compares the historical and projected (intermediate) levels of incidence, termination, and conversion on both a gross basis and an age-sex-adjusted basis. Incidence rates have varied widely, and the Trustees expect the age-sex adjusted rates under the intermediate assumptions to remain near the middle of the high and low extremes experienced since 1970. Termination rates have declined and the Trustees expect them to continue to decline, largely because of declining death rates.

Conversions are simply a transfer of beneficiaries at normal retirement age from the DI program to the OASI program. Therefore, the disability “conversion” rate is 100 percent for disabled-worker beneficiaries reaching normal retirement age in a given year and zero at all other ages. After conversion, recovery from the disabling condition is no longer relevant for benefit eligibility. The conversion ratio is the number of conversions in a given year (that

Assumptions and Methods

is, beneficiaries who reach normal retirement age) divided by the average number of disabled-worker beneficiaries at all ages in that year. The ratio is constant on an age-sex-adjusted basis, except for the two periods during which normal retirement age increases under current law. On a gross basis, however, the conversion ratio rises and falls with the changing proportion of all disabled-worker beneficiaries who attain normal retirement age in a given year. The gross conversion ratio generally increases from 2002 to 2030 due to aging of the beneficiary population.

Figure V.C5.—Comparison of DI Disability Incidence Rates, Termination Rates and Conversion Ratios Under Intermediate Assumptions, 1970-2095



d. DI Beneficiaries and Disability Prevalence Rates

The Office of the Chief Actuary makes detailed projections of disabled-worker awards, terminations, and conversions and combines these to project the number of disabled workers receiving benefits over the next 75 years. Table V.C5 presents the projected numbers of disabled workers in current-payment status. The number of disabled workers in current-payment status grows from 8.7 million at the end of 2017, to 12.7 million, 14.5 million, and 15.4 million at the end of 2095, under the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost assumptions, respectively. Of course, much of this growth results from

Program Assumptions and Methods

the growth and aging of the population described earlier in this chapter. Table V.C5 also presents projected numbers of auxiliary beneficiaries and disability prevalence rates on both a gross basis and an age-sex-adjusted basis.

**Table V.C5.—DI Beneficiaries With Benefits in Current-Payment Status
at the End of Calendar Years 1960-2095**

[Beneficiaries in thousands; prevalence rates per thousand persons insured for disability benefits]

Calendar year	Disabled- worker beneficiaries	Auxiliary beneficiaries		Total beneficiaries	Disability prevalence rates	
		Spouse	Child		Gross	Age-sex- adjusted ^a
Historical data:						
1960.....	455	77	155	687		
1965.....	988	193	558	1,739		
1970.....	1,493	283	889	2,665	20	18
1975.....	2,488	453	1,411	4,351	29	28
1980.....	2,856	462	1,359	4,677	28	31
1985.....	2,653	306	945	3,904	24	26
1990.....	3,007	266	989	4,261	25	28
1995.....	4,179	264	1,409	5,852	33	35
2000.....	5,036	165	1,466	6,667	36	36
2005.....	6,519	157	1,633	8,309	45	40
2006.....	6,807	156	1,652	8,615	46	40
2007.....	7,099	154	1,665	8,918	48	41
2008.....	7,427	155	1,692	9,273	50	41
2009.....	7,788	159	1,749	9,695	52	43
2010.....	8,204	161	1,820	10,185	55	44
2011.....	8,576	164	1,874	10,614	58	45
2012.....	8,827	163	1,900	10,890	59	46
2013.....	8,941	157	1,889	10,987	60	46
2014.....	8,955	150	1,828	10,932	59	46
2015.....	8,909	143	1,756	10,808	59	45
2016.....	8,809	136	1,667	10,612	57	43
2017.....	8,695	127	1,590	10,412	56	42
Intermediate:						
2018.....	8,668	125	1,566	10,359	56	42
2020.....	8,675	125	1,558	10,358	56	41
2025.....	9,153	142	1,599	10,894	57	42
2030.....	9,333	146	1,762	11,241	57	43
2035.....	9,665	153	2,012	11,830	58	45
2040.....	10,117	157	2,234	12,508	60	46
2045.....	10,787	171	2,347	13,305	62	47
2050.....	11,265	174	2,411	13,850	64	47
2055.....	11,706	178	2,454	14,338	65	48
2060.....	11,897	175	2,493	14,565	64	48
2065.....	12,202	181	2,561	14,945	65	49
2070.....	12,487	190	2,659	15,336	65	49
2075.....	12,616	187	2,755	15,558	65	49
2080.....	12,993	192	2,831	16,016	65	49
2085.....	13,618	202	2,892	16,713	66	50
2090.....	14,191	212	2,954	17,357	67	50
2095.....	14,502	214	3,027	17,744	67	50

Assumptions and Methods

Table V.C5.—DI Beneficiaries With Benefits in Current-Payment Status at the End of Calendar Years 1960-2095 (Cont.)

[Beneficiaries in thousands; prevalence rates per thousand persons insured for disability benefits]

Calendar year	Disabled-worker beneficiaries	Auxiliary beneficiaries		Total beneficiaries	Disability prevalence rates	
		Spouse	Child		Gross	Age-sex-adjusted ^a
Low-cost:						
2018.....	8,594	125	1,548	10,267	55	41
2020.....	8,414	123	1,499	10,036	54	40
2025.....	8,390	140	1,466	9,996	52	38
2030.....	8,140	124	1,573	9,837	49	37
2035.....	8,099	121	1,776	9,997	48	37
2040.....	8,211	117	1,956	10,284	48	37
2045.....	8,591	122	2,038	10,752	48	37
2050.....	8,890	121	2,082	11,093	48	37
2055.....	9,207	122	2,123	11,452	48	37
2060.....	9,371	118	2,182	11,672	47	37
2065.....	9,659	120	2,287	12,065	47	37
2070.....	9,972	125	2,429	12,526	47	38
2075.....	10,227	124	2,567	12,918	46	38
2080.....	10,747	129	2,678	13,554	47	38
2085.....	11,542	140	2,774	14,456	48	38
2090.....	12,259	149	2,876	15,284	49	38
2095.....	12,682	150	2,998	15,830	49	38
High-cost:						
2018.....	8,741	126	1,583	10,450	57	42
2020.....	8,935	126	1,612	10,673	58	43
2025.....	9,915	144	1,711	11,770	63	46
2030.....	10,553	172	1,932	12,658	66	50
2035.....	11,273	191	2,191	13,655	69	53
2040.....	12,085	206	2,410	14,701	73	55
2045.....	13,063	231	2,541	15,835	78	57
2050.....	13,721	239	2,619	16,578	81	59
2055.....	14,269	246	2,652	17,168	83	60
2060.....	14,456	246	2,654	17,357	84	60
2065.....	14,726	253	2,660	17,639	86	61
2070.....	14,900	266	2,683	17,849	87	61
2075.....	14,773	261	2,712	17,746	86	61
2080.....	14,815	264	2,737	17,815	86	61
2085.....	15,020	267	2,752	18,039	87	62
2090.....	15,270	273	2,762	18,305	88	62
2095.....	15,401	275	2,773	18,450	88	62

^a Adjusted to the age-sex distribution of the disability insured population for the year 2000.


Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

The disability prevalence rate is the ratio of the number of disabled-worker beneficiaries in current-payment status to the number of persons insured for disability benefits. Figure V.C6 illustrates the historical and projected disability prevalence rates on both a gross basis and on an age-sex-adjusted basis (adjusted to the age-sex distribution of the disability insured population for the year 2000).

Changes in prevalence rates are a direct result of changes in incidence rates and termination rates. Figure V.C5 depicts patterns for incidence and termi-

nation rates, which are helpful for understanding the trend in prevalence rates. Annual incidence and termination rates are not directly comparable or combinable because their denominators differ.

Figure V.C6.—DI Disability Prevalence Rates, 1970-2095
 [Rate per thousand persons insured for disability benefits]



Age-sex-adjusted prevalence rates have increased primarily because: (1) termination rates, in particular death termination rates, have declined, (2) incidence rates at younger ages have increased relative to rates at older ages (new beneficiaries at younger ages have more potential years on the disability rolls), and (3) incidence rates have increased substantially for women to parity with men. Gross prevalence rates have increased more than age-sex-adjusted prevalence rates since the baby-boom generation began to reach ages 45 through normal retirement age, a time of life when disability incidence rates are relatively high. The Office of the Chief Actuary projects both gross and age-sex adjusted prevalence rates to grow at a slower pace based on assumed stabilization in three factors: (1) the age distribution of the general population, (2) the age distribution of the disability insured population, and (3) incidence rates by age and gender. As these factors gradually stabilize, the declining death termination rate continues to have a small influence toward higher disability prevalence rates.

Assumptions and Methods

As mentioned above in the discussion of incidence and termination rates, the age-sex-adjusted prevalence rate isolates the changing trend in the underlying likelihood of receiving benefits for the insured population, without reflecting changes in the age distribution of the population. As with incidence rates, gross disability prevalence rates declined relative to the age-sex-adjusted rate when the baby-boom generation reached working age between 1970 and 1990; this trend reflects the lower disability prevalence rates associated with younger ages. Conversely, the gross rate of disability prevalence has increased relative to the age-sex-adjusted rate after 1990 due to the aging of the baby-boom generation into ages with higher disability prevalence rates.

Under the intermediate assumptions, the projected age-sex-adjusted disability prevalence rate grows from 42.2 per thousand disability insured at the end of 2017 to 49.9 per thousand at the end of 2095. As mentioned above, the Office of the Chief Actuary projects that the growth in prevalence will slow relative to the historical period.

Under the low-cost and high-cost assumptions, the age-sex-adjusted disability prevalence rate decreases to 38.3 per thousand and increases to 62.0 per thousand insured workers at the end of 2095, respectively.

Table V.C5 presents projections of the numbers of auxiliary beneficiaries paid from the DI Trust Fund. As indicated at the beginning of this subsection, auxiliary beneficiaries are qualifying spouses and children of disabled workers. A spouse must either be at least age 62 or have an eligible child beneficiary in his or her care who is either under age 16 or disabled prior to age 22. A child must be: (1) under age 18, (2) age 18 or 19 and still a student in high school, or (3) age 18 or older and disabled prior to age 22.

The projection of the number of auxiliary beneficiaries relies on the projected number of disabled-worker beneficiaries. In the short-range period (2018 through 2027), the Office of the Chief Actuary projects incidence and termination rates for each category of auxiliary beneficiary. After 2027, the office projects child beneficiaries at ages 18 and under in relation to the projected number of children in the population using the probability that either of their parents is a disabled-worker beneficiary. The office projects the remaining categories of children and spouses in a similar manner.

6. Covered and Taxable Earnings, Taxable Payroll, and Payroll Tax Contributions

Covered earnings are the sum of covered wages and covered self-employment net earnings. The Office of the Chief Actuary projects covered wages

Program Assumptions and Methods

for component sectors of the economy (i.e., private, State and local, Federal civilian, and military) based on the projected overall growth of sectoral and total wages in the U.S. economy. The projections of covered wages also reflect changes in covered employment due to a relative increase in non-covered undocumented immigrants and to the mandatory coverage of new hires in the Federal civilian sector. The office projects covered self-employment net earnings based on the growth in net proprietors' income in the U.S. economy.

Taxable earnings are the amount of covered earnings subject to the Social Security payroll tax. Taxable wages for an employee are total covered wages from all wage employment up to the contribution and benefit base. Taxable wages for an employer are the sum of all covered wages paid to each employee up to the base. Employees with multiple jobs whose total wages exceed the base are eligible for a refund of excess employee taxes withheld; employers are not eligible for a refund on this basis. For self-employed workers with no taxable wages, taxable earnings are the amount of covered self-employment net earnings up to the base. For self-employed workers with taxable wages less than the base, covered self-employment net earnings are taxable up to the difference between the base and their taxable wages. For projection purposes, the Office of the Chief Actuary computes taxable earnings based on a proportion of covered earnings that is at or below the base.

The OASDI taxable payroll (see table VI.G6) for a year is the amount of earnings which, when multiplied by the combined OASDI employee-employer payroll tax rate for that year, yields the total amount of payroll taxes due from wages paid and self-employment net earnings for the year. The Trustees use taxable payroll to determine income rates, cost rates, and actuarial balances. Taxable payroll is derived by adjusting total taxable earnings to account for categories of earnings that are taxed at rates other than the combined employee-employer rate and to take into account amounts credited as wages that were not included in normally reported wages. For 1951 and later, taxable earnings are reduced by one-half of the amount of wages paid to employees with multiple jobs that exceed the contribution and benefit base. For 1983 through 2001, deemed wage credits for military service after 1956 are added to taxable earnings. The self-employment tax rates for 1951 through 1983 were less than the combined employee-employer rates; therefore, the self-employment component of taxable payroll for those years is reduced by multiplying the ratio of the self-employment rate to the combined employee-employer rate times the taxable self-employment net earnings. Finally, for 1966 through 1979, employers were exempt from paying

Assumptions and Methods

their share of payroll tax on their employees' tips and, for 1980 through 1987, employers paid tax on only part of their employees' tips. For those years, the taxable payroll is reduced by half of the amount of tips for which the employer owed no payroll tax.

The ratio of taxable payroll to covered earnings (the taxable ratio) fell from 88.6 percent for 1984 to 82.6 percent for 2000, mostly due to much higher increases in wage levels for very high earners than for all other earners. From 2000 to 2010, the taxable ratio varied with the business cycle, rising during economic downturns and falling during recoveries. Specifically, the taxable ratio rose to 85.7 percent for 2002, declined to 82.4 percent for 2007, rose to 85.2 percent for 2009, and was 82.7 percent for 2016.

For this report, the Trustees assume a level for the taxable ratio at the end of the short-range period (2027) of 82.5 percent for the intermediate assumptions, 81.0 percent for the high-cost assumptions, and 84.0 percent for the low-cost assumptions. These are the same assumptions that the Trustees made for the end of the short-range period (2026) for the 2017 report.

The Office of the Chief Actuary projects payroll tax contributions using the patterns of tax collection required by Federal laws and regulations. The office determines payroll tax liabilities by multiplying the scheduled tax rates for each year by the amount of taxable wages and self-employment net earnings for that year. The office then splits these liabilities into amounts by collection period. For wages, Federal law requires that employers withhold OASDI and HI payroll taxes and Federal individual income taxes from employees' pay. As an employer's accumulation of such taxes (including the employer share of payroll taxes) meets certain thresholds, which the Department of the Treasury determines, the employer must deposit these taxes with the U.S. Treasury by a specific day, depending on the amount of money involved.¹ For projection purposes, the office splits the payroll tax contributions related to wages into amounts paid in the same quarter as incurred and in the following quarter. Self-employed workers must make estimated tax payments on their earnings four times during the year and make up any underestimate on their individual income tax returns. The projection splits the self-employed tax liabilities by collection quarter to reflect this pattern of receipts.

¹ Generally, the higher the amount of liability, the sooner the taxes must be paid. For smaller employers, payment is due by the middle of the month following when the liability was incurred. Medium-size employers have three banking days in which to make their deposits. Larger employers must make payment on the next business day after paying their employees.

Program Assumptions and Methods

The projected tax contributions also reflect the method used to ensure that money transferred to the trust funds is adjusted, over time, to equal the actual liability owed. Because payers generally make tax payments without identifying the separate OASDI contribution amounts, Treasury makes daily transfers of money from the General Fund to the trust funds on an initial estimated basis. The Social Security Administration periodically certifies the amounts of wages and self-employment net earnings on which tax contributions are owed for each year, at which time Treasury determines adjustments to appropriations to reconcile tax liabilities with deposits in the trust funds. This process also includes periodic transfers from the trust funds to the General Fund for contributions on wages in excess of the contribution and benefit base.

Table V.C6 shows the payroll tax contribution rates applicable under current law in each calendar year and the allocation of these rates between the OASI and DI Trust Funds.¹ It also shows the contribution and benefit base for each year through 2018.

¹ Table VI.G1 shows the payroll tax contribution rates for the Hospital Insurance (HI) program.

Assumptions and Methods

Table V.C6.—Contribution and Benefit Base and Payroll Tax Contribution Rates

Calendar years	Contribution and benefit base	Payroll tax contribution rates (percent)					
		Employees and employers, combined ^a			Self-employed ^b		
		OASDI	OASI	DI	OASDI	OASI	DI
1937-49	\$3,000	2.00	2.00	—	—	—	—
1950	3,000	3.00	3.00	—	—	—	—
1951-53	3,600	3.00	3.00	—	2.2500	2.2500	—
1954	3,600	4.00	4.00	—	3.0000	3.0000	—
1955-56	4,200	4.00	4.00	—	3.0000	3.0000	—
1957-58	4,200	4.50	4.00	0.50	3.3750	3.0000	0.3750
1959	4,800	5.00	4.50	.50	3.7500	3.3750	.3750
1960-61	4,800	6.00	5.50	.50	4.5000	4.1250	.3750
1962	4,800	6.25	5.75	.50	4.7000	4.3250	.3750
1963-65	4,800	7.25	6.75	.50	5.4000	5.0250	.3750
1966	6,600	7.70	7.00	.70	5.8000	5.2750	.5250
1967	6,600	7.80	7.10	.70	5.9000	5.3750	.5250
1968	7,800	7.60	6.65	.95	5.8000	5.0875	.7125
1969	7,800	8.40	7.45	.95	6.3000	5.5875	.7125
1970	7,800	8.40	7.30	1.10	6.3000	5.4750	.8250
1971	7,800	9.20	8.10	1.10	6.9000	6.0750	.8250
1972	9,000	9.20	8.10	1.10	6.9000	6.0750	.8250
1973	10,800	9.70	8.60	1.10	7.0000	6.2050	.7950
1974	13,200	9.90	8.75	1.15	7.0000	6.1850	.8150
1975	14,100	9.90	8.75	1.15	7.0000	6.1850	.8150
1976	15,300	9.90	8.75	1.15	7.0000	6.1850	.8150
1977	16,500	9.90	8.75	1.15	7.0000	6.1850	.8150
1978	17,700	10.10	8.55	1.55	7.1000	6.0100	1.0900
1979	22,900	10.16	8.66	1.50	7.0500	6.0100	1.0400
1980	25,900	10.16	9.04	1.12	7.0500	6.2725	.7775
1981	29,700	10.70	9.40	1.30	8.0000	7.0250	.9750
1982	32,400	10.80	9.15	1.65	8.0500	6.8125	1.2375
1983	35,700	10.80	9.55	1.25	8.0500	7.1125	.9375
1984 ^c	37,800	11.40	10.40	1.00	11.4000	10.4000	1.0000
1985 ^c	39,600	11.40	10.40	1.00	11.4000	10.4000	1.0000
1986 ^c	42,000	11.40	10.40	1.00	11.4000	10.4000	1.0000
1987 ^c	43,800	11.40	10.40	1.00	11.4000	10.4000	1.0000
1988 ^c	45,000	12.12	11.06	1.06	12.1200	11.0600	1.0600
1989 ^c	48,000	12.12	11.06	1.06	12.1200	11.0600	1.0600
1990	51,300	12.40	11.20	1.20	12.4000	11.2000	1.2000
1991	53,400	12.40	11.20	1.20	12.4000	11.2000	1.2000
1992	55,500	12.40	11.20	1.20	12.4000	11.2000	1.2000
1993	57,600	12.40	11.20	1.20	12.4000	11.2000	1.2000
1994	60,600	12.40	10.52	1.88	12.4000	10.5200	1.8800
1995	61,200	12.40	10.52	1.88	12.4000	10.5200	1.8800
1996	62,700	12.40	10.52	1.88	12.4000	10.5200	1.8800
1997	65,400	12.40	10.70	1.70	12.4000	10.7000	1.7000
1998	68,400	12.40	10.70	1.70	12.4000	10.7000	1.7000
1999	72,600	12.40	10.70	1.70	12.4000	10.7000	1.7000
2000	76,200	12.40	10.60	1.80	12.4000	10.6000	1.8000
2001	80,400	12.40	10.60	1.80	12.4000	10.6000	1.8000
2002	84,900	12.40	10.60	1.80	12.4000	10.6000	1.8000
2003	87,000	12.40	10.60	1.80	12.4000	10.6000	1.8000
2004	87,900	12.40	10.60	1.80	12.4000	10.6000	1.8000
2005	90,000	12.40	10.60	1.80	12.4000	10.6000	1.8000

Program Assumptions and Methods

Table V.C6.—Contribution and Benefit Base and Payroll Tax Contribution Rates (Cont.)

Calendar years	Contribution and benefit base	Payroll tax contribution rates (percent)					
		Employees and employers, combined ^a			Self-employed ^b		
		OASDI	OASI	DI	OASDI	OASI	DI
2006.....	\$94,200	12.40	10.60	1.80	12.4000	10.6000	1.8000
2007.....	97,500	12.40	10.60	1.80	12.4000	10.6000	1.8000
2008.....	102,000	12.40	10.60	1.80	12.4000	10.6000	1.8000
2009.....	106,800	12.40	10.60	1.80	12.4000	10.6000	1.8000
2010 ^d	106,800	12.40	10.60	1.80	12.4000	10.6000	1.8000
2011 ^d	106,800	10.40	8.89	1.51	10.4000	8.8900	1.5100
2012 ^d	110,100	10.40	8.89	1.51	10.4000	8.8900	1.5100
2013.....	113,700	12.40	10.60	1.80	12.4000	10.6000	1.8000
2014.....	117,000	12.40	10.60	1.80	12.4000	10.6000	1.8000
2015.....	118,500	12.40	10.60	1.80	12.4000	10.6000	1.8000
2016 ^e	118,500	12.40	10.03	2.37	12.4000	10.0300	2.3700
2017 ^e	127,200	12.40	10.03	2.37	12.4000	10.0300	2.3700
2018 ^e	128,400	12.40	10.03	2.37	12.4000	10.0300	2.3700
2019 and later	^f	12.40	10.60	1.80	12.4000	10.6000	1.8000

^a Except as noted below, the combined employee/employer rate is divided equally between employees and employers.

^b Beginning in 1990, self-employed persons receive a deduction, for purposes of computing their net earnings, equal to half of the combined OASDI and HI contributions that would be payable without regard to the contribution and benefit base. The OASDI contribution rate then applies to net earnings after this deduction, but subject to the OASDI base.

^c In 1984 only, employees received an immediate credit of 0.3 percent of taxable wages against their OASDI payroll tax contributions. The self-employed received similar credits of 2.7 percent, 2.3 percent, and 2.0 percent against their combined OASDI and Hospital Insurance (HI) contributions on net earnings from self-employment in 1984, 1985, and 1986-89, respectively. The General Fund of the Treasury reimbursed the trust funds for these credits.

^d Public Law 111-147 exempted most employers from paying the employer share of OASDI payroll tax on wages paid during the period March 19, 2010 through December 31, 2010 to certain qualified individuals hired after February 3, 2010. Public Law 111-312 reduced the OASDI payroll tax rate for 2011 by 2 percentage points for employees and for self-employed workers. Public Law 112-96 extended the 2011 rate reduction through 2012. These laws require that the General Fund of the Treasury reimburse the OASI and DI Trust Funds for these temporary reductions in 2010 through 2012 payroll tax revenue, in order to “replicate to the extent possible” revenue that would have been received if the combined employee/employer payroll tax rates had remained at 12.4 percent for OASDI (10.6 percent for OASI and 1.8 percent for DI).

^e Section 833 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015 reallocated payroll tax rates on a temporary basis. For earnings in calendar years 2016 through 2018, 0.57 percentage point of the 12.40 percent OASDI payroll tax rate is reallocated from OASI to DI.

^f Subject to automatic adjustment based on increases in average wages.

7. Income From Taxation of Benefits

Under current law, the OASI and DI Trust Funds are credited with income tax revenue from the taxation of up to the first 50 percent of OASI and DI benefit payments. (The HI Trust Fund receives the remainder of the income tax revenue from the taxation of up to 85 percent of OASI and DI benefit payments.) Benefits are taxed for beneficiaries with adjusted income (including half of benefits and all non-taxable interest) exceeding specified threshold amounts. The threshold amounts are \$25,000 for single filers, \$32,000 for joint filers, and \$0 for those married but filing separately.

For the short-range period, the Office of the Chief Actuary estimates the income to the trust funds from taxation of benefits by applying the following

Assumptions and Methods

two factors (projected by the Office of Tax Analysis, Department of the Treasury) to total OASI and DI scheduled benefits: (1) the percentage of scheduled benefits (limited to 50 percent) that is taxable and (2) the average marginal tax rate applicable to those benefits.

For the long-range period, the office estimates the income to the trust funds from taxation of benefits by applying projected ratios of taxation of OASI and DI benefits to total OASI and DI scheduled benefits. The income thresholds used for benefit taxation are, by law, constant in the future, while income and benefit levels continue to rise. Accordingly, projected ratios of income from taxation of benefits to the amount of benefits increase gradually. Ultimate tax ratios for OASI and DI benefits used in the projection rely on estimates from the Office of Tax Analysis in the Department of the Treasury.

8. Average Benefits

Projections of average benefits for each benefit type reflect recent historical averages, projected average primary insurance amounts (PIAs), and projected ratios of average benefits to average PIAs. Calculations of average PIAs are based on projected distributions of beneficiaries by duration from year of initial entitlement, average PIAs at initial entitlement, and increases in PIAs after initial entitlement. Projected increases in average PIAs after initial entitlement depend on automatic benefit increases, recomputations to reflect additional covered earnings, and differences in mortality by level of lifetime earnings. Calculations of future average PIAs at initial entitlement are based on projected earnings histories, which in turn reflect a combination of the actual earnings histories associated with a sample of 2015 initial entitlements and more recent actual earnings levels by age and sex for covered workers.

For retired-worker, aged-spouse, and aged-widow(er) benefits, the percentage of the PIA that is payable depends on the age at initial entitlement to benefits. Projected ratios of average benefits to average PIAs for these types of benefits are based on projections of age distributions at initial entitlement.

9. Scheduled Benefits

For each type of benefit, scheduled benefits are the product of the number of beneficiaries and the corresponding average monthly benefit. The short-range model calculates scheduled benefits on a quarterly basis. The long-range model calculates all scheduled benefits on an annual basis, using the number of beneficiaries at the beginning and end of the year. Adjustments to

these annual scheduled benefits include retroactive payments to newly awarded beneficiaries and other amounts not reflected in the regular monthly scheduled benefits.

Scheduled lump-sum death benefits are estimated as the product of: (1) the number of lump-sum death payments projected on the basis of the assumed death rates, the projected fully insured population, and the estimated percentage of the fully insured population that will qualify for lump-sum death payments; and (2) the amount of the lump-sum death payment, which is \$255 (unindexed since 1973).

10. Illustrative Scheduled Benefit Amounts

Table V.C7 shows, under the intermediate assumptions, future benefit amounts payable upon retirement at the normal retirement age and at age 65, for various hypothetical workers attaining age 65 in 2018 and subsequent years. The illustrative benefit amounts in table V.C7 are presented in CPI-indexed 2018 dollars—that is, adjusted to 2018 levels by the CPI indexing series shown in table VI.G6. As a point of comparison, table V.C7 also shows the national average wage index (AWI) for 2018 and subsequent years in CPI-indexed 2018 dollars.

The normal retirement age was 65 for individuals who reached age 62 before 2000. It increased to age 66 during the period 2000 through 2005, at a rate of 2 months per year as workers attained age 62. Under current law, the normal retirement age increases to age 67 during the period 2017 through 2022, also by 2 months per year as workers attain age 62. The illustrative benefit amounts shown in table V.C7 for retirees at age 65 are lower than the amounts shown for retirees at normal retirement age because the statute requires an actuarial reduction for monthly benefits taken before normal retirement age to reflect the expected additional years benefits will be collected. For example, those who collect benefits starting in 2027 at age 65 will receive benefits for two more years than if they instead claim benefits at the normal retirement age (age 67) unless they die between the ages of 65 and 67.

Table V.C7 shows five different pre-retirement earnings patterns. Four of these patterns assume the earnings history of workers with scaled-earnings patterns¹ and reflect very low, low, medium, and high career-average levels of pre-retirement earnings starting at age 21. The fifth pattern assumes the

¹ Actuarial Note 2018.3 has more details on scaled-earnings patterns. See www.ssa.gov/OACT/NOTES/ran3/.

Assumptions and Methods

earnings history of a steady maximum earner starting at age 22. The four scaled-earnings patterns derive from earnings experienced by insured workers during 1995-2014. These earnings levels differ by age. The career-average level of earnings for each scaled case targets a percent of the AWI.

For the scaled medium earner, the career-average earnings level is about equal to the AWI (or \$51,894 for 2018). For the scaled very low, low, and high earners, the career-average earnings level is about 25 percent, 45 percent, and 160 percent of the AWI, respectively (or \$12,974, \$23,353, and \$83,031, respectively, for 2018). The steady maximum earner has earnings at or above the contribution and benefit base for each year starting at age 22 through the year prior to retirement (or \$128,400 for 2018).

Table V.C7.—Annual Scheduled Benefit Amounts for Retired Workers With Various Pre-Retirement Earnings Patterns Based on Intermediate Assumptions, Calendar Years 2018-2095

Benefits in 2018 dollars ^a with retirement at normal retirement age							
Year attain age 65 ^b	Age at retirement	Scaled very low earnings ^c	Scaled low earnings ^d	Scaled medium earnings ^e	Scaled high earnings ^f	Steady maximum earnings ^g	National Average Wage Index in 2018 dollars ^h
2018	66:0	\$9,574	\$12,531	\$20,662	\$27,374	\$33,428	\$51,894
2020	66:2	10,232	13,385	22,078	29,256	35,775	53,755
2025	67:0	10,682	13,987	23,082	30,526	37,605	58,590
2030	67:0	11,630	15,227	25,118	33,244	40,975	62,609
2035	67:0	12,432	16,275	26,838	35,523	43,793	66,642
2040	67:0	13,230	17,319	28,559	37,810	46,580	70,777
2045	67:0	14,049	18,389	30,329	40,152	49,484	75,026
2050	67:0	14,897	19,499	32,152	42,568	52,401	79,622
2055	67:0	15,810	20,694	34,124	45,178	55,507	84,516
2060	67:0	16,781	21,967	36,219	47,950	58,852	89,692
2065	67:0	17,812	23,309	38,438	50,887	62,417	95,051
2070	67:0	18,873	24,703	40,728	53,924	66,147	100,525
2075	67:0	19,962	26,125	43,074	57,031	69,967	106,266
2080	67:0	21,101	27,617	45,533	60,287	73,969	112,249
2085	67:0	22,290	29,171	48,098	63,682	78,145	118,593
2090	67:0	23,552	30,822	50,818	67,283	82,574	125,373
2095	67:0	24,897	32,584	53,724	71,129	87,303	132,573
Benefits in 2018 dollars ^a with retirement at age 65							
2018	65:0	\$8,946	\$11,699	\$19,298	\$25,563	\$31,130	\$51,894
2020	65:0	9,434	12,349	20,354	26,974	32,886	53,755
2025	65:0	9,253	12,110	19,984	26,441	32,325	58,590
2030	65:0	10,076	13,190	21,761	28,811	35,252	62,609
2035	65:0	10,768	14,098	23,249	30,780	37,689	66,642
2040	65:0	11,463	15,008	24,746	32,766	40,089	70,777
2045	65:0	12,177	15,939	26,280	34,797	42,598	75,026
2050	65:0	12,908	16,894	27,863	36,890	45,112	79,622
2055	65:0	13,700	17,932	29,569	39,148	47,786	84,516
2060	65:0	14,544	19,033	31,385	41,555	50,664	89,692
2065	65:0	15,433	20,202	33,308	44,101	53,737	95,051
2070	65:0	16,356	21,407	35,297	46,733	56,951	100,525

**Table V.C7.—Annual Scheduled Benefit Amounts for Retired Workers
With Various Pre-Retirement Earnings Patterns
Based on Intermediate Assumptions, Calendar Years 2018-2095 (Cont.)**

2075	65:0	\$17,301	\$22,641	\$37,330	\$49,426	\$60,242	\$106,266
2080	65:0	18,289	23,934	39,460	52,245	63,690	112,249
2085	65:0	19,318	25,281	41,682	55,189	67,286	118,593
2090	65:0	20,410	26,711	44,040	58,310	71,099	125,373
2095	65:0	21,576	28,238	46,557	61,643	75,171	132,573

^a Annual amounts are the total for the 12-month period starting with the month of retirement, adjusted to be in 2018 dollars by using the CPI indexing series from table VI.G6.

^b Attains age 65 on January 1 of the year.

^c Career-average earnings at about 25 percent of the AWI.

^d Career-average earnings at about 45 percent of the AWI.

^e Career-average earnings at about 100 percent of the AWI. Such a worker would have career-average earnings at approximately the 56th percentile of all new retired-worker beneficiaries.

^f Career-average earnings at about 160 percent of the AWI.

^g Earnings for each year at or above the contribution and benefit base.

^h Average Wage Index from table VI.G6, adjusted to be in 2018 dollars by using the CPI indexing series from table VI.G6.

Note: Benefits shown at age 65 reflect adjustments for early retirement. For early retirement as early as age 62, the benefit amount is reduced 5/9 of one percent for each month before normal retirement age, up to 36 months. If the number of months exceeds 36, then the benefit is further reduced 5/12 of one percent per month. For example, if the number of reduction months is 60 (the maximum number for retirement at 62 when normal retirement age is 67), then the benefit is reduced by 30 percent. *Delayed retirement credit* is generally given for retirement after the normal retirement age. The delayed retirement credit is 2/3 of one percent per month for persons born in 1943 and later. No credit is given for delaying benefits after attaining age 70. See table V.C3 for additional details, including adjustments applying to other birth years.

11. Administrative Expenses

The projection of administrative expenses through the short-range period is based on historical experience and the projected growth in average wages. The Office of Budget of the Social Security Administration provides estimates for the first several years of the projection. For years after the short-range period, projected administrative expenses reflect increases in the number of beneficiaries in current-payment status, and increases in the average wage. However, the increases in average wage are partially offset by assumed administrative productivity gains.

12. Railroad Retirement Financial Interchange

Railroad workers are covered under a separate multi-tiered benefit plan, with a first tier of coverage similar to OASDI coverage. An annual financial interchange between the Railroad Retirement fund and the OASI and DI Trust Funds is made to resolve the difference between: (1) the amount of OASDI benefits that would be paid to railroad workers and their families if railroad employment had been covered under the OASDI program, plus administrative expenses associated with these benefits; and (2) the amount of OASDI payroll tax and income tax that would be received with allowances for interest from railroad workers.

Assumptions and Methods

Calculation of the financial interchange with the Railroad Retirement reflects trends similar to those used in estimating the cost of OASDI benefits. The annual short-range net cost for the OASI and DI Trust Funds is about \$5-\$6 billion and the long-range summarized net cost for the OASI and DI Trust Funds is 0.04 percent of taxable payroll.

VI. APPENDICES

A. HISTORY OF OASI AND DI TRUST FUND OPERATIONS

The Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance (OASI) Trust Fund was established on January 1, 1940 as a separate account in the United States Treasury. The Federal Disability Insurance (DI) Trust Fund, another separate account in the United States Treasury, was established on August 1, 1956. These funds conduct the financial operations of the OASI and DI programs. The Board of Trustees is responsible for overseeing the financial operations of these funds. The following paragraphs describe the various components of trust fund income and outgo. Following this description, tables VI.A1 and VI.A2 present the historical operations of the separate trust funds since their inception, and table VI.A3 presents the operations of the hypothetical combined trust funds¹ during the period when they have co-existed.

The primary receipts of these two funds are amounts appropriated under permanent authority on the basis of payroll tax contributions. Federal law requires that all employees who work in OASDI covered employment, and their employers, make payroll tax contributions on their wages. Employees and their employers must also make payroll tax contributions on monthly cash tips if such tips are at least \$20. Self-employed persons must make payroll tax contributions on their covered net earnings from self-employment. The Federal Government pays amounts equivalent to the combined employer and employee contributions that would be paid on deemed wage credits attributable to military service performed between 1957 and 2001, if such wage credits were covered wages. Treasury initially deposits payroll tax contributions to the trust funds each day on an estimated basis. Subsequently, Treasury makes adjustments based on the certified amount of wages and self-employment earnings in the records of the Social Security Administration.

Income also includes various reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury, such as: (1) the cost of noncontributory wage credits for military service before 1957, and periodic adjustments to previous determinations of this cost; (2) the cost in 1971 through 1982 of deemed wage credits for military service performed after 1956; (3) the cost of benefits to certain uninsured persons who attained age 72 before 1968; (4) the cost of payroll tax credits provided to employees in 1984 and self-employed persons in 1984 through 1989 by Public Law 98-21; (5) the cost in 2009 through 2017 of excluding certain self-employment earnings from SECA taxes under Public Law 110-246; and (6) payroll tax revenue forgone under the provisions of Public Laws 111-147, 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96.

¹ The OASI and DI Trust Funds are distinct legal entities which operate independently. To illustrate the actuarial status of the program as a whole, the fund operations are often combined on a hypothetical basis.

Appendices

Beginning in 1984, Federal law subjected up to 50 percent of an individual's or couple's OASDI benefits to Federal income taxation under certain circumstances. Effective for taxable years beginning after 1993, the law increased the maximum percentage from 50 percent to 85 percent. Treasury credits the proceeds from this taxation of up to 50 percent of benefits to the OASI and DI Trust Funds in advance, on an estimated basis, at the beginning of each calendar quarter, with no reimbursement to the General Fund for interest costs attributable to the advance transfers.¹ Treasury makes subsequent adjustments based on the actual amounts shown on annual income tax records. Each of the OASI and DI Trust Funds receives the income taxes paid on the benefits from that trust fund.²

Another source of income to the trust funds is interest received on investments held by the trust funds. On a daily basis, Treasury invests trust fund income not required to meet current operating expenses, primarily in interest-bearing obligations of the U.S. Government. These investments include the special public-debt obligations described in the next paragraph. The Social Security Act also authorizes the trust funds to hold obligations guaranteed as to both principal and interest by the United States. The act therefore permits the trust funds to hold certain Federally sponsored agency obligations and marketable obligations.³ The trust funds may acquire any of these obligations on original issue at the issue price or by purchase of outstanding obligations at their market price.

The Social Security Act authorizes the issuance of special public-debt obligations for purchase exclusively by the trust funds. The act provides that the interest rate for special obligations newly issued in any month is the average market yield, as of the last business day of the prior month, on all of the outstanding marketable U.S. obligations that are due or callable more than 4 years in the future. This rate is rounded to the nearest one-eighth of one percent. Beginning January 1999, in calculating the average market yield rate for this purpose, the Treasury incorporates the yield to the call date when a callable bond's market price is above par.

Although the Social Security Act does not authorize the purchase or sale of special issue securities in the open market, Treasury redeems special issue

¹ The HI Trust Fund receives the additional tax revenue resulting from the increase to 85 percent.

² A special provision applies to benefits paid to nonresident aliens. Effective for taxable years beginning after 1994, Public Law 103-465 subjects benefits to a flat-rate tax, usually 25.5 percent, before they are paid. Therefore, this tax remains in the trust funds. From 1984 to 1994, the flat-rate tax was usually 15 percent.

³ The Social Security Act requires the trust funds to acquire special-issue obligations unless the Managing Trustee determines that the purchase of marketable obligations is in the public interest. The purchase of marketable obligations has been quite limited and has not occurred since 1980.

History of Trust Fund Operations

securities prior to maturity at par value when needed to meet current operating expenses. Given this separation from market-based valuations, changes in market yield rates do not cause fluctuations in principal value. As is true for marketable Treasury securities held by the public, the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government backs all of the investments held by the trust funds.

The primary annual expenditures of the OASI and DI Trust Funds are: (1) OASDI benefit payments¹, net of any reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury for unnegotiated benefit checks; and (2) expenses incurred by the Social Security Administration and the Department of the Treasury in administering the OASDI program and the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code relating to the collection of contributions. Such administrative expenses include, among other items, expenditures for (1) payroll, (2) construction, rental, lease, or purchase of office buildings and related facilities for the Social Security Administration, and (3) information technology systems. The Social Security Act prohibits expenditures from the OASI and DI Trust Funds for any purpose not related to the payment of benefits or administrative costs for the OASDI program.

The expenditures from the trust funds also include: (1) the costs of vocational rehabilitation services furnished to disabled persons receiving cash benefits because of their disabilities, where such services contributed to their successful rehabilitation; and (2) net costs of the provisions of the Railroad Retirement Act that provide for a system of coordination and financial interchange between the Railroad Retirement program and the Social Security program. Under the financial interchange provisions, the Railroad Retirement program's Social Security Equivalent Benefit Account and the trust funds interchange amounts on an annual basis so that each trust fund is in the same position it would have been had railroad employment always been covered under Social Security.

The statements of the operations of the trust funds in this report do not include the net worth of facilities and other fixed capital assets because the value of fixed capital assets is not available in the form of a financial asset redeemable for the payment of benefits or administrative expenditures. As a result of this unavailability, the actuarial status of the trust funds does not take these assets into account.

¹ Periodically, benefit payments which were scheduled to be paid on January 3 were actually paid on December 31 of the preceding year as required by the statutory provision included in the 1977 Social Security Amendments for early delivery of benefit payments when the normal payment delivery date is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday. Such advance payments have occurred about every 7 years, first for benefits scheduled for January 3, 1982. The most recent such accelerated payment affected benefits scheduled to be paid on January 3, 2016. For comparability with the values for historical years and the projections in this report, all trust fund operations and asset reserves reflect the 12 months of benefits scheduled for payment each year without regard to the accelerated payments described above.

Appendices

Table VI.A1.— Operations of the OASI Trust Fund, Calendar Years 1937-2017
 [Dollar amounts in billions]

Calendar year	Income				Cost				Asset Reserves ^a			
	Total	Net pay- roll tax contri- butions	GF reim- burse- ments ^b	Taxa- tion of benefits	Net interest ^c	Total ^a	Benefit pay- ments ^{a,d}	Admin- istra- tive costs	RRB inter- change	Net increase during year	Amount at end of year	Trust fund ratio ^e
1937 ^f ..	\$0.8	\$0.8	—	—	g	g	g	—	—	\$0.8	\$0.8	—
1938 ^f ..	.4	.4	—	—	g	g	g	—	—	.4	1.1	7,660
1939 ^f ..	.6	.6	—	—	g	g	g	—	—	.6	1.7	8,086
1940 ..	.4	.3	—	—	g	\$0.1	g	g	—	.3	2.0	2,781
1941 ..	.8	.8	—	—	\$0.1	.1	\$0.1	g	—	.7	2.8	1,782
1942 ..	1.1	1.0	—	—	.1	.2	.1	g	—	.9	3.7	1,737
1943 ..	1.3	1.2	—	—	.1	.2	.2	g	—	1.1	4.8	1,891
1944 ..	1.4	1.3	—	—	.1	.2	.2	g	—	1.2	6.0	2,025
1945 ..	1.4	1.3	—	—	.1	.3	.3	g	—	1.1	7.1	1,975
1946 ..	1.4	1.3	—	—	.2	.4	.4	g	—	1.0	8.1	1,704
1947 ..	1.7	1.6	g	—	.2	.5	.5	g	—	1.2	9.4	1,592
1948 ..	2.0	1.7	g	—	.3	.6	.6	\$0.1	—	1.4	10.7	1,542
1949 ..	1.8	1.7	g	—	.1	.7	.7	.1	—	1.1	11.8	1,487
1950 ..	2.9	2.7	g	—	.3	1.0	1.0	.1	—	1.9	13.7	1,156
1951 ..	3.8	3.4	g	—	.4	2.0	1.9	.1	—	1.8	15.5	698
1952 ..	4.2	3.8	—	—	.4	2.3	2.2	.1	—	1.9	17.4	681
1953 ..	4.4	3.9	—	—	.4	3.1	3.0	.1	—	1.3	18.7	564
1954 ..	5.6	5.2	—	—	.4	3.7	3.7	.1	g	1.9	20.6	500
1955 ..	6.2	5.7	—	—	.5	5.1	5.0	.1	g	1.1	21.7	405
1956 ..	6.7	6.2	—	—	.5	5.8	5.7	.1	g	.9	22.5	371
1957 ..	7.4	6.8	—	—	.6	7.5	7.3	.2	g	-.1	22.4	300
1958 ..	8.1	7.6	—	—	.6	8.6	8.3	.2	\$0.1	-.5	21.9	259
1959 ..	8.6	8.1	—	—	.5	10.3	9.8	.2	.3	-1.7	20.1	212
1960 ..	11.4	10.9	—	—	.5	11.2	10.7	.2	.3	.2	20.3	180
1961 ..	11.8	11.3	—	—	.5	12.4	11.9	.2	.3	-.6	19.7	163
1962 ..	12.6	12.1	—	—	.5	14.0	13.4	.3	.4	-1.4	18.3	141
1963 ..	15.1	14.5	—	—	.5	14.9	14.2	.3	.4	.1	18.5	123
1964 ..	16.3	15.7	—	—	.6	15.6	14.9	.3	.4	.6	19.1	118
1965 ..	16.6	16.0	—	—	.6	17.5	16.7	.3	.4	-.9	18.2	109
1966 ..	21.3	20.6	\$0.1	—	.6	19.0	18.3	.3	.4	2.3	20.6	96
1967 ..	24.0	23.1	.1	—	.8	20.4	19.5	.4	.5	3.7	24.2	101
1968 ..	25.0	23.7	.4	—	.9	23.6	22.6	.5	.4	1.5	25.7	103
1969 ..	29.6	27.9	.4	—	1.2	25.2	24.2	.5	.5	4.4	30.1	102
1970 ..	32.2	30.3	.4	—	1.5	29.8	28.8	.5	.6	2.4	32.5	101
1971 ..	35.9	33.7	.5	—	1.7	34.5	33.4	.5	.6	1.3	33.8	94
1972 ..	40.1	37.8	.5	—	1.8	38.5	37.1	.7	.7	1.5	35.3	88
1973 ..	48.3	46.0	.4	—	1.9	47.2	45.7	.6	.8	1.2	36.5	75
1974 ..	54.7	52.1	.4	—	2.2	53.4	51.6	.9	.9	1.3	37.8	68
1975 ..	59.6	56.8	.4	—	2.4	60.4	58.5	.9	1.0	-.8	37.0	63
1976 ..	66.3	63.4	.6	—	2.3	67.9	65.7	1.0	1.2	-1.6	35.4	54
1977 ..	72.4	69.6	.6	—	2.2	75.3	73.1	1.0	1.2	-2.9	32.5	47
1978 ..	78.1	75.5	.6	—	2.0	83.1	80.4	1.1	1.6	-5.0	27.5	39
1979 ..	90.3	87.9	.6	—	1.8	93.1	90.6	1.1	1.4	-2.9	24.7	30
1980 ..	105.8	103.5	.5	—	1.8	107.7	105.1	1.2	1.4	-1.8	22.8	23
1981 ..	125.4	122.6	.7	—	2.1	126.7	123.8	1.3	1.6	-1.3	21.5	18
1982 ..	125.2	123.7	.7	—	.8	142.1	138.8	1.5	1.8	^h .6	22.1	15
1983 ..	150.6	138.3	5.5	—	6.7	153.0	149.2	1.5	2.3	-2.4	19.7	14
1984 ..	169.3	159.5	4.7	\$2.8	2.3	161.9	157.8	1.6	2.4	7.4	27.1	ⁱ 20
1985 ..	184.2	175.1	4.0	3.2	1.9	171.2	167.2	1.6	2.3	^h 8.7	35.8	ⁱ 24
1986 ..	197.4	189.1	1.8	3.4	3.1	181.0	176.8	1.6	2.6	^h 3.2	39.1	ⁱ 28
1987 ..	210.7	201.1	1.7	3.3	4.7	187.7	183.6	1.5	2.6	23.1	62.1	ⁱ 30
1988 ..	240.8	227.7	2.1	3.4	7.6	200.0	195.5	1.8	2.8	40.7	102.9	ⁱ 41
1989 ..	264.7	248.1	2.1	2.4	12.0	212.5	208.0	1.7	2.8	52.2	155.1	ⁱ 59
1990 ..	286.7	266.1	-.7	4.8	16.4	227.5	223.0	1.6	3.0	59.1	214.2	ⁱ 78
1991 ..	299.3	272.5	.1	5.9	20.8	245.6	240.5	1.8	3.4	53.7	267.8	87
1992 ..	311.2	281.1	-.1	5.9	24.3	259.9	254.9	1.8	3.1	51.3	319.1	103
1993 ..	323.3	290.9	g	5.3	27.0	273.1	267.8	2.0	3.4	50.2	369.3	117
1994 ..	328.3	293.3	g	5.0	29.9	284.1	279.1	1.6	3.4	44.1	413.5	130

History of Trust Fund Operations

Table VI.A1.— Operations of the OASI Trust Fund, Calendar Years 1937-2017 (Cont.)
[Dollar amounts in billions]

Calendar year	Income					Cost				Asset Reserves ^a		
	Total	Net pay- roll tax contri- butions	GF reim- burse- ments ^b	Taxa- tion of benefits	Net interest ^c	Total ^a	Benefit pay- ments ^d	Admin- istra- tive costs	RRB inter- change	Net increase during year	Amount at end of year	Trust fund ratio ^e
1995 ..	\$342.8	\$304.7	-\$0.2	\$5.5	\$32.8	\$297.8	\$291.6	\$2.1	\$4.1	\$45.0	\$458.5	139
1996 ..	363.7	321.6	g	6.5	35.7	308.2	302.9	1.8	3.6	55.5	514.0	149
1997 ..	397.2	349.9	g	7.4	39.8	322.1	316.3	2.1	3.7	75.1	589.1	160
1998 ..	424.8	371.2	g	9.1	44.5	332.3	326.8	1.9	3.7	92.5	681.6	177
1999 ..	457.0	396.4	g	10.9	49.8	339.9	334.4	1.8	3.7	117.2	798.8	201
2000 ..	490.5	421.4	g	11.6	57.5	358.3	352.7	2.1	3.5	132.2	931.0	223
2001 ..	518.1	441.5	g	11.9	64.7	377.5	372.3	2.0	3.3	140.6	1,071.5	247
2002 ..	539.7	455.2	.4	12.9	71.2	393.7	388.1	2.1	3.5	146.0	1,217.5	272
2003 ..	543.8	456.1	g	12.5	75.2	406.0	399.8	2.6	3.6	137.8	1,355.3	300
2004 ..	566.3	472.8	g	14.6	79.0	421.0	415.0	2.4	3.6	145.3	1,500.6	322
2005 ..	604.3	506.9	-.3	13.8	84.0	441.9	435.4	3.0	3.6	162.4	1,663.0	340
2006 ..	642.2	534.8	g	15.6	91.8	461.0	454.5	3.0	3.5	181.3	1,844.3	361
2007 ..	675.0	560.9	g	17.2	97.0	495.7	489.1	3.1	3.6	179.3	2,023.6	372
2008 ..	695.5	574.6	g	15.6	105.3	516.2	509.3	3.2	3.6	179.3	2,202.9	392
2009 ..	698.2	570.4	g	19.9	107.9	564.3	557.2	3.4	3.7	133.9	2,336.8	390
2010 ..	677.1	544.8	2.0	22.1	108.2	584.9	577.4	3.5	3.9	92.2	2,429.0	400
2011 ..	698.8	482.4	87.8	22.2	106.5	603.8	596.2	3.5	4.1	95.0	2,524.1	402
2012 ..	731.1	503.9	97.7	26.7	102.8	645.5	637.9	3.4	4.1	85.6	2,609.7	391
2013 ..	743.8	620.8	4.2	20.7	98.1	679.5	672.1	3.4	3.9	64.3	2,674.0	384
2014 ..	769.4	646.2	.4	28.0	94.8	714.2	706.8	3.1	4.3	55.2	2,729.2	374
2015 ..	801.6	679.5	.3	30.6	91.2	750.5	742.9	3.4	4.3	51.0	2,780.3	364
2016 ..	797.5	678.8	.1	31.6	87.0	776.4	768.6	3.5	4.3	21.1	2,801.3	358
2017 ..	825.6	706.5	g	35.9	83.2	806.7	798.7	3.7	4.3	19.0	2,820.3	347

^a Beginning in 1979, benefit payments scheduled to be paid on January 3 of a given year were paid on December 31 of the preceding year as required by the statutory provision included in the 1977 Social Security Amendments for early delivery of benefit payments when the normal payment delivery date is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday. Such advance payments have occurred about every 7 years, first for benefits scheduled for January 3, 1982. For comparability with other historical years and the projections in this report, all trust fund operations and asset reserves reflect the 12 months of benefits scheduled for payment in each year without regard to the accelerated payments described above.

^b Includes net reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury to the OASI Trust Fund for: (1) the cost of noncontributory wage credits for military service before 1957; (2) the cost in 1971-82 of deemed wage credits for military service performed after 1956; (3) the cost of benefits to certain uninsured persons who attained age 72 before 1968; (4) the cost of payroll tax credits provided to employees in 1984 and self-employed persons in 1984-89 by Public Law 98-21; (5) the cost in 2009-17 of excluding certain self-employment earnings from SECA taxes under Public Law 110-246; and (6) payroll tax revenue forgone under the provisions of Public Laws 111-147, 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96.

^c Net interest includes net profits or losses on marketable investments. Beginning in 1967, the trust fund pays administrative expenses on an estimated basis, with a final adjustment including interest made in the following fiscal year. Net interest includes the amounts of these interest adjustments. The 1970 report describes the accounting for administrative expenses for years prior to 1967. Beginning in October 1973, figures include relatively small amounts of gifts to the fund. Net interest for 1983-86 reflects payments for interest on amounts owed under the interfund borrowing provisions. During 1983-90, net interest reflects interest reimbursements paid from the trust fund to the General Fund on advance tax transfers.

^d Beginning in 1966, includes payments for vocational rehabilitation services furnished to disabled persons receiving benefits because of their disabilities. Beginning in 1983, net benefit amounts include reimbursements paid from the General Fund to the trust fund for unnegotiated benefit checks. Excluding the portion attributable to vocational rehabilitation services and unnegotiated benefit checks, amounts are the same as benefits scheduled under law at that time for all historical years.

^e The "Trust fund ratio" column represents asset reserves at the beginning of a year as a percentage of expenditures during the year. The table shows no ratio for 1937 because no reserves existed at the beginning of the year.

^f Operations prior to 1940 are for the Old-Age Reserve Account established by the original Social Security Act. The 1939 Amendments transferred the asset reserves of the Account to the OASI Trust Fund effective January 1, 1940.

^g Between -\$50 million and \$50 million.

^h Reflects interfund borrowing and subsequent repayment of loans. The OASI Trust Fund borrowed \$17.5 billion from the DI and HI Trust Funds in 1982 and repaid the loans in 1985 (\$4.4 billion) and 1986 (\$13.2 billion).

ⁱ Reserves used for the trust fund ratio calculation include January advance tax transfers.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Appendices

Table VI.A2.— Operations of the DI Trust Fund, Calendar Years 1957-2017
[Dollar amounts in billions]

Calendar year	Income				Cost				Asset Reserves ^a			
	Total	Net pay- roll tax contri- butions	GF reim- burse- ments ^b	Taxa- tion of benefits	Net interest ^c	Total ^a	Benefit pay- ments ^{a,d}	Admin- istra- tive costs	RRB inter- change	Net increase during year	Amount at end of year	Trust fund ratio ^e
1957 ..	\$0.7	\$0.7	—	—	f	\$0.1	\$0.1	f	—	\$0.6	\$0.6	—
1958 ..	1.0	1.0	—	—	f	.3	.2	f	—	.7	1.4	249
1959 ..	.9	.9	—	—	f	.5	.5	f	f	.4	1.8	284
1960 ..	1.1	1.0	—	—	\$0.1	.6	.6	f	f	.5	2.3	304
1961 ..	1.1	1.0	—	—	.1	1.0	.9	\$0.1	f	.1	2.4	239
1962 ..	1.1	1.0	—	—	.1	1.2	1.1	.1	f	-.1	2.4	206
1963 ..	1.2	1.1	—	—	.1	1.3	1.2	.1	f	-.1	2.2	183
1964 ..	1.2	1.2	—	—	.1	1.4	1.3	.1	f	-.2	2.0	159
1965 ..	1.2	1.2	—	—	.1	1.7	1.6	.1	f	-.4	1.6	121
1966 ..	2.1	2.0	f	—	.1	1.9	1.8	.1	f	.1	1.7	82
1967 ..	2.4	2.3	f	—	.1	2.1	1.9	.1	f	.3	2.0	83
1968 ..	3.5	3.3	f	—	.1	2.5	2.3	.1	f	1.0	3.0	83
1969 ..	3.8	3.6	f	—	.2	2.7	2.6	.1	f	1.1	4.1	111
1970 ..	4.8	4.5	f	—	.3	3.3	3.1	.2	f	1.5	5.6	126
1971 ..	5.0	4.6	\$0.1	—	.4	4.0	3.8	.2	f	1.0	6.6	140
1972 ..	5.6	5.1	.1	—	.4	4.8	4.5	.2	f	.8	7.5	140
1973 ..	6.4	5.9	.1	—	.5	6.0	5.8	.2	f	.5	7.9	125
1974 ..	7.4	6.8	.1	—	.5	7.2	7.0	.2	f	.2	8.1	110
1975 ..	8.0	7.4	.1	—	.5	8.8	8.5	.3	f	-.8	7.4	92
1976 ..	8.8	8.2	.1	—	.4	10.4	10.1	.3	f	-1.6	5.7	71
1977 ..	9.6	9.1	.1	—	.3	11.9	11.5	.4	f	-2.4	3.4	48
1978 ..	13.8	13.4	.1	—	.3	13.0	12.6	.3	f	.9	4.2	26
1979 ..	15.6	15.1	.1	—	.4	14.2	13.8	.4	f	1.4	5.6	30
1980 ..	13.9	13.3	.1	—	.5	15.9	15.5	.4	f	-2.0	3.6	35
1981 ..	17.1	16.7	.2	—	.2	17.7	17.2	.4	f	-.6	3.0	21
1982 ..	22.7	22.0	.2	—	.5	18.0	17.4	.6	f	§ -4	2.7	17
1983 ..	20.7	18.0	1.1	—	1.6	18.2	17.5	.6	f	2.5	5.2	15
1984 ..	17.3	15.5	.4	\$0.2	1.2	18.5	17.9	.6	f	-1.2	4.0	h35
1985 ..	19.3	17.0	1.2	.2	.9	19.5	18.8	.6	f	§2.4	6.3	h27
1986 ..	19.4	18.2	.2	.2	.8	20.5	19.9	.6	\$0.1	§1.5	7.8	h38
1987 ..	20.3	19.5	.2	f	.6	21.4	20.5	.8	.1	-1.1	6.7	h44
1988 ..	22.7	21.8	.2	.1	.6	22.5	21.7	.7	.1	.2	6.9	h38
1989 ..	24.8	23.8	.2	.1	.7	23.8	22.9	.8	.1	1.0	7.9	h38
1990 ..	28.8	28.4	-.6	.1	.9	25.6	24.8	.7	.1	3.2	11.1	h40
1991 ..	30.4	29.1	f	.2	1.1	28.6	27.7	.8	.1	1.8	12.9	39
1992 ..	31.4	30.1	f	.2	1.1	32.0	31.1	.8	.1	-.6	12.3	40
1993 ..	32.3	31.2	f	.3	.8	35.7	34.6	1.0	.1	-3.4	9.0	35
1994 ..	52.8	51.4	f	.3	1.2	38.9	37.7	1.0	.1	14.0	22.9	23
1995 ..	56.7	54.4	-.2	.3	2.2	42.1	40.9	1.1	.1	14.6	37.6	55
1996 ..	60.7	57.3	f	.4	3.0	45.4	44.2	1.2	f	15.4	52.9	83
1997 ..	60.5	56.0	f	.5	4.0	47.0	45.7	1.3	.1	13.5	66.4	113
1998 ..	64.4	59.0	f	.6	4.8	49.9	48.2	1.6	.2	14.4	80.8	133
1999 ..	69.5	63.2	f	.7	5.7	53.0	51.4	1.5	.1	16.5	97.3	152
2000 ..	77.9	71.1	-.8	.7	6.9	56.8	55.0	1.6	.2	21.1	118.5	171
2001 ..	83.9	74.9	f	.8	8.2	61.4	59.6	1.7	f	22.5	141.0	193
2002 ..	87.4	77.3	f	.9	9.2	67.9	65.7	2.0	.2	19.5	160.5	208
2003 ..	88.1	77.4	f	.9	9.7	73.1	70.9	2.0	.2	15.0	175.4	219
2004 ..	91.4	80.3	f	1.1	10.0	80.6	78.2	2.2	.2	10.8	186.2	218
2005 ..	97.4	86.1	f	1.1	10.3	88.0	85.4	2.3	.3	9.4	195.6	212
2006 ..	102.6	90.8	f	1.2	10.6	94.5	91.7	2.3	.4	8.2	203.8	207
2007 ..	109.9	95.2	f	1.4	13.2	98.8	95.9	2.5	.4	11.1	214.9	206
2008 ..	109.8	97.6	f	1.3	11.0	109.0	106.0	2.5	.4	.9	215.8	197
2009 ..	109.3	96.9	f	2.0	10.5	121.5	118.3	2.7	.4	-12.2	203.5	178

History of Trust Fund Operations

Table VI.A2.— Operations of the DI Trust Fund, Calendar Years 1957-2017 (Cont.)
[Dollar amounts in billions]

Calendar year	Income					Cost				Asset Reserves ^a		
	Total	Net pay- roll tax contri- butions	GF reim- burse- ments ^b	Taxa- tion of benefits	Net interest ^c	Total ^a	Benefit pay- ments ^{a d}	Admin- istra- tive costs	RRB inter- change	Net increase during year	Amount at end of year	Trust fund ratio ^e
2010...	\$104.0	\$92.5	\$0.4	\$1.9	\$9.3	\$127.7	\$124.2	\$3.0	\$0.5	-\$23.6	\$179.9	159
2011...	106.3	81.9	14.9	1.6	7.9	132.3	128.9	2.9	.5	-26.1	153.9	136
2012...	109.1	85.6	16.5	.6	6.4	140.3	136.9	2.9	.5	-31.2	122.7	110
2013...	111.2	105.4	.7	.4	4.7	143.4	140.1	2.8	.6	-32.2	90.4	86
2014...	114.9	109.7	.1	1.7	3.4	145.1	141.7	2.9	.4	-30.2	60.2	62
2015...	118.6	115.4	^f	1.1	2.1	146.6	143.4	2.8	.4	-28.0	32.3	41
2016...	160.0	157.4	^f	1.2	1.4	145.9	142.8	2.8	.4	14.1	46.3	22
2017...	171.0	167.1	^f	2.0	1.9	145.8	142.8	2.8	.2	25.1	71.5	32

^a Beginning in 1979, benefit payments scheduled to be paid on January 3 of a given year were paid on December 31 of the preceding year as required by the statutory provision included in the 1977 Social Security Amendments for early delivery of benefit payments when the normal payment delivery date is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday. Such advance payments have occurred about every 7 years, first for benefits scheduled for January 3, 1982. For comparability with other historical years and the projections in this report, all trust fund operations and asset reserves reflect the 12 months of benefits scheduled for payment in each year without regard to the accelerated payments described above.

^b Includes net reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury to the DI Trust Fund for: (1) the cost of noncontributory wage credits for military service before 1957; (2) the cost in 1971-82 of deemed wage credits for military service performed after 1956; (3) the cost of payroll tax credits provided to employees in 1984 and self-employed persons in 1984-89 by Public Law 98-21; (4) the cost in 2009-17 of excluding certain self-employment earnings from SECA taxes under Public Law 110-246; and (5) payroll tax revenue forgone under the provisions of Public Laws 111-147, 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96.

^c Net interest includes net profits or losses on marketable investments. Beginning in 1967, the trust fund pays administrative expenses on an estimated basis, with a final adjustment including interest made in the following fiscal year. Net interest includes the amounts of these interest adjustments. The 1970 report describes the accounting for administrative expenses for years prior to 1967. Beginning in July 1974, figures include relatively small amounts of gifts to the fund. Net interest for 1983-86 reflects payments for interest on amounts owed under the interfund borrowing provisions. During 1983-90, net interest reflects interest reimbursements paid from the trust fund to the General Fund on advance tax transfers.

^d Beginning in 1966, includes payments for vocational rehabilitation services furnished to disabled persons receiving benefits because of their disabilities. Beginning in 1983, net benefit amounts include reimbursements paid from the General Fund to the trust fund for unnegotiated benefit checks. Excluding the portion attributable to vocational rehabilitation services and unnegotiated benefit checks, amounts are the same as benefits scheduled under law at that time for all historical years.

^e The "Trust fund ratio" column represents asset reserves at the beginning of a year as a percentage of expenditures during the year. The table shows no ratio for 1957 because no reserves existed at the beginning of the year.

^f Between -\$50 million and \$50 million.

^g Reflects interfund borrowing and subsequent repayment of loans. The DI Trust Fund loaned \$5.1 billion to the OASI Trust Fund in 1982. The OASI Trust Fund repaid the loan in 1985 (\$2.5 billion) and 1986 (\$2.5 billion).

^h Reserves used for the trust fund ratio calculation include January advance tax transfers.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Appendices

**Table VI.A3.— Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds,
Calendar Years 1957-2017**
[Dollar amounts in billions]

Calendar year	Income					Cost				Asset Reserves ^d		
	Total	Net pay- roll tax contri- butions	GF reim- burse- ments ^b	Taxa- tion of benefits	Net interest ^c	Total ^a	Benefit pay- ments ^{a,d}	Admin- istra- tive costs	RRB inter- change	Net increase during year	Amount at end of year	Trust fund ratio ^e
1957 ..	\$8.1	\$7.5	—	—	\$0.6	\$7.6	\$7.4	\$0.2	^f	\$0.5	\$23.0	298
1958 ..	9.1	8.5	—	—	.6	8.9	8.6	.2	\$0.1	.2	23.2	259
1959 ..	9.5	8.9	—	—	.6	10.8	10.3	.2	.3	-1.3	22.0	215
1960 ..	12.4	11.9	—	—	.6	11.8	11.2	.2	.3	.6	22.6	186
1961 ..	12.9	12.3	—	—	.6	13.4	12.7	.3	.3	-.5	22.2	169
1962 ..	13.7	13.1	—	—	.6	15.2	14.5	.3	.4	-1.5	20.7	146
1963 ..	16.2	15.6	—	—	.6	16.2	15.4	.3	.4	^f	20.7	128
1964 ..	17.5	16.8	—	—	.6	17.0	16.2	.4	.4	.5	21.2	122
1965 ..	17.9	17.2	—	—	.7	19.2	18.3	.4	.5	-1.3	19.8	110
1966 ..	23.4	22.6	\$0.1	—	.7	20.9	20.1	.4	.5	2.5	22.3	95
1967 ..	26.4	25.4	.1	—	.9	22.5	21.4	.5	.5	3.9	26.3	99
1968 ..	28.5	27.0	.4	—	1.0	26.0	25.0	.6	.5	2.5	28.7	101
1969 ..	33.3	31.5	.5	—	1.3	27.9	26.8	.6	.5	5.5	34.2	103
1970 ..	37.0	34.7	.5	—	1.8	33.1	31.9	.6	.6	3.9	38.1	103
1971 ..	40.9	38.3	.5	—	2.0	38.5	37.2	.7	.6	2.4	40.4	99
1972 ..	45.6	42.9	.5	—	2.2	43.3	41.6	.9	.7	2.3	42.8	93
1973 ..	54.8	51.9	.5	—	2.4	53.1	51.5	.8	.8	1.6	44.4	80
1974 ..	62.1	58.9	.5	—	2.7	60.6	58.6	1.1	.9	1.5	45.9	73
1975 ..	67.6	64.3	.5	—	2.9	69.2	67.0	1.2	1.0	-1.5	44.3	66
1976 ..	75.0	71.6	.7	—	2.7	78.2	75.8	1.2	1.2	-3.2	41.1	57
1977 ..	82.0	78.7	.7	—	2.5	87.3	84.7	1.4	1.2	-5.3	35.9	47
1978 ..	91.9	88.9	.8	—	2.3	96.0	93.0	1.4	1.6	-4.1	31.7	37
1979 ..	105.9	103.0	.7	—	2.2	107.3	104.4	1.5	1.5	-1.5	30.3	30
1980 ..	119.7	116.7	.7	—	2.3	123.5	120.6	1.5	1.4	-3.8	26.5	25
1981 ..	142.4	139.4	.8	—	2.2	144.4	141.0	1.7	1.6	-1.9	24.5	18
1982 ..	147.9	145.7	.9	—	1.4	160.1	156.2	2.1	1.8	^g 2	24.8	15
1983 ..	171.3	156.3	6.7	—	8.3	171.2	166.7	2.2	2.3	.1	24.9	14
1984 ..	186.6	175.0	5.2	\$3.0	3.4	180.4	175.7	2.3	2.4	6.2	31.1	h21
1985 ..	203.5	192.1	5.2	3.4	2.7	190.6	186.1	2.2	2.4	^g 11.1	42.2	h24
1986 ..	216.8	207.4	1.9	3.7	3.9	201.5	196.7	2.2	2.7	^g 4.7	46.9	h29
1987 ..	231.0	220.6	1.9	3.2	5.3	209.1	204.1	2.4	2.6	21.9	68.8	h31
1988 ..	263.5	249.5	2.3	3.4	8.2	222.5	217.1	2.5	2.9	41.0	109.8	h41
1989 ..	289.4	271.9	2.3	2.5	12.7	236.2	230.9	2.4	2.9	53.2	163.0	h57
1990 ..	315.4	294.5	-1.3	5.0	17.2	253.1	247.8	2.3	3.0	62.3	225.3	h75
1991 ..	329.7	301.6	.1	6.1	21.9	274.2	268.2	2.6	3.5	55.5	280.7	82
1992 ..	342.6	311.3	-1	6.1	25.4	291.9	286.0	2.7	3.2	50.7	331.5	96
1993 ..	355.6	322.0	.1	5.6	27.9	308.8	302.4	3.0	3.4	46.8	378.3	107
1994 ..	381.1	344.7	^f	5.3	31.1	323.0	316.8	2.7	3.5	58.1	436.4	117
1995 ..	399.5	359.1	-4	5.8	35.0	339.8	332.6	3.1	4.1	59.7	496.1	128
1996 ..	424.5	378.9	^f	6.8	38.7	353.6	347.0	3.0	3.6	70.9	567.0	140
1997 ..	457.7	406.0	^f	7.9	43.8	369.1	362.0	3.4	3.7	88.6	655.5	154
1998 ..	489.2	430.2	^f	9.7	49.3	382.3	375.0	3.5	3.8	106.9	762.5	171
1999 ..	526.6	459.6	^f	11.6	55.5	392.9	385.8	3.3	3.8	133.7	896.1	194
2000 ..	568.4	492.5	-8	12.3	64.5	415.1	407.6	3.8	3.7	153.3	1,049.4	216
2001 ..	602.0	516.4	^f	12.7	72.9	438.9	431.9	3.7	3.3	163.1	1,212.5	239
2002 ..	627.1	532.5	.4	13.8	80.4	461.7	453.8	4.2	3.6	165.4	1,378.0	263
2003 ..	631.9	533.5	^f	13.4	84.9	479.1	470.8	4.6	3.7	152.8	1,530.8	288
2004 ..	657.7	553.0	^f	15.7	89.0	501.6	493.3	4.5	3.8	156.1	1,686.8	305
2005 ..	701.8	592.9	-3	14.9	94.3	529.9	520.7	5.3	3.9	171.8	1,858.7	318
2006 ..	744.9	625.6	^f	16.9	102.4	555.4	546.2	5.3	3.8	189.5	2,048.1	335
2007 ..	784.9	656.1	^f	18.6	110.2	594.5	584.9	5.5	4.0	190.4	2,238.5	345
2008 ..	805.3	672.1	^f	16.9	116.3	625.1	615.3	5.7	4.0	180.2	2,418.7	358
2009 ..	807.5	667.3	^f	21.9	118.3	685.8	675.5	6.2	4.1	121.7	2,540.3	353

History of Trust Fund Operations

**Table VI.A3.— Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds,
Calendar Years 1957-2017 (Cont.)**
[Dollar amounts in billions]

Calendar year	Income					Cost				Asset Reserves ^a		
	Total	Net payroll tax contributions	GF reimbursements ^b	Taxation of benefits	Net interest ^c	Total ^a	Benefit payments ^{a,d}	Administrative costs	RRB inter-change	Net increase during year	Amount at end of year	Trust fund ratio ^e
2010...	\$781.1	\$637.3	\$2.4	\$23.9	\$117.5	\$712.5	\$701.6	\$6.5	\$4.4	\$68.6	\$2,609.0	357
2011...	805.1	564.2	102.7	23.8	114.4	736.1	725.1	6.4	4.6	69.0	2,677.9	354
2012...	840.2	589.5	114.3	27.3	109.1	785.8	774.8	6.3	4.7	54.4	2,732.3	341
2013...	855.0	726.2	4.9	21.1	102.8	822.9	812.3	6.2	4.5	32.1	2,764.4	332
2014...	884.3	756.0	.5	29.6	98.2	859.2	848.5	6.1	4.7	25.0	2,789.5	322
2015...	920.2	794.9	.3	31.6	93.3	897.1	886.3	6.2	4.7	23.0	2,812.5	311
2016...	957.5	836.2	.1	32.8	88.4	922.3	911.4	6.2	4.7	35.2	2,847.7	305
2017...	996.6	873.6	f	37.9	85.1	952.5	941.5	6.5	4.5	44.1	2,891.8	299

^a Beginning in 1979, benefit payments scheduled to be paid on January 3 of a given year were paid on December 31 of the preceding year as required by the statutory provision included in the 1977 Social Security Amendments for early delivery of benefit payments when the normal payment delivery date is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday. Such advance payments have occurred about every 7 years, first for benefits scheduled for January 3, 1982. For comparability with other historical years and the projections in this report, all trust fund operations and asset reserves reflect the 12 months of benefits scheduled for payment in each year without regard to the accelerated payments described above.

^b Includes net reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury to the OASI and DI Trust Funds for: (1) the cost of noncontributory wage credits for military service before 1957; (2) the cost in 1971-82 of deemed wage credits for military service performed after 1956; (3) the cost of benefits to certain uninsured persons who attained age 72 before 1968; (4) the cost of payroll tax credits provided to employees in 1984 and self-employed persons in 1984-89 by Public Law 98-21; (5) the cost in 2009-17 of excluding certain self-employment earnings from SECA taxes under Public Law 110-246; and (6) payroll tax revenue forgone under the provisions of Public Laws 111-147, 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96.

^c Net interest includes net profits or losses on marketable investments. Beginning in 1967, the trust funds pay administrative expenses on an estimated basis, with a final adjustment including interest made in the following fiscal year. Net interest includes the amounts of these interest adjustments. The 1970 report describes the accounting for administrative expenses for years prior to 1967. Beginning in October 1973, figures include relatively small amounts of gifts to the funds. Net interest for 1983-86 reflects payments for interest on amounts owed under the interfund borrowing provisions. During 1983-90, net interest reflects interest reimbursements paid from the trust funds to the General Fund on advance tax transfers.

^d Beginning in 1966, includes payments for vocational rehabilitation services furnished to disabled persons receiving benefits because of their disabilities. Beginning in 1983, net benefit amounts include reimbursements paid from the General Fund to the trust funds for unnegotiated benefit checks. Excluding the portion attributable to vocational rehabilitation services and unnegotiated benefit checks, amounts are the same as benefits scheduled under law at that time for all historical years.

^e The "Trust fund ratio" column represents asset reserves at the beginning of a year as a percentage of expenditures during the year.

^f Between -\$50 million and \$50 million.

^g Reflects interfund borrowing and subsequent repayment of loans. The OASI Trust Fund borrowed \$12.4 billion from the HI Trust Fund in 1982 and repaid the loan in 1985 (\$1.8 billion) and 1986 (\$10.6 billion).

^h Reserves used for the trust fund ratio calculation include January advance tax transfers.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Tables VI.A4 and VI.A5 show the total asset reserves of the OASI Trust Fund and the DI Trust Fund, respectively, at the end of calendar years 2016 and 2017. The tables show the invested asset reserves by interest rate and year of maturity. Bonds issued to the trust funds in 2017 had an interest rate of 2.250 percent, compared with an interest rate of 1.875 percent for bonds issued in 2016.

Appendices

**Table VI.A4.—OASI Trust Fund Asset Reserves, End of
Calendar Years 2016 and 2017**

[In thousands]	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2017
Obligations sold only to the trust funds (special issue securities):		
Certificates of indebtedness:		
2.375 percent, 2017	50,383,106	—
2.375 percent, 2018	—	\$48,361,532
Bonds:		
1.375 percent, 2018	6,693,020	—
1.375 percent, 2019-25	46,851,140	46,851,140
1.375 percent, 2026	6,693,019	6,693,019
1.375 percent, 2027	173,240,401	173,240,401
1.750 percent, 2018	4,908,186	—
1.750 percent, 2019-25	34,357,295	34,357,295
1.750 percent, 2026-27	9,816,372	9,816,372
1.750 percent, 2028	178,148,587	178,148,587
1.875 percent, 2018	2,320,955	—
1.875 percent, 2019	2,320,955	2,320,955
1.875 percent, 2020-27	18,567,648	18,567,648
1.875 percent, 2028-30	6,962,865	6,962,865
1.875 percent, 2031	188,111,583	188,111,583
2.000 percent, 2018	3,655,629	—
2.000 percent, 2019	3,655,629	3,655,629
2.000 percent, 2020-25	21,933,768	21,933,768
2.000 percent, 2026-29	14,622,516	14,622,516
2.000 percent, 2030	185,790,628	185,790,628
2.250 percent, 2018	3,986,412	—
2.250 percent, 2019	3,986,413	5,582,927
2.250 percent, 2020	3,986,413	5,582,927
2.250 percent, 2021	3,986,413	5,582,927
2.250 percent, 2022	3,986,413	5,582,927
2.250 percent, 2023	3,986,413	5,582,927
2.250 percent, 2024	3,986,413	5,582,927
2.250 percent, 2025	3,986,413	5,582,927
2.250 percent, 2026	3,986,412	5,582,926
2.250 percent, 2027	3,986,412	5,582,926
2.250 percent, 2028	3,986,412	5,582,927
2.250 percent, 2029	182,134,999	183,731,514
2.250 percent, 2030-31	—	3,193,030
2.250 percent, 2032	—	189,708,097
2.500 percent, 2018	5,971,787	—
2.500 percent, 2019-25	41,802,509	41,802,509
2.500 percent, 2026	166,547,382	166,547,382
2.875 percent, 2018	7,264,432	—
2.875 percent, 2019-24	43,586,592	43,586,592
2.875 percent, 2025	160,575,595	160,575,595
3.250 percent, 2018	10,628,270	—
3.250 percent, 2019-23	53,141,350	53,141,350
3.250 percent, 2024	153,311,163	153,311,163
3.500 percent, 2018	86,900,994	60,311,343
4.000 percent, 2018-22	60,375,960	60,375,960
4.000 percent, 2023	142,682,893	142,682,893
4.125 percent, 2017	6,883,312	—
4.125 percent, 2018-19	21,033,892	21,033,892
4.125 percent, 2020	106,585,700	106,585,700
4.625 percent, 2017	9,167,663	—
4.625 percent, 2018	9,167,663	9,167,663

History of Trust Fund Operations

Table VI.A4.—OASI Trust Fund Asset Reserves, End of Calendar Years 2016 and 2017 (Cont.)

[In thousands]	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2017
4.625 percent, 2019	96,068,657	96,068,657
5.000 percent, 2017	12,454,232	—
5.000 percent, 2018-21	49,816,928	49,816,928
5.000 percent, 2022	130,607,701	130,607,701
5.125 percent, 2017	11,567,866	—
5.125 percent, 2018-19	23,135,732	23,135,732
5.125 percent, 2020	11,567,769	11,567,769
5.125 percent, 2021	118,153,469	118,153,469
5.250 percent, 2017	77,387,242	—
Total investments	2,801,405,593	2,820,368,145
Undisbursed balances ^a	-56,915	-58,948
Total asset reserves	2,801,348,678	2,820,309,197

^a A negative amount for a given year represents a situation where actual program cash expenditures exceeded the amount of invested securities of the OASI Trust Fund that were redeemed to pay for such expenditures. In this situation, future redemption of additional invested securities will be required to pay for this shortfall.

Note: Amounts of special issue securities are at par value. The trust fund purchases and redeems special issue securities at par value. The table groups equal amounts that mature in two or more years at a given interest rate.

Table VI.A5.—DI Trust Fund Asset Reserves, End of Calendar Years 2016 and 2017

[In thousands]	December 31, 2016	December 31, 2017
Obligations sold only to the trust funds (special issue securities):		
Certificates of indebtedness:		
2.375 percent, 2017	\$8,437,206	—
2.375 percent, 2018	—	\$14,830,708
Bonds:		
1.875 percent, 2018	180,001	—
1.875 percent, 2019-22	12,045,560	12,045,560
2.250 percent, 2019-20	—	12,619,604
2.250 percent, 2021	—	6,309,801
4.000 percent, 2023	14,675,554	14,675,554
5.000 percent, 2022	11,142,596	11,142,596
Total investments	46,480,917	71,623,823
Undisbursed balances ^a	-143,066	-143,810
Total asset reserves	46,337,851	71,480,013

^a A negative amount for a given year represents a situation where actual program cash expenditures exceeded the amount of invested securities of the DI Trust Fund that were redeemed to pay for such expenditures. In this situation, future redemption of additional invested securities will be required to pay for this shortfall.

Note: Amounts of special issue securities are at par value. The trust fund purchases and redeems special issue securities at par value. The table groups equal amounts that mature in two or more years at a given interest rate.

B. HISTORY OF ACTUARIAL STATUS ESTIMATES

This appendix chronicles the history of the OASDI actuarial balance and the year of combined OASI and DI Trust Fund reserve depletion since 1982 under the intermediate assumptions. The actuarial balance is the principal summary measure of actuarial status for the long-range period as a whole. The year of trust fund reserve depletion is also critical, as it indicates the year by which legislative action would be needed in order to maintain timely payment of scheduled benefits.

The 1983 report was the last report for which the actuarial balance was positive. The two basic components of actuarial balance are the summarized income rate and the summarized cost rate, both of which are expressed as percentages of taxable payroll over the period. Section IV.B.4 defines the summarized income rate, summarized cost rate, and actuarial balance in detail. For any given period, the actuarial balance includes the difference between the present value of non-interest income for the period and the present value of the cost for the period, each divided by the present value of taxable payroll for all years in the period. The computation of the actuarial balance also includes:

- In the reports for 1988 and later, the amount of the trust fund asset reserves on hand at the beginning of the valuation period; and
- In the reports for 1991 and later, the present value of a target trust fund asset reserve equal to 100 percent of the annual cost to be reached and maintained at the end of the valuation period.

Reports of 1973-87 used the average-cost method, a simpler method which approximates the results of the present-value approach for computing the actuarial balance. Under the average-cost method, the sum of the annual cost rates over the 75-year projection period was divided by the total number of years, 75, to obtain the average cost rate per year. A similar computation produced the average income rate. The actuarial balance was the difference between the average income rate and the average cost rate.

When the 1973 report introduced the average-cost method, the financing of the program was more nearly on a pay-as-you-go basis over the long-range. Also, the long-range demographic and economic assumptions in that report produced an annual rate of growth in total taxable payroll which was about the same as the annual rate at which the trust funds earned interest. In either circumstance (i.e., pay-as-you-go financing, where the annual income rate is the same as the annual cost rate, or an annual rate of growth in total taxable payroll equal to the annual interest rate), the average-cost method produces

the same result as the present-value method. However, by 1988, neither of these circumstances still existed.

After the 1977 and 1983 Social Security Amendments, projections indicated substantial increases in the trust fund reserves continuing well into the 21st century. These laws changed the program's financing from essentially pay-as-you-go to partial advance funding through the 75-year period. Also, for the reports from 1973 through 1987, long-range fertility rates and average real-wage growth assumptions were gradually reduced, resulting in an annual rate of growth in taxable payroll that was significantly lower than the assumed interest rate by 1987. As a result of the difference between this rate of growth and the assumed interest rate, the results of the average-cost method and the present-value method began to diverge in the reports for 1973 through 1987, and by 1988 they were quite different. While the average-cost method reflected most of the effects of assumed interest rates, it no longer reflected all interest effects. The present-value method, by contrast, accurately reflects the implications of assumed interest rates. As a result, the 1988 report reintroduced the present-value method of calculating the actuarial balance.

A positive actuarial balance indicates that estimated income (plus starting reserves, beginning with the 1988 report) is more than sufficient to meet estimated trust fund obligations (plus the ending target fund, beginning with the 1991 report) for the period as a whole. Even with a positive actuarial balance, it is possible for reserves to become temporarily depleted within the long-range period. An actuarial balance of zero indicates that the estimated income (plus starting reserves, beginning with the 1988 report) exactly matches estimated trust fund obligations (plus the ending target fund, beginning with the 1991 report) for the period as a whole. A negative actuarial balance indicates that estimated income (plus starting reserves, beginning with the 1988 report) is insufficient to meet estimated trust fund obligations (plus the ending target fund, beginning with the 1991 report) for the entire period.

Table VI.B1 contains the estimated OASDI actuarial balances, summarized income rates, and summarized cost rates for the 1982 report through the current report. The reports presented these values on the basis of the intermediate assumptions, which recent reports refer to as alternative II and reports from 1982 to 1990 referred to as alternative II-B.

Appendices

Table VI.B1.—Long-Range OASDI Actuarial Balances and Trust Fund Reserve Depletion Dates as Shown in the Trustees Reports for 1982-2018 under Intermediate Assumptions^a

[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Year of report	Summarized income rate	Summarized cost rate	Actuarial balance ^b	Change from previous year ^c	Year of combined trust fund reserve depletion
1982	12.27	14.09	-1.82	^d	1983
1983	12.87	12.84	+0.02	+1.84	solvent
1984	12.90	12.95	-0.06	-0.08	solvent
1985	12.94	13.35	-0.41	-0.35	2049
1986	12.96	13.40	-0.44	-0.03	2051
1987	12.89	13.51	-0.62	-0.18	2051
1988	12.94	13.52	-0.58	+0.04	2048
1989	13.02	13.72	-0.70	-0.13	2046
1990	13.04	13.95	-0.91	-0.21	2043
1991	13.11	14.19	-1.08	-0.17	2041
1992	13.16	14.63	-1.46	-0.38	2036
1993	13.21	14.67	-1.46	^d	2036
1994	13.24	15.37	-2.13	-0.66	2029
1995	13.27	15.44	-2.17	-0.04	2030
1996	13.33	15.52	-2.19	-0.02	2029
1997	13.37	15.60	-2.23	-0.03	2029
1998	13.45	15.64	-2.19	+0.04	2032
1999	13.49	15.56	-2.07	+0.12	2034
2000	13.51	15.40	-1.89	+0.17	2037
2001	13.58	15.44	-1.86	+0.03	2038
2002	13.72	15.59	-1.87	-0.01	2041
2003	13.78	15.70	-1.92	-0.04	2042
2004	13.84	15.73	-1.89	+0.03	2042
2005	13.87	15.79	-1.92	-0.04	2041
2006	13.88	15.90	-2.02	-0.09	2040
2007	13.92	15.87	-1.95	+0.06	2041
2008	13.94	15.63	-1.70	+0.26	2041
2009	14.02	16.02	-2.00	-0.30	2037
2010	14.01	15.93	-1.92	+0.08	2037
2011	14.02	16.25	-2.22	-0.30	2036
2012	14.02	16.69	-2.67	-0.44	2033
2013	13.88	16.60	-2.72	-0.05	2033
2014	13.89	16.77	-2.88	-0.16	2033
2015	13.86	16.55	-2.68	+0.20	2034
2016	13.84	16.50	-2.66	+0.02	2034
2017	13.84	16.67	-2.83	-0.17	2034
2018	13.84	16.69	-2.84	-0.02	2034

^a This table shows the actuarial balance and year of trust fund reserve depletion based on the intermediate assumptions, which the 1982-90 reports referred to as alternative II-B and the 1991 and later reports refer to as alternative II.

^b The definition and method of calculating the actuarial balance were changed in 1988 and 1991. See text for details.

^c A detailed year-by-year breakdown of the reasons for the changes in the actuarial balance since the 1983 Trustees Report may be found in Actuarial Note 2018.8 at www.ssa.gov/OACT/NOTES/ran8/.

^d Between -0.005 and 0.005 percent of taxable payroll.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

For several of the years included in the table, significant legislative changes or definitional changes affected the estimated actuarial balance. The Social Security Amendments of 1983 account for the largest single change shown in the table: the actuarial balance of -1.82 for the 1982 report improved to +0.02 for the 1983 report. In 1985, the estimated actuarial balance changed largely because of an adjustment made to the method for estimating the age distribution of immigrants.

Rebenchmarking of the National Income and Product Accounts and changes in demographic assumptions contributed to the change in the actuarial balance for 1987. Various changes in assumptions and methods for the 1988 report had roughly offsetting effects on the actuarial balance. In 1989 and 1990, changes in economic assumptions accounted for most of the changes in the estimated actuarial balance.

In 1991, the effect of legislation, changes in economic assumptions, and the introduction of the cost of reaching and maintaining an ending target trust fund level combined to produce the change in the actuarial balance. In 1992, changes in disability assumptions and the method for projecting average benefit levels accounted for most of the change in the actuarial balance. In 1993, numerous small changes in assumptions and methods had offsetting effects on the actuarial balance. In 1994, changes in the real-wage assumptions, disability rates, and the earnings sample used for projecting average benefit levels accounted for most of the change in the actuarial balance. In 1995, numerous small changes had largely offsetting effects on the actuarial balance, including a substantial reallocation of the payroll tax rate, which reduced the OASI actuarial balance, but increased the DI actuarial balance.

In 1996, a change in the method of projecting dually-entitled beneficiaries produced a large increase in the actuarial balance, which almost totally offset decreases produced by changes in the valuation period and in the demographic and economic assumptions. Various changes in assumptions and methods for the 1997 report had roughly offsetting effects on the actuarial balance. In 1998, increases caused by changes in the economic assumptions, although partially offset by decreases produced by changes in the valuation period and in the demographic assumptions, accounted for most of the changes in the estimated actuarial balance. In 1999, increases caused by changes in the economic assumptions (related to improvements in the CPI by the Bureau of Labor Statistics) accounted for most of the changes in the estimated actuarial balance. For the 2000 report, changes in economic assumptions and methodology caused increases in the actuarial balance, although reductions in the balance caused by the change in valuation period and changes in demographic assumptions partially offset these increases.

Appendices

For the 2001 report, increases caused by changes in the demographic starting values, although partially offset by a decrease produced by the change in the valuation period, accounted for most of the changes in the estimated actuarial balance. For the 2002 report, changes in the valuation period and the demographic assumptions—both decreases in the actuarial balance—were offset by changes in the economic assumptions, while an increase due to disability assumptions was slightly more than offset by a decrease due to changes in the projection methods and data. For the 2003 report, an increase due to the change in program assumptions was more than offset by decreases due to the change in valuation period and changes in demographic assumptions. In the 2004 report, increases due to changing the method of projecting benefit levels for higher earners more than offset decreases in the actuarial balance arising from the change in the valuation period and the net effect of other changes in programmatic data and methods. For the 2005 report, an increase due to changing the method of projecting future average benefit levels was more than offset by decreases due to changes in the valuation period, updated starting values for the economic assumptions, and other methodological changes.

In 2006, decreases in the actuarial balance due to the change in the valuation period, a reduction in the ultimate annual real interest rate, and improvements in calculating mortality for disabled workers, were greater in aggregate than increases in the actuarial balance due to changes in demographic starting values and the ultimate total fertility rate, as well as other programmatic data and method changes. For the 2007 report, increases in the actuarial balance arising from revised disability incidence rate assumptions, improvements in average benefit level projections, and changes in near-term economic projections, more than offset decreases in the balance due to the valuation period change and updated historical mortality data. For the 2008 report, the large increase in the actuarial balance was primarily due to changes in immigration projection methods and assumptions. These changes more than offset the decreases in the actuarial balance due to the change in the valuation period and the lower starting and ultimate mortality rates. In 2009, changes in starting values and near-term economic assumptions due to the economic recession, faster ultimate rates of decline in death rates for ages 65-84, and the change in the valuation period accounted for most of the large decrease in the actuarial balance. Legislative changes, in particular the estimated effects of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, were the main reason for the increase in the actuarial balance for the 2010 report. The change in the valuation period partially offset this increase; there were also changes in

several assumptions, methods, and recent data which had largely offsetting effects.

For the 2011 report, changes in mortality projections, due to new starting values and revised methods, were the most significant of several factors contributing to the increase in the deficit. In 2012, changes in economic assumptions and starting values accounted for about half of the decrease in actuarial balance. Other factors worsening the actuarial balance were the change in valuation period, changes to starting demographic values, changes to ultimate disability incidence assumptions, and methodology changes and data updates. For the 2013 report, the change in valuation period accounted for the entire net change in the actuarial balance. The effects of substantially lower death rates for 2009 than previously projected and the American Taxpayer Relief Act of 2012 (which lowered the Federal marginal income tax rates) were offset by updates of program-specific data and methodology improvements. In 2014, changes in economic data and assumptions accounted for the majority of the net change in the actuarial balance. Other factors worsening the actuarial balance were the change in the valuation period and various methodology improvements and data updates. For the 2015 report, methodological improvements and updates of programmatic data accounted for the majority of the net increase in the actuarial balance. Also increasing the actuarial balance were a lower assumed ultimate average wage differential and changes in near-term economic assumptions. These increases were offset somewhat by the change in the valuation period and updates to historical and near-term projected birth rates.

For the 2016 report, the actuarial balance increased primarily due to the effects of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015 and improvements made to immigration methods. The most notable immigration change was a revision to the method for projecting emigration of the never-authorized population to reflect lower rates of emigration for those who have resided here longer. These increases in the actuarial balance were largely offset by the effects of changes in ultimate economic assumptions, including a lower real interest rate and a lower annual increase in the rate of price inflation. In 2017, the change in the valuation period and various methodology improvements accounted for most of the net reduction in the actuarial balance. Other economic factors also contributed to worsening the actuarial balance, including a lower real-wage differential assumption and an assumed weaker recovery from the recent recession. These reductions were offset somewhat by lower estimated disability incidence rates over the short-range period.

Section IV.B.6 describes changes affecting the actuarial balance shown for the 2018 report.

Appendices

***C. FISCAL YEAR HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED TRUST FUND
OPERATIONS THROUGH 2027***

Tables VI.C1, VI.C2, and VI.C3 contain details of the fiscal year 2017 operations of the OASI, DI, and the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds, respectively. The fiscal year for the U.S. Government is the 12-month period ending September 30. Fiscal year 2017 is the most recent fiscal year for which complete information is available. The descriptions of the values in these tables are similar to the corresponding descriptions and values in the calendar year operations tables in section III.A. Please see that section for a description of the various items of income and outgo.

Fiscal Year Operations and Projections

Table VI.C1.—Operations of the OASI Trust Fund, Fiscal Year 2017
[In millions]

Total asset reserves, September 30, 2016		<u>\$2,796,620</u>
Receipts:		
Net payroll tax contributions:		
Payroll tax contributions ^a	\$704,865	
Payments from the General Fund of the Treasury for payroll tax contributions subject to refund ^a	<u>-2,741</u>	
Net payroll tax contributions ^a		702,123
Reimbursements from the General Fund:		
Reduction in payroll tax contributions due to P.L.s 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96 ^a	9	
Reimbursements directed by P.L. 110-246	6	
Payroll tax credits due to P.L. 98-21 ^a	<u>b</u>	
Net General Fund reimbursements ^a		15
Income based on taxation of benefit payments:		
Withheld from benefit payments to nonresident aliens	206	
All other, not subject to withholding ^a	<u>35,210</u>	
Total income from taxation of benefits ^a		35,416
Investment income and interest adjustments:		
Interest on investments	84,887	
Interest adjustments ^c	<u>1</u>	
Total investment income and interest adjustments		84,888
Gifts		<u>b</u>
Total receipts		<u>822,442</u>
Disbursements:		
Benefit payments:		
Monthly benefits and lump-sum death payments ^d	791,126	
Reimbursement from the General Fund for unnegotiated checks	-35	
Payment for costs of vocational rehabilitation services for disabled beneficiaries	<u>4</u>	
Net benefit payments ^d		791,094
Financial interchange with the Railroad Retirement "Social Security Equivalent Benefit Account" ^e		<u>4,316</u>
Administrative expenses:		
Costs incurred by:		
Social Security Administration	3,046	
Department of the Treasury	520	
Offsetting miscellaneous receipts	-10	
Miscellaneous reimbursements from the General Fund ^e	<u>-5</u>	
Net administrative expenses		3,551
Total disbursements		<u>798,961</u>
Net increase in asset reserves		<u>23,481</u>
Total invested assets	2,820,200	
Undisbursed balances ^f	<u>-99</u>	
Total asset reserves, September 30, 2017		<u>2,820,101</u>

^a Includes adjustments for prior years

^b Between -\$0.5 and \$0.5 million.

^c Includes: (1) interest on adjustments in the allocation of administrative expenses between the trust fund and the General Fund account for the Supplemental Security Income program, (2) interest arising from the revised allocation of administrative expenses among the trust funds, and (3) interest on certain reimbursements to the trust fund.

^d Includes net reductions for the recovery of overpayments.

^e Reimbursements for costs incurred in performing certain legislatively mandated activities not directly related to administering the OASI program.

^f A negative balance represents a situation where the actual program cash expenditures exceeded the amount of invested securities of the OASI Trust Fund that were redeemed to pay for such expenditures. In this situation, future redemption of additional invested securities will be required to pay for this shortfall.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Appendices

Table VI.C2.—Operations of the DI Trust Fund, Fiscal Year 2017

[In millions]

Total asset reserves, September 30, 2016		<u>\$45,740</u>
Receipts:		
Net payroll tax contributions:		
Payroll tax contributions ^a	\$166,543	
Payments from the General Fund of the Treasury for payroll tax contributions subject to refund ^a	-642	
Net payroll tax contributions ^a		165,901
Reimbursements from the General Fund:		
Reduction in payroll tax contributions due to P.L.s 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96 ^a	2	
Reimbursements directed by P.L. 110-246	1	
Payroll tax credits due to P.L. 98-21 ^a	b	
Net General Fund reimbursements ^a		3
Income based on taxation of benefit payments:		
Withheld from benefit payments to nonresident aliens	4	
All other, not subject to withholding ^a	1,947	
Total income from taxation of benefits ^a		1,951
Investment income and interest adjustments:		
Interest on investments	1,624	
Interest adjustments ^c	2	
Total investment income and interest adjustments		1,625
Gifts		—
Total receipts		<u>169,480</u>
Disbursements:		
Benefit payments:		
Monthly benefits ^d	142,823	
Reimbursement from the General Fund for unnegotiated checks	-19	
Payment for costs of vocational rehabilitation services for disabled beneficiaries	79	
Net benefit payments ^d		142,883
Financial interchange with the Railroad Retirement "Social Security Equivalent Benefit Account" ^e		207
Administrative expenses:		
Costs incurred by:		
Social Security Administration	2,580	
Department of the Treasury	100	
Offsetting miscellaneous receipts	b	
Demonstration projects	10	
Miscellaneous reimbursements from the General Fund ^e	-3	
Net administrative expenses		2,686
Total disbursements		<u>145,776</u>
Net increase in asset reserves		<u>23,704</u>
Total invested assets	69,669	
Undisbursed balances ^f	-225	
Total asset reserves, September 30, 2017		<u>69,444</u>

^a Includes adjustments for prior years.

^b Between -\$0.5 and \$0.5 million.

^c Includes: (1) interest on adjustments in the allocation of administrative expenses between the trust fund and the General Fund account for the Supplemental Security Income program, (2) interest arising from the revised allocation of administrative expenses among the trust funds, and (3) interest on certain reimbursements to the trust fund.

^d Includes net reductions for the recovery of overpayments.

^e Reimbursements for costs incurred in performing certain legislatively mandated activities not directly related to administering the DI program.

^f A negative balance represents a situation where the actual program cash expenditures exceeded the amount of invested securities of the DI Trust Fund that were redeemed to pay for such expenditures. In this situation, future redemption of additional invested securities will be required to pay for this shortfall.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Fiscal Year Operations and Projections

Table VI.C3.—Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds, Fiscal Year 2017
[In millions]

Total asset reserves, September 30, 2016		<u>\$2,842,360</u>
Receipts:		
Net payroll tax contributions:		
Payroll tax contributions ^a	\$871,408	
Payments from the General Fund of the Treasury for payroll tax contributions subject to refund ^a	-3,384	
Net payroll tax contributions ^a		<u>868,024</u>
Reimbursements from the General Fund:		
Reduction in payroll tax contributions due to P.L.s 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96 ^a	11	
Reimbursements directed by P.L. 110-246	7	
Payroll tax credits due to P.L. 98-21 ^a	b	
Net General Fund reimbursements ^a		<u>18</u>
Income based on taxation of benefit payments:		
Withheld from benefit payments to nonresident aliens	210	
All other, not subject to withholding ^a	37,157	
Total income from taxation of benefits ^a		<u>37,367</u>
Investment income and interest adjustments:		
Interest on investments	86,510	
Interest adjustments ^c	3	
Total investment income and interest adjustments		<u>86,513</u>
Gifts		b
Total receipts		<u>991,922</u>
Disbursements:		
Benefit payments:		
Monthly benefits and lump-sum death payments ^d	933,948	
Reimbursement from the General Fund for unnegotiated checks	-54	
Payment for costs of vocational rehabilitation services for disabled beneficiaries	83	
Net benefit payments ^d		<u>933,977</u>
Financial interchange with the Railroad Retirement "Social Security Equivalent Benefit Account" ^e		
		4,522
Administrative expenses:		
Costs incurred by:		
Social Security Administration	5,626	
Department of the Treasury	620	
Offsetting miscellaneous receipts	-10	
Demonstration projects	10	
Miscellaneous reimbursements from the General Fund ^e	-8	
Net administrative expenses		<u>6,237</u>
Total disbursements		<u>944,737</u>
Net increase in asset reserves		<u>47,185</u>
Total invested assets	2,889,869	
Undisbursed balances ^f	-325	
Total asset reserves, September 30, 2017		<u>2,889,545</u>

^a Includes adjustments for prior years.

^b Between -\$0.5 and \$0.5 million.

^c Includes: (1) interest on adjustments in the allocation of administrative expenses between the trust funds and the General Fund account for the Supplemental Security Income program, (2) interest arising from the revised allocation of administrative expenses among the trust funds, and (3) interest on certain reimbursements to the trust funds.

^d Includes net reductions for the recovery of overpayments.

^e Reimbursements for costs incurred in performing certain legislatively mandated activities not directly related to administering the OASI and DI programs.

^f A negative net balance represents a situation where the actual combined program cash expenditures exceeded the amount of invested securities of the OASI and DI Trust Funds that were redeemed to pay for such expenditures. In this situation, future net redemption of additional invested securities will be required to pay for this shortfall.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Appendices

Tables VI.C4, VI.C5, and VI.C6 show estimates of the operations and status of the OASI, DI, and the hypothetical combined OASI and DI Trust Funds, respectively, during fiscal years 2013 through 2027.

Table VI.C4.—Operations of the OASI Trust Fund, Fiscal Years 2013-2027
[Dollar amounts in billions]

Fiscal year	Income					Cost			Asset Reserves			
	Total	Net pay- roll tax contri- butions	GF reim- burse- ments ^a	Taxa- tion of bene- fits ^b	Net interest	Total	Sched- uled benefits	Admin- istra- tive costs	RRB inter- change	Net increase during year	Amount at end of year	Trust fund ratio ^c
Historical data:												
2013 .	\$739.7	\$590.0	\$26.4	\$23.1	\$100.1	\$670.6	\$663.2	\$3.4	\$3.9	\$69.1	\$2,655.0	386
2014 .	763.3	642.3	.1	24.6	96.3	705.6	698.2	3.2	4.3	57.6	2,712.7	376
2015 .	795.3	672.2	.2	29.6	93.2	741.5	733.7	3.5	4.3	53.9	2,766.6	366
2016 .	799.9	679.6	.1	31.1	89.1	769.8	762.1	3.4	4.3	30.1	2,796.6	359
2017 .	822.4	702.1	d	35.4	84.9	799.0	791.1	3.6	4.3	23.5	2,820.1	350
Intermediate:												
2018 .	819.6	704.1	d	34.0	81.5	841.6	833.4	3.5	4.7	-22.0	2,798.1	335
2019 .	899.6	784.7	d	35.1	79.7	894.5	886.3	3.3	4.8	5.1	2,803.2	313
2020 .	950.6	832.1	d	39.4	79.1	955.5	947.4	3.2	4.9	-4.9	2,798.2	293
2021 .	1,002.6	880.4	d	43.4	78.9	1,020.5	1,012.2	3.4	4.9	-17.9	2,780.4	274
2022 .	1,053.4	927.3	d	47.5	78.6	1,089.0	1,080.3	3.6	5.2	-35.6	2,744.7	255
2023 .	1,099.8	970.8	d	51.7	77.4	1,161.7	1,152.7	3.7	5.2	-61.8	2,682.9	236
2024 .	1,153.5	1,019.4	d	56.2	77.9	1,238.3	1,229.1	3.9	5.3	-84.8	2,598.1	217
2025 .	1,206.2	1,067.3	d	61.1	77.8	1,317.0	1,307.6	4.0	5.3	-110.7	2,487.4	197
2026 .	1,271.7	1,120.6	d	74.0	77.1	1,398.8	1,389.2	4.2	5.5	-127.2	2,360.2	178
2027 .	1,328.4	1,168.4	d	82.8	77.2	1,484.1	1,474.3	4.3	5.5	-155.7	2,204.6	159
Low-cost:												
2018 .	822.7	706.9	d	34.0	81.8	841.2	833.0	3.5	4.7	-18.5	2,801.6	335
2019 .	927.6	809.8	d	35.2	82.6	897.0	888.8	3.3	4.8	30.7	2,832.3	312
2020 .	1,003.3	876.9	d	39.7	86.6	963.8	955.7	3.2	4.9	39.5	2,871.7	294
2021 .	1,079.1	944.5	d	44.0	90.5	1,034.2	1,025.9	3.5	4.9	44.8	2,916.6	278
2022 .	1,154.1	1,010.6	d	48.3	95.1	1,108.4	1,099.6	3.7	5.1	45.6	2,962.2	263
2023 .	1,228.5	1,073.8	d	52.8	101.9	1,187.6	1,178.4	3.9	5.2	40.9	3,003.1	249
2024 .	1,311.9	1,144.0	d	57.7	110.1	1,271.7	1,262.3	4.1	5.3	40.1	3,043.2	236
2025 .	1,397.0	1,214.9	d	63.0	119.1	1,359.1	1,349.4	4.3	5.4	37.9	3,081.1	224
2026 .	1,499.4	1,293.5	d	76.8	129.2	1,450.9	1,440.8	4.5	5.5	48.6	3,129.7	212
2027 .	1,596.3	1,368.2	d	86.3	141.8	1,547.6	1,537.3	4.7	5.5	48.7	3,178.4	202
High-cost:												
2018 .	817.8	702.3	d	34.0	81.5	842.0	833.8	3.5	4.7	-24.1	2,796.0	335
2019 .	868.1	755.0	d	35.0	78.1	890.6	882.4	3.3	4.9	-22.5	2,773.5	314
2020 .	888.1	776.3	d	38.9	72.9	944.1	935.9	3.2	5.0	-56.0	2,717.5	294
2021 .	921.8	810.0	d	42.7	69.1	1,003.1	994.9	3.3	4.9	-81.4	2,636.1	271
2022 .	950.2	839.9	d	46.5	63.8	1,066.0	1,057.4	3.4	5.2	-115.8	2,520.3	247
2023 .	976.8	867.1	d	50.4	59.4	1,132.2	1,123.4	3.5	5.2	-155.3	2,364.9	223
2024 .	1,006.2	898.1	d	54.6	53.6	1,201.6	1,192.6	3.6	5.3	-195.3	2,169.6	197
2025 .	1,035.4	928.4	d	59.0	48.0	1,272.1	1,263.1	3.7	5.3	-236.8	1,932.9	171
2026 .	1,077.1	963.0	d	71.1	43.0	1,344.7	1,335.5	3.8	5.4	-267.6	1,665.3	144
2027 .	1,108.9	991.2	d	79.2	38.5	1,419.1	1,409.8	3.9	5.4	-310.2	1,355.1	117

^a Includes reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury to the OASI Trust Fund for: (1) the cost of benefits to certain uninsured persons who attained age 72 before 1968; (2) the cost of payroll tax credits provided to employees in 1984 and self-employed persons in 1984-89 by Public Law 98-21; (3) the cost in 2009-17 of excluding certain self-employment earnings from SECA taxes under Public Law 110-246; and (4) payroll tax revenue forgone under the provisions of Public Laws 111-147, 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96.

^b Revenue from taxation of benefits is the amount that would be assessed on benefit amounts scheduled in the law.

^c The "Trust fund ratio" column represents asset reserves at the beginning of a year (which are identical to reserves at the end of the prior year shown in the "Amount at end of year" column) as a percentage of cost for the year.

^d Between -\$50 million and \$50 million.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Fiscal Year Operations and Projections

Table VI.C5.—Operations of the DI Trust Fund, Fiscal Years 2013-2027^a
[Dollar amounts in billions]

Fiscal year	Income					Cost				Asset Reserves		
	Total	Net payroll tax contributions	GF reimbursements ^b	Taxation of benefits ^c	Net interest	Total	Scheduled benefits	Administrative costs	RRB inter-change	Net increase during year	Amount at end of year	Trust fund ratio ^d
Historical data:												
2013 .	\$111.3	\$100.2	\$4.5	\$1.1	\$5.5	\$142.8	\$139.4	\$2.8	\$0.6	-\$31.5	\$100.5	92
2014 .	114.1	109.1	^e	1.0	4.0	144.7	141.3	2.9	.4	-30.6	69.9	69
2015 .	118.0	114.2	^e	1.0	2.7	146.2	142.9	2.9	.4	-28.3	41.7	48
2016 .	150.3	147.6	^e	1.2	1.5	146.2	143.1	2.8	.4	4.1	45.7	28
2017 .	169.5	165.9	^e	2.0	1.6	145.8	142.9	2.7	.2	23.7	69.4	31
Intermediate:												
2018 .	170.4	166.5	^e	1.7	2.2	148.0	145.0	2.9	.2	22.4	91.8	47
2019 .	151.0	146.5	^e	1.6	2.9	152.0	149.1	2.8	.1	-1.0	90.8	60
2020 .	146.0	141.3	^e	1.8	2.9	156.1	153.2	2.8	.2	-10.1	80.7	58
2021 .	154.1	149.5	^e	1.9	2.7	161.5	158.3	3.0	.2	-7.4	73.3	50
2022 .	161.9	157.5	^e	2.1	2.4	167.5	164.1	3.3	.1	-5.6	67.7	44
2023 .	169.3	164.9	^e	2.2	2.2	174.6	170.8	3.6	.1	-5.3	62.4	39
2024 .	177.6	173.1	^e	2.4	2.1	182.2	178.2	3.9	.1	-4.6	57.8	34
2025 .	185.7	181.2	^e	2.6	1.9	191.0	186.8	4.1	.1	-5.3	52.5	30
2026 .	195.2	190.3	^e	3.2	1.7	200.3	195.8	4.4	.1	-5.1	47.4	26
2027 .	203.5	198.4	^e	3.5	1.6	209.8	205.1	4.7	.1	-6.3	41.1	23
Low-cost:												
2018 .	171.1	167.2	^e	1.7	2.3	146.5	143.5	2.9	.2	24.6	94.1	47
2019 .	156.0	151.0	^e	1.6	3.4	149.1	146.2	2.8	.1	6.9	100.9	63
2020 .	154.7	148.9	^e	1.8	4.0	152.4	149.5	2.8	.2	2.3	103.2	66
2021 .	166.8	160.4	^e	1.9	4.5	156.8	153.5	3.1	.1	10.0	113.3	66
2022 .	178.9	171.6	^e	2.0	5.3	161.8	158.3	3.4	.1	17.1	130.4	70
2023 .	191.1	182.3	^e	2.1	6.6	167.8	164.0	3.7	.1	23.3	153.6	78
2024 .	204.8	194.3	^e	2.3	8.2	174.5	170.4	4.0	.1	30.3	183.9	88
2025 .	219.0	206.3	^e	2.5	10.3	182.6	178.2	4.3	.1	36.5	220.4	101
2026 .	235.5	219.7	^e	3.0	12.8	191.1	186.4	4.7	.1	44.4	264.7	115
2027 .	251.6	232.3	^e	3.4	15.9	200.2	195.1	5.0	.1	51.4	316.2	132
High-cost:												
2018 .	169.9	166.1	^e	1.7	2.1	149.6	146.5	2.9	.2	20.4	89.8	46
2019 .	145.4	141.3	^e	1.7	2.5	154.8	151.8	2.8	.1	-9.3	80.5	58
2020 .	135.8	131.8	^e	1.8	2.1	159.4	156.5	2.8	.2	-23.7	56.8	50
2021 .	141.1	137.5	^e	2.0	1.6	165.6	162.4	3.0	.2	-24.5	32.4	34
2022 .	145.6	142.6	^e	2.1	.8	172.4	169.0	3.3	.2	-26.8	5.6	19
2023 .	^f	147.2	^e	2.3	^f	179.9	176.3	3.5	.1	^f	^f	3
2024 .	^f	152.5	^e	2.5	^f	188.0	184.1	3.7	.1	^f	^f	^f
2025 .	^f	157.6	^e	2.7	^f	197.1	193.0	3.9	.1	^f	^f	^f
2026 .	^f	163.5	^e	3.3	^f	206.5	202.2	4.1	.1	^f	^f	^f
2027 .	^f	168.3	^e	3.7	^f	216.0	211.5	4.3	.1	^f	^f	^f

^a The DI Trust Fund becomes depleted in fiscal year 2023 under the high-cost assumptions. For any period during which reserves would be depleted, scheduled benefits could not be paid in full on a timely basis, income from taxing benefits would be less than would apply to scheduled benefits, and interest on trust fund reserves would be negligible.

^b Includes reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury to the DI Trust Fund for: (1) the cost of payroll tax credits provided to employees in 1984 and self-employed persons in 1984-89 by Public Law 98-21; (2) the cost in 2009-17 of excluding certain self-employment earnings from SECA taxes under Public Law 110-246; and (3) payroll tax revenue forgone under the provisions of Public Laws 111-147, 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96.

^c Revenue from taxation of benefits is the amount that would be assessed on benefit amounts scheduled in the law.

^d The "Trust fund ratio" column represents asset reserves at the beginning of a year (which are identical to reserves at the end of the prior year shown in the "Amount at end of year" column) as a percentage of cost for the year.

^e Between -\$50 million and \$50 million.

^f While the fund is depleted, values under current law would reflect permissible expenditures only, which are inconsistent with the cost of scheduled benefits shown in this table.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Appendices

**Table VI.C6.—Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds,
Fiscal Years 2013-2027**
[Dollar amounts in billions]

Fiscal year	Income				Cost				Asset Reserves			
	Total	Net payroll tax contributions	GF reimbursements ^a	Taxation of benefits ^b	Net interest	Total benefits	Scheduled benefits	Administrative costs	RRB inter-change	Net increase during year	Amount at end of year	Trust fund ratio ^c
Historical data:												
2013..	\$850.9	\$690.1	\$30.9	\$24.2	\$105.7	\$813.3	\$802.6	\$6.2	\$4.5	\$37.6	\$2,755.5	334
2014..	877.4	751.3	.2	25.7	100.3	850.3	839.6	6.0	4.7	27.1	2,782.6	324
2015..	913.3	786.4	.3	30.7	96.0	887.7	876.6	6.4	4.7	25.6	2,808.2	313
2016..	950.2	827.1	.2	32.3	90.6	916.0	905.2	6.2	4.7	34.1	2,842.4	307
2017..	991.9	868.0	^d	37.4	86.5	944.7	934.0	6.2	4.5	47.2	2,889.5	301
Intermediate:												
2018..	990.0	870.6	^d	35.7	83.7	989.6	978.4	6.4	4.9	.4	2,889.9	292
2019..	1,050.6	931.2	^d	36.8	82.6	1,046.5	1,035.4	6.1	5.0	4.1	2,894.0	276
2020..	1,096.6	973.4	^d	41.2	82.0	1,111.7	1,100.6	6.0	5.1	-15.1	2,878.9	260
2021..	1,156.8	1,029.8	^d	45.4	81.5	1,182.0	1,170.5	6.4	5.1	-25.3	2,853.7	244
2022..	1,215.3	1,084.8	^d	49.5	81.0	1,256.6	1,244.4	6.9	5.3	-41.3	2,812.4	227
2023..	1,269.1	1,135.6	^d	53.9	79.6	1,336.2	1,323.5	7.3	5.4	-67.1	2,745.3	210
2024..	1,331.0	1,192.5	^d	58.6	79.9	1,420.5	1,407.3	7.7	5.4	-89.4	2,655.9	193
2025..	1,392.0	1,248.6	^d	63.7	79.7	1,508.0	1,494.4	8.2	5.4	-116.0	2,539.9	176
2026..	1,466.9	1,310.9	^d	77.2	78.9	1,599.1	1,585.0	8.6	5.6	-132.2	2,407.7	159
2027..	1,531.9	1,366.8	^d	86.4	78.8	1,693.9	1,679.3	9.0	5.6	-162.0	2,245.7	142
Low-cost:												
2018..	993.9	874.1	^d	35.7	84.1	987.8	976.5	6.4	4.9	6.1	2,895.7	293
2019..	1,083.7	960.8	^d	36.8	86.1	1,046.1	1,035.0	6.1	4.9	37.6	2,933.2	277
2020..	1,157.9	1,025.8	^d	41.5	90.6	1,116.2	1,105.2	6.0	5.0	41.7	2,975.0	263
2021..	1,245.9	1,104.9	^d	45.9	95.0	1,191.0	1,179.4	6.5	5.0	54.9	3,029.8	250
2022..	1,333.0	1,182.2	^d	50.3	100.4	1,270.2	1,257.9	7.1	5.3	62.7	3,092.6	239
2023..	1,419.5	1,256.1	^d	55.0	108.4	1,355.4	1,342.5	7.6	5.3	64.1	3,156.7	228
2024..	1,516.6	1,338.3	^d	60.0	118.3	1,446.3	1,432.7	8.1	5.4	70.4	3,227.1	218
2025..	1,616.0	1,421.2	^d	65.5	129.4	1,541.6	1,527.5	8.6	5.5	74.4	3,301.5	209
2026..	1,734.9	1,513.2	^d	79.8	142.0	1,642.0	1,627.2	9.2	5.6	93.0	3,394.5	201
2027..	1,847.9	1,600.5	^d	89.7	157.7	1,747.8	1,732.4	9.7	5.6	100.1	3,494.6	194
High-cost:												
2018..	987.8	868.4	^d	35.7	83.6	991.5	980.3	6.4	4.9	-3.8	2,885.8	291
2019..	1,013.5	896.2	^d	36.6	80.6	1,045.4	1,034.3	6.1	5.0	-31.8	2,853.9	276
2020..	1,023.9	908.1	^d	40.7	75.1	1,103.6	1,092.4	6.0	5.1	-79.7	2,774.3	259
2021..	1,062.9	947.6	^d	44.7	70.7	1,168.7	1,157.2	6.3	5.1	-105.8	2,668.5	237
2022..	1,095.8	982.6	^d	48.6	64.6	1,238.4	1,226.3	6.7	5.3	-142.6	2,525.9	215
2023..	1,126.1	1,014.4	^d	52.7	59.1	1,312.1	1,299.7	7.0	5.4	-186.0	2,339.8	193
2024..	1,159.8	1,050.6	^d	57.0	52.1	1,389.6	1,376.8	7.4	5.4	-229.8	2,110.0	168
2025..	1,192.8	1,086.0	^d	61.7	45.1	1,469.2	1,456.1	7.7	5.4	-276.4	1,833.6	144
2026..	1,239.3	1,126.5	^d	74.4	38.3	1,551.1	1,537.6	8.0	5.5	-311.9	1,521.8	118
2027..	1,274.3	1,159.5	^d	82.8	32.0	1,635.1	1,621.3	8.3	5.5	-360.8	1,161.0	93

^a Includes reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury to the OASI and DI Trust Funds for: (1) the cost of benefits to certain uninsured persons who attained age 72 before 1968; (2) the cost of payroll tax credits provided to employees in 1984 and self-employed persons in 1984-89 by Public Law 98-21; (3) the cost in 2009-17 of excluding certain self-employment earnings from SECA taxes under Public Law 110-246; and (4) payroll tax revenue forgone under the provisions of Public Laws 111-147, 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96.

^b Revenue from taxation of benefits is the amount that would be assessed on benefit amounts scheduled in the law.

^c The "Trust fund ratio" column represents asset reserves at the beginning of a year (which are identical to reserves at the end of the prior year shown in the "Amount at end of year" column) as a percentage of cost for the year.

^d Between -\$50 million and \$50 million.

D. LONG-RANGE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

This appendix presents estimates that illustrate the sensitivity of the long-range actuarial status of the OASDI program to changes in selected individual assumptions. The estimates based on the three alternative sets of assumptions, which were presented earlier in this report, illustrate the effects of varying all of the principal assumptions simultaneously, in order to portray a significantly more optimistic or pessimistic future. For each sensitivity analysis presented in this appendix, the intermediate alternative II projection is the reference point, and one assumption is varied within that alternative. The variation used for each individual assumption is the same as the level used for that assumption in the low-cost alternative I and high-cost alternative III projections.

Each table in this section shows the effects of changing a particular assumption on the OASDI summarized income rates, summarized cost rates, and actuarial balances for 25-year, 50-year, and 75-year valuation periods. Following each table is a discussion of the estimated changes in cost rates. The change in each of the actuarial balances is approximately equal to the change in the corresponding cost rate, but in the opposite direction. This appendix does not discuss income rates following each table because income rates vary only slightly with changes in assumptions that affect revenue from taxation of benefits.

1. Total Fertility Rate

Table VI.D1 shows OASDI income rates, cost rates, and actuarial balances on the basis of alternative II with three different assumptions about the ultimate total fertility rate. The Trustees assume that total fertility will ultimately be 1.8, 2.0, and 2.2 children per woman under alternatives III, II, and I, respectively. The total fertility rate reaches ultimate values in 2023, 2027, and 2027 under alternatives III, II, and I, respectively.

Appendices

Table VI.D1.—Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to Fertility Assumptions
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Valuation period	Ultimate total fertility rate ^{a b}		
	1.8	2.0	2.2
Summarized income rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	14.59	14.59	14.59
50-year: 2018-67	14.03	14.01	13.99
75-year: 2018-92	13.89	13.84	13.80
Summarized cost rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	16.34	16.36	16.38
50-year: 2018-67	16.63	16.45	16.28
75-year: 2018-92	17.14	16.69	16.26
Actuarial balance:			
25-year: 2018-42	-1.75	-1.77	-1.78
50-year: 2018-67	-2.61	-2.45	-2.29
75-year: 2018-92	-3.25	-2.84	-2.46
Annual balance for 2092	-5.84	-4.32	-3.02
Year of combined trust fund reserve depletion	2034	2034	2034

^a The total fertility rate for any year is the average number of children that would be born to a woman in her lifetime if she were to experience, at each age of her life, the birth rate observed in, or assumed for, the selected year, and if she were to survive the entire childbearing period. The ultimate total fertility rate is reached in 2023, 2027, and 2027 under alternatives III, II, and I, respectively.

^b Ultimate total fertility rates used for this analysis are: 1.8 from the alternative III assumptions, 2.0 from the alternative II assumptions, and 2.2 from the alternative I assumptions. All other assumptions used for this analysis are from alternative II.

For the 25-year period, the cost rate for the three fertility assumptions varies by only about 0.03 percent of taxable payroll. In contrast, the 75-year cost rate varies over a wide range, decreasing from 17.14 to 16.26 percent, as the assumed ultimate total fertility rate increases from 1.8 to 2.2. Similarly, while the 25-year actuarial balance varies by only 0.03 percent of taxable payroll, the 75-year actuarial balance varies over a much wider range, from -3.25 to -2.46 percent.

During the 25-year period, the very slight increases in the working population resulting from higher fertility (than that experienced in an alternative scenario) are more than offset by decreases in the female labor force and increases in the number of child beneficiaries. Therefore, program cost increases slightly with higher fertility. For the 75-year long-range period, however, changes in fertility have a relatively greater effect on the labor force than on the beneficiary population. As a result, an increase in fertility significantly reduces the cost rate. Each increase of 0.1 in the ultimate total fertility rate increases the long-range actuarial balance by about 0.20 percent of taxable payroll.

2. Death Rates

Table VI.D2 shows OASDI income rates, cost rates, and actuarial balances on the basis of alternative II with three different assumptions about future

reductions in death rates for the period from 2017 to 2092. These assumptions are described in section V.A.2. The Trustees assume that the age-sex-adjusted death rates will decline at average annual rates of 0.41 percent, 0.77 percent, and 1.15 percent for alternatives I, II, and III, respectively.

Table VI.D2.—Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to Death-Rate Assumptions
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Valuation period	Average annual death-rate reduction ^{a b}		
	0.41 percent	0.77 percent	1.15 percent
Summarized income rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	14.59	14.59	14.59
50-year: 2018-67	14.00	14.01	14.02
75-year: 2018-92	13.82	13.84	13.86
Summarized cost rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	16.20	16.36	16.54
50-year: 2018-67	16.10	16.45	16.84
75-year: 2018-92	16.18	16.69	17.22
Actuarial balance:			
25-year: 2018-42	-1.61	-1.77	-1.95
50-year: 2018-67	-2.10	-2.45	-2.82
75-year: 2018-92	-2.36	-2.84	-3.36
Annual balance for 2092	-3.31	-4.32	-5.32
Year of combined trust fund reserve depletion	2035	2034	2034

^a The average annual death-rate reduction is the average annual geometric rate of decline in the age-sex-adjusted death rate between 2017 and 2092. The overall age-sex-adjusted death rate decreases from 2017 to 2092 by 27 percent, 44 percent, and 58 percent for alternatives I, II, and III, respectively.

^b The average annual death-rate reductions used for this analysis are: 0.41 percent from the alternative I assumptions, 0.77 percent from the alternative II assumptions, and 1.15 percent from the alternative III assumptions. All other assumptions used for this analysis are from alternative II.

The variation in cost for the 25-year period is less pronounced than the variation for the 75-year period because decreases in death rates have cumulative effects. The 25-year cost rate increases from 16.20 percent (for an average annual death-rate reduction of 0.41 percent) to 16.54 percent (for an average annual death-rate reduction of 1.15 percent). The 75-year cost rate increases from 16.18 to 17.22 percent. The actuarial balance decreases from -1.61 to -1.95 percent for the 25-year period, and from -2.36 to -3.36 percent for the 75-year period.

Lower death rates raise both the income (through increased taxable payroll) and the cost of the OASDI program. The relative increase in cost, however, exceeds the relative increase in taxable payroll. For any given year, reductions in the death rates for people who are age 62 and over (ages at which death rates are the highest) increase the number of retired-worker beneficiaries (and, therefore, the amount of retirement benefits paid) without adding significantly to the number of covered workers (and, therefore, to the taxable payroll). Reductions for people at age 50 to retirement eligibility age result

Appendices

in significant increases to the taxable payroll. However, those increases are not large enough to offset the sum of the additional retirement benefits mentioned above and the disability benefits paid to additional beneficiaries at these pre-retirement ages, which are ages of high disability incidence. At ages under 50, death rates are so low that even substantial reductions in death rates do not result in significant increases in the numbers of covered workers or beneficiaries. Consequently, if death rates decline by about the same relative amount for all ages, the cost increases faster than the rate of growth in payroll, which results in higher cost rates and lower actuarial balances. Each additional 0.1-percentage-point increase in the average annual rate of decline in the death rate decreases the long-range actuarial balance by about 0.14 percent of taxable payroll.

3. Immigration

Table VI.D3 shows OASDI income rates, cost rates, and actuarial balances under alternative II with three different assumptions about the magnitude of total net immigration (sum of net lawful permanent resident (LPR) immigration and net other-than-LPR immigration). See section V.A.3 for more information on immigration assumptions and methods. The Trustees assume annual levels of immigration and emigration, with total net annual immigration averaging 952,000 persons, 1,272,000 persons, and 1,607,000 persons over the long-range period under alternatives III, II, and I, respectively.

Table VI.D3.—Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to Total Net Immigration Assumptions
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Valuation period	Average annual total net immigration ^{a b}		
	952,000	1,272,000	1,607,000
Summarized income rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	14.62	14.59	14.56
50-year: 2018-67	14.04	14.01	13.98
75-year: 2018-92	13.88	13.84	13.81
Summarized cost rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	16.56	16.36	16.19
50-year: 2018-67	16.72	16.45	16.21
75-year: 2018-92	17.00	16.69	16.41
Actuarial balance:			
25-year: 2018-42	-1.93	-1.77	-1.62
50-year: 2018-67	-2.68	-2.45	-2.24
75-year: 2018-92	-3.12	-2.84	-2.60
Annual balance for 2092	-4.81	-4.32	-3.91
Year of combined trust fund reserve depletion	2034	2034	2035

^a Average annual total net immigration is the annual total net immigration to the Social Security area, including both LPR and other-than-LPR immigration, averaged over the 75-year projection period.

^b The average annual total net immigration assumptions used for this analysis are: 952,000 from the alternative III assumptions, 1,272,000 from the alternative II assumptions, and 1,607,000 from the alternative I assumptions. All other assumptions used for this analysis are from alternative II.

For all three periods, when total net immigration increases, the cost rate decreases. For the 25-year period, the cost rate decreases from 16.56 percent of taxable payroll (for average annual total net immigration of 952,000 persons) to 16.19 percent (for average annual total net immigration of 1,607,000 persons). For the 50-year period, it decreases from 16.72 percent to 16.21 percent, and for the 75-year period, it decreases from 17.00 percent to 16.41 percent. The actuarial balance increases from -1.93 to -1.62 percent for the 25-year period, from -2.68 to -2.24 percent for the 50-year period, and from -3.12 to -2.60 percent for the 75-year period.

The cost rate decreases with an increase in total net immigration because immigration occurs at relatively young ages, thereby increasing the numbers of covered workers earlier than the numbers of beneficiaries. Increasing average annual total net immigration by 100,000 persons improves the long-range actuarial balance by about 0.08 percent of taxable payroll.

4. Real-Wage Differential

Table VI.D4 shows OASDI income rates, cost rates, and actuarial balances on the basis of alternative II with three different assumptions about the real-wage differential. The Trustees assume the ultimate real-wage differential will be 0.58 percentage point, 1.20 percentage points, and 1.82 percentage points under alternatives III, II, and I, respectively. In each case, the ultimate annual increase in the CPI is 2.60 percent (consistent with alternative II). Therefore, the ultimate percentage increases in average annual wages in covered employment are 3.18, 3.80, and 4.42 percent.

For the 25-year period, the cost rate decreases from 17.16 percent (for a real-wage differential of 0.58 percentage point) to 15.58 percent (for a differential of 1.82 percentage points). For the 50-year period, it decreases from 17.61 to 15.34 percent, and for the 75-year period it decreases from 17.96 to 15.45 percent. The actuarial balance increases from -2.43 to -1.12 percent for the 25-year period, from -3.43 to -1.49 percent for the 50-year period, and from -3.93 to -1.78 percent for the 75-year period.

Appendices

Table VI.D4.—Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to Real-Wage Assumptions
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Valuation period	Ultimate percentage increase in wages-CPI ^{a b}		
	3.18-2.60	3.80-2.60	4.42-2.60
Summarized income rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	14.72	14.59	14.46
50-year: 2018-67	14.17	14.01	13.85
75-year: 2018-92	14.03	13.84	13.67
Summarized cost rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	17.16	16.36	15.58
50-year: 2018-67	17.61	16.45	15.34
75-year: 2018-92	17.96	16.69	15.45
Actuarial balance:			
25-year: 2018-42	-2.43	-1.77	-1.12
50-year: 2018-67	-3.43	-2.45	-1.49
75-year: 2018-92	-3.93	-2.84	-1.78
Annual balance for 2092	-6.26	-4.32	-2.62
Year of combined trust fund reserve depletion	2033	2034	2037

^a The first value in each pair is the ultimate annual percentage increase in average wages in covered employment. The second value is the ultimate annual percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index. The difference between the two values is the ultimate real-wage differential.

^b The ultimate real-wage differentials of 0.58, 1.20, and 1.82 percentage points are the same as in alternatives III, II, and I, respectively. All other assumptions used for this analysis are from alternative II.

The cost rate decreases with increasing real-wage differentials. Higher wages increase taxable payroll immediately, but they increase benefit levels only gradually as new beneficiaries become entitled. In addition, cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs) to benefits depend not on changes in wages, but on changes in prices. Each 0.1-percentage-point increase in the real-wage differential increases the long-range actuarial balance by about 0.17 percent of taxable payroll.

5. Consumer Price Index

Table VI.D5 shows OASDI income rates, cost rates, and actuarial balances on the basis of alternative II with three different assumptions about the rate of increase for the Consumer Price Index (CPI). The Trustees assume the annual increase in the CPI will be 3.20 percent, 2.60 percent, and 2.00 percent under alternatives I, II, and III, respectively.¹ In each case, the ultimate real-wage differential is 1.20 percentage points (consistent with alternative II), yielding ultimate percentage increases in average annual wages in covered employment of 4.40, 3.80, and 3.20 percent.

¹ Prior to the 2014 report, alternative I included a lower ultimate annual change in the CPI and alternative III included a higher ultimate annual change in the CPI than was included for alternative II.

Long-Range Sensitivity Analysis

Table VI.D5.—Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to CPI-Increase Assumptions
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Valuation period	Ultimate percentage increase in wages-CPI ^{a b}		
	4.40-3.20	3.80-2.60	3.20-2.00
Summarized income rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	14.57	14.59	14.61
50-year: 2018-67	13.99	14.01	14.02
75-year: 2018-92	13.83	13.84	13.86
Summarized cost rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	16.26	16.36	16.46
50-year: 2018-67	16.32	16.45	16.58
75-year: 2018-92	16.54	16.69	16.83
Actuarial balance:			
25-year: 2018-42	-1.69	-1.77	-1.84
50-year: 2018-67	-2.33	-2.45	-2.56
75-year: 2018-92	-2.71	-2.84	-2.97
Annual balance for 2092	-4.14	-4.32	-4.48
Year of combined trust fund reserve depletion	2035	2034	2034

^a The first value in each pair is the ultimate annual percentage increase in average wages in covered employment. The second value is the ultimate annual percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index. The difference between the two values is the ultimate real-wage differential.

^b The ultimate CPI increases of 3.20, 2.60, and 2.00 percent are the same as in alternatives I, II, and III, respectively. The ultimate real-wage differential of 1.20 percentage points is the same as in alternative II. All other assumptions used for this analysis are also from alternative II.

For all three periods, the cost rate increases when the assumed rates of increase in the CPI are smaller. For the 25-year period, the cost rate increases from 16.26 (for CPI increases of 3.20 percent) to 16.46 percent (for CPI increases of 2.00 percent). For the 50-year period, it increases from 16.32 to 16.58 percent, and for the 75-year period, it increases from 16.54 to 16.83 percent. The actuarial balance decreases from -1.69 to -1.84 percent for the 25-year period, from -2.33 to -2.56 percent for the 50-year period, and from -2.71 to -2.97 percent for the 75-year period.

The time lag between the effects of the CPI changes on taxable payroll and on scheduled benefits explains these patterns. When the rate of increase in the CPI is greater and the real-wage differential is constant, then: (1) the effect on taxable payroll due to a greater rate of increase in average wages occurs immediately and (2) the effect on benefits due to a larger COLA occurs with a lag of about 1 year. As a result of these effects, the higher taxable payrolls have a stronger effect than the higher benefits, which results in lower cost rates. Each 0.1-percentage-point decrease in the rate of the change in the CPI decreases the long-range actuarial balance by about 0.02 percent of taxable payroll.

Appendices

6. Real Interest Rate

Table VI.D6 shows OASDI income rates, cost rates, and actuarial balances under alternative II with three different assumptions about the annual real interest rate (compounded semiannually) for special public-debt obligations issuable to the trust funds. The Trustees assume that the ultimate annual real interest rate will be 2.2 percent, 2.7 percent, and 3.2 percent under alternatives III, II, and I, respectively. In each case, the ultimate annual increase in the CPI is 2.60 percent, which is consistent with alternative II. Therefore, the ultimate annual yields are 4.9, 5.4, and 5.9 percent, respectively.

Table VI.D6.—Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to Real-Interest Assumptions
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Valuation period	Ultimate annual real interest rate ^{a b}		
	2.2 percent	2.7 percent	3.2 percent
Summarized income rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	14.54	14.59	14.65
50-year: 2018-67	13.94	14.01	14.08
75-year: 2018-92	13.77	13.84	13.92
Summarized cost rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	16.43	16.36	16.29
50-year: 2018-67	16.54	16.45	16.37
75-year: 2018-92	16.80	16.69	16.57
Actuarial balance:			
25-year: 2018-42	-1.89	-1.77	-1.65
50-year: 2018-67	-2.60	-2.45	-2.30
75-year: 2018-92	-3.03	-2.84	-2.65
Annual balance for 2092	-4.32	-4.32	-4.32
Year of combined trust fund reserve depletion	2034	2034	2035

^a The ultimate real interest rate is the effective annual yield on asset reserves held by the trust funds divided by the annual rate of growth in the CPI.

^b The ultimate annual real interest rates used for this analysis are: 2.2 percent from the alternative III assumptions, 2.7 percent from the alternative II assumptions, and 3.2 percent from the alternative I assumptions. All other assumptions used for this analysis are from alternative II.

For the 25-year period, the cost rate decreases with increasing real interest rates from 16.43 percent (for an ultimate real interest rate of 2.2 percent) to 16.29 percent (for an ultimate real interest rate of 3.2 percent). For the 50-year period, it decreases from 16.54 to 16.37 percent and, for the 75-year period, it decreases from 16.80 to 16.57 percent. The actuarial balance increases from -1.89 to -1.65 percent for the 25-year period, from -2.60 to -2.30 percent for the 50-year period, and from -3.03 to -2.65 percent for the 75-year period. Each 0.1-percentage-point increase in the real interest rate increases the long-range actuarial balance by about 0.04 percent of taxable payroll.

7. Taxable Ratio

Table VI.D7 shows OASDI income rates, cost rates, and actuarial balances under alternative II with three different assumptions about the ratio of taxable payroll to covered earnings (the taxable ratio). Note that covered earnings are the sum of wages and net self-employment earnings covered by Social Security, and taxable payroll is essentially the amount of covered earnings subject to the Social Security payroll tax up to the contribution and benefit base (\$128,400 for 2018). The Trustees assume that the ultimate taxable ratio will be 81.0 percent, 82.5 percent, and 84.0 percent under alternatives III, II, and I, respectively.

Table VI.D7.—Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to Taxable Ratio Assumptions
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Valuation period	Ultimate taxable ratio ^{a b}		
	81.0 percent	82.5 percent	84.0 percent
Summarized income rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	14.63	14.59	14.56
50-year: 2018-67	14.03	14.01	13.98
75-year: 2018-92	13.86	13.84	13.82
Summarized cost rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	16.59	16.36	16.14
50-year: 2018-67	16.67	16.45	16.25
75-year: 2018-92	16.87	16.69	16.50
Actuarial balance:			
25-year: 2018-42	-1.97	-1.77	-1.58
50-year: 2018-67	-2.63	-2.45	-2.26
75-year: 2018-92	-3.01	-2.84	-2.68
Annual balance for 2092	-4.43	-4.32	-4.21
Year of combined trust fund reserve depletion	2033	2034	2035

^a The taxable ratio is the ratio of taxable payroll to covered earnings. These concepts are described in further detail in section V.C.6 of this report.

^b The ultimate taxable ratios used for this analysis are: 81.0 percent from the alternative III assumptions, 82.5 percent from the alternative II assumptions, and 84.0 percent from the alternative I assumptions. All other assumptions used for this analysis are from alternative II.

Because the combined employee-employer tax rate of 12.4 percent is unchanged across all alternatives, the income rate changes a relatively small amount as the taxable ratio increases, due to changes in taxation of benefits and the initial fund as a percentage of taxable payroll.

For the 25-year period, the cost rate decreases with increasing taxable ratios, from 16.59 percent (for an ultimate taxable ratio of 81.0 percent) to 16.14 percent (for an ultimate taxable ratio of 84.0 percent). For the 50-year period, it decreases from 16.67 to 16.25 percent and, for the 75-year period, it decreases from 16.87 to 16.50 percent. The actuarial balance increases from -1.97 to -1.58 percent for the 25-year period, from -2.63 to

Appendices

-2.26 percent for the 50-year period, and from -3.01 to -2.68 for the 75-year period.

The cost rate decreases with an increase in taxable payroll because the increase in taxable payroll occurs immediately. The increase in benefit amounts occurs much more gradually as new beneficiaries become entitled. In addition, the change in the taxable ratio does not affect COLAs or AWIs. Each 1.0 percentage-point increase in the ultimate taxable ratio increases (improves) the long-range actuarial balance by about 0.11 percent of taxable payroll.

8. Disability Incidence Rates

Table VI.D8 shows OASDI income rates, cost rates, and actuarial balances on the basis of alternative II with three different assumptions concerning future disability incidence rates. The Trustees assume that the ultimate age-sex-adjusted incidence rates will be 4.3, 5.4, and 6.4 awards per thousand exposed for alternatives I, II, and III, respectively. These levels are about 17 percent lower, 4 percent higher, and 23 percent higher, respectively, than the average incidence rate for the historical period 1970 through 2017. For all three alternatives, the Trustees assume that incidence rates by age and sex will vary during the early years of the projection period before attaining ultimate levels.

Table VI.D8.—Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to Disability Incidence Assumptions
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Valuation period	Disability incidence rates ^a based on alternative—		
	I	II	III
Summarized income rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	14.59	14.59	14.59
50-year: 2018-67	14.00	14.01	14.01
75-year: 2018-92	13.84	13.84	13.85
Summarized cost rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	16.16	16.36	16.56
50-year: 2018-67	16.20	16.45	16.70
75-year: 2018-92	16.42	16.69	16.95
Actuarial balance:			
25-year: 2018-42	-1.57	-1.77	-1.96
50-year: 2018-67	-2.19	-2.45	-2.69
75-year: 2018-92	-2.58	-2.84	-3.10
Annual balance for 2092	-4.02	-4.32	-4.61
Year of combined trust fund reserve depletion	2035	2034	2034

^a The ultimate age-sex-adjusted incidence rates used for this analysis are: 4.3 awards per thousand exposed for the alternative I assumptions, 5.4 awards per thousand exposed for the alternative II assumptions, and 6.4 awards per thousand exposed for the alternative III assumptions. All other assumptions used for this analysis are from alternative II.

For the 25-year period, the cost rate increases with increasing disability incidence rates, from 16.16 percent (for the relatively low rates assumed for alternative I) to 16.56 percent (for the relatively high rates assumed for alternative III). For the 50-year period, it increases from 16.20 to 16.70 percent, and for the 75-year period, it increases from 16.42 to 16.95 percent. The actuarial balance decreases from -1.57 to -1.96 percent for the 25-year period, from -2.19 to -2.69 percent for the 50-year period, and from -2.58 to -3.10 percent for the 75-year period.

9. Disability Termination Rates

Table VI.D9 shows OASDI income rates, cost rates, and actuarial balances on the basis of alternative II with three different assumptions about future disability termination rates, including deaths and recoveries up to the age at which disabled-worker beneficiaries convert to retired-worker status.

For all three alternatives, the Trustees assume that death rates for disabled-worker beneficiaries will decline throughout the long-range period. The Trustees assume that the age-sex-adjusted¹ death rate of 25.4 deaths per thousand disabled-worker beneficiaries in 2017 will decline to 18.4, 11.6, and 6.9 deaths per thousand in 2092 for alternatives I, II, and III, respectively. These levels are about 28 percent, 54 percent, and 73 percent lower, respectively, than the level in 2017.

For all three alternatives, ultimate recovery rates by age, sex, and duration are attained in the twentieth year of the projection period. For alternative I, the age-sex-adjusted¹ recovery rate for 2037 is about 13 recoveries per thousand disabled-worker beneficiaries. For alternative II, the age-sex-adjusted recovery rate for 2037 is about 10 recoveries per thousand disabled-worker beneficiaries. For alternative III, the age-sex-adjusted recovery rate for 2037 is about 8 recoveries per thousand disabled-worker beneficiaries.

¹ Age adjusted to the total disabled workers in current-payment status as of the year 2000.

Appendices

Table VI.D9.—Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to Disability Termination Assumptions
[As a percentage of taxable payroll]

Valuation period	Disability termination rates ^a based on alternative—		
	I	II	III
Summarized income rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	14.59	14.59	14.59
50-year: 2018-67	14.01	14.01	14.01
75-year: 2018-92	13.84	13.84	13.84
Summarized cost rate:			
25-year: 2018-42	16.33	16.36	16.39
50-year: 2018-67	16.42	16.45	16.48
75-year: 2018-92	16.66	16.69	16.70
Actuarial balance:			
25-year: 2018-42	-1.74	-1.77	-1.80
50-year: 2018-67	-2.41	-2.45	-2.48
75-year: 2018-92	-2.81	-2.84	-2.86
Annual balance for 2092	-4.31	-4.32	-4.30
Year of combined trust fund reserve depletion	2034	2034	2034

^a The age-sex-adjusted death rates in 2092 used for this analysis are: 18.4 deaths per thousand disabled-worker beneficiaries for the alternative I assumptions, 11.6 deaths per thousand disabled-worker beneficiaries for the alternative II assumptions, and 6.9 deaths per thousand disabled-worker beneficiaries for the alternative III assumptions. The ultimate age-sex-adjusted recovery rates used for this analysis are: 13 recoveries per thousand disabled-worker beneficiaries for the alternative I assumptions, 10 recoveries per thousand disabled-worker beneficiaries for the alternative II assumptions, and 8 recoveries per thousand disabled-worker beneficiaries for the alternative III assumptions. All other assumptions used for this analysis are from alternative II.

For the 25-year period, the cost rate increases with decreasing disability termination rates, from 16.33 percent (for the relatively high termination rates assumed for alternative I) to 16.39 percent (for the relatively low termination rates assumed for alternative III). For the 50-year period, it increases from 16.42 to 16.48 percent, and for the 75-year period, it increases from 16.66 to 16.70 percent. The actuarial balance decreases from -1.74 to -1.80 percent for the 25-year period, from -2.41 to -2.48 percent for the 50-year period, and from -2.81 to -2.86 percent for the 75-year period.

E. STOCHASTIC PROJECTIONS AND UNCERTAINTY

Significant uncertainty surrounds the estimates under the intermediate assumptions, especially for a period as long as 75 years. This appendix presents stochastic projections, a way to illustrate the uncertainty of these estimates. The stochastic projections supplement the traditional methods of examining such uncertainty.

1. Background

The Trustees have traditionally shown estimates using the low-cost and high-cost sets of specified assumptions to illustrate the potential implications of uncertainty. These alternative estimates provide a range of possible outcomes for the projections. However, they do not provide an indication of the probability that actual future experience will be inside or outside this range. This appendix presents the results of a model, based on stochastic modeling techniques, that estimates a probability distribution of future outcomes of the financial status of the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds. This model, which was first included in the 2003 report, is subject to further development in the future, most notably by incorporating parameter uncertainty. This will allow the stochastic model to better reflect the uncertainty in the estimates of the underlying factors for these projections.

2. Stochastic Methodology

Other sections of this report provide estimates of the financial status of the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds using a scenario-based model. For the scenario-based model, the Trustees use three alternative scenarios (low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost) that use specific assumptions about levels of fertility, rates of change in mortality, lawful permanent resident (LPR) and other-than-LPR immigration levels, legal and other-than-LPR emigration levels, changes in the Consumer Price Index, changes in average real wages, unemployment rates, trust fund real yield rates, and disability incidence and recovery rates. In general, the Trustees assume that each of these variables will reach an ultimate value at a specific point during the long-range period, and will maintain that value throughout the remainder of the period. The three alternative scenarios assume separate, specified values for each of these variables. Chapter V contains more details about each of these assumptions.

This appendix presents estimates of the probability that key measures of OASDI solvency will fall in certain ranges, based on 5,000 independent stochastic simulations. Each simulation allows the above variables to vary throughout the long-range period. The fluctuation of each variable over time

Appendices

is simulated using historical data and standard time-series techniques. Generally, each variable is modeled using an equation that: (1) captures a relationship between current and prior years' values of the variable, and (2) introduces year-by-year random variation based on variation observed in the historical period. For some variables, the equations also reflect relationships with other variables. The equations contain parameters that are estimated using historical data for periods from 11 years to over 110 years, depending on the nature and quality of the available data. Each time-series equation is designed so that, in the absence of random variation over time, the value of the variable for each year equals its value under the intermediate assumptions.¹

For each simulation, the stochastic method develops year-by-year random variation for each variable using Monte Carlo techniques. Each simulation produces an estimate of the financial status of the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds. This appendix shows the distribution of results from 5,000 simulations of the model.

Readers should interpret the results from this model with caution and with an understanding of the model's limitations. Results are sensitive to equation specifications, degrees of interdependence among variables, and the historical periods used for estimating model coefficients. For some variables, recent historical variation may not provide a realistic representation of the potential variation for the future. Also, results would differ if additional variables (such as labor force participation rates, retirement rates, marriage rates, and divorce rates) were also allowed to vary randomly. Furthermore, more variability would result if statistical approaches were used to model uncertainty in the central tendencies of the variables. Time-series modeling reflects only what occurred in the historical period. Future uncertainty exists not only for the underlying central tendency but also for the frequency and size of occasional longer-term shifts in the central tendency. Many experts predict, and history suggests, that the future will likely bring substantial shifts that are not fully reflected in the historical period used for the current model. As a result, readers should understand that the true range of uncertainty is larger than indicated in this appendix.

¹ More detail on this model, and stochastic modeling in general, is available at www.ssa.gov/OACT/stochastic/index.html.

3. Stochastic Results

This section illustrates the results for the stochastic simulations of two fundamental measures of actuarial status: the annual cost rates and the trust fund ratio. The latter measure is highlighted in the Overview of this report. Section 4 follows with a comparison of stochastic results to results from the alternative scenarios for these and other measures, and an analysis of the differences.

Figure VI.E1 displays the probability distribution of the year-by-year OASDI cost rates (that is, cost as a percentage of taxable payroll). The range of the annual cost rates widens as the projections move further into the future, which reflects increasing uncertainty. Because there is relatively little variation in income rates across the 5,000 stochastic simulations, the figure includes the income rate only under the intermediate assumptions. The two outermost lines in this figure indicate the range within which future annual cost rates are projected to occur 95 percent of the time (i.e., a 95-percent confidence interval). In other words, the current model estimates that there is a 2.5 percent probability that the cost rate for a given year will exceed the upper end of this range and a 2.5 percent probability that it will fall below the lower end of this range. Other lines in the figure delineate additional confidence intervals (80-percent, 60-percent, 40-percent, and 20-percent) around future annual cost rates. The median (50th percentile) cost rate for each year is the rate for which half of the simulated outcomes are higher and half are lower for that year. These lines do not represent the results of individual stochastic simulations. Instead, for each given year, they represent the percentile distribution of annual cost rates based on all stochastic simulations for that year.

Figure VI.E1.—Long-Range OASDI Cost Rates From Stochastic Modeling





Figure VI.E2 presents the simulated probability distribution of the annual trust fund ratios for the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds. The lines in this figure display the median set (50th percentile) of estimated annual trust fund ratios and delineate the 95-percent, 80-percent, 60-percent, 40-percent, and 20-percent confidence intervals estimated for future annual trust fund ratios. Again, none of these lines represent the path of a single simulation. For each given year, they represent the percentile distribution of trust fund ratios based on all stochastic simulations for that year.

Figure VI.E2 shows that the 95-percent confidence interval for the trust fund depletion year ranges from 2030 to 2043, and that there is a 50-percent probability of trust fund depletion by the end of 2034 (the median depletion year). The median depletion year is the same as the Trustees project under the intermediate assumptions. The figure also shows confidence intervals for the trust fund ratio in each year. For example, the 95-percent confidence interval for the trust fund ratio at the beginning of 2025 ranges from 216 to 132 percent of annual cost.

Figure VI.E2.—Long-Range OASDI Trust Fund Ratios From Stochastic Modeling



4. Comparison of Results: Stochastic to Low-Cost, Intermediate, and High-Cost Alternatives

This section compares results from two different approaches for illustrating ranges of uncertainty for trust fund actuarial status. One approach uses results from the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost alternative scenarios. The other approach uses distributions of results from the stochastic simulations. Each of these approaches provides insights into uncertainty. Comparing the results requires an understanding of fundamental differences in the approaches.

One fundamental difference relates to the presentation of distributional results. Figure VI.E3 shows projected OASDI annual cost rates for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost alternatives along with the annual cost rates at the 97.5th percentile, 50th percentile, and 2.5th percentile for the stochastic simulations. While all values on each line for the alternatives are results from a single specified scenario, the values on each stochastic line may be results from different simulations for different years. The one stochastic simulation (from the 5,000 simulations) that yields results closest to a particular


Appendices

percentile for one projected year may yield results that are distant from that percentile in another projected year.

Because each stochastic simulation shows substantial variability from year to year, the range shown between the 97.5th and 2.5th percentiles is broader than would be seen if simulations followed a smooth trend like in the alternatives. In spite of this effect, the range from high-cost to low-cost annual rates for the stochastic distribution is generally contained slightly within the range for the high-cost and low-cost alternatives. With introduction of parameter uncertainty for the stochastic simulations expected in future reports, the range for the 95-percent confidence interval is expected to expand.

Both the alternatives and the stochastic results suggest that the range of potential cost rates above the central levels (those for the intermediate alternative and for the median, respectively) is larger than the range below these central results. The difference between the central results and the higher cost levels (the high-cost alternative and the upper end of the 95-percent confidence range, respectively) is about 1.5 times as large as the difference between the central and lower cost levels for both models by the end of the projection period.

Figure VLE3.—OASDI Cost Rates: Comparison of Stochastic to Low-Cost, Intermediate, and High-Cost Alternatives
 [As a percentage of taxable payroll]




Another fundamental difference between the alternatives and the stochastic simulations is the method of assigning values for assumptions. For the alternatives, specific values are assigned for each of the key demographic, economic, and program-specific variables. The high-cost alternative uses parameter values that increase estimated annual cost as a percent of payroll, while the low-cost alternative uses parameter values that decrease annual cost as a percent of payroll. (One parameter, the interest rate, has no effect on annual cost as a percent of payroll for either the alternatives or the stochastic simulations.) In contrast, the stochastic method essentially randomly assigns values for each of the key demographic and economic variables for each year in each of the 5,000 independent stochastic simulations. For each of the stochastic simulations, randomly assigned values for different variables result in varying and often offsetting effects on projected cost as a percent of payroll, with some tending toward higher cost and some tending toward lower cost. This difference tends to reduce the range of cost as a percent of payroll across the 95-percent confidence interval. Again, the future introduction of parameter uncertainty is expected to broaden this range.

It is important to understand that the stochastic model's 95-percent confidence intervals for any summary measure of trust fund finances would tend to be narrower than the range produced for the low-cost and high-cost alternatives, even if the stochastic model's 95-percent confidence interval for annual cost rates were identical to the range defined by the low-cost and high-cost projections. This is true because summary measures of trust fund finances depend on cost rates for many years, and the probability that annual cost rates, on average for individual stochastic simulations, will be at least as low (high) as the 2.5 (97.5) percentile line is significantly lower than 2.5 percent. As a result, the relationship between the ranges presented for annual cost rates and summary measures of trust fund finances is fundamentally different for the stochastic model than it is for the low-cost and high-cost alternatives.

Figure VI.E4 compares the ranges of trust fund (unfunded obligation) ratios for the alternative scenarios to the 95-percent confidence interval of the stochastic simulations. This figure extends figure VI.E2 to show unfunded obligation ratios, expressed as negative values below the zero percent line. An unfunded obligation ratio is the ratio of the unfunded obligation accumulated through the beginning of the year to the cost for that year.

Appendices

Figure VI.E4.—OASDI Trust Fund (Unfunded Obligation) Ratios: Comparison of Stochastic to Low-Cost, Intermediate, and High-Cost Alternatives^a
 [Asset reserves (unfunded obligation) as a percentage of annual cost]



^a An unfunded obligation, shown as a negative value in this figure, is equivalent to the amount the trust funds would need to have borrowed to date in order to pay all scheduled benefits (on a timely basis) after trust fund asset reserves are depleted. Note that current law does not permit the trust funds to borrow.

As mentioned above, a summary measure that accumulates annual values tends to smooth the kind of annual fluctuations that occur in stochastic simulations. Therefore, one might expect the range across the stochastic confidence interval for trust fund (unfunded obligation) ratios to be narrower and fall within the range seen across the high-cost and low-cost alternatives, as it does for the actuarial balance measure. But this is not the case, largely due to the way interest rates are assigned.

For the stochastic model, real interest rates for each simulation are assigned essentially randomly, so the rate for compounding of trust fund reserves (unfunded obligations) is essentially uncorrelated with the level of cost as a percent of payroll. On the other hand, real interest rates are assigned to be higher for the low-cost alternative and lower for the high-cost alternative. High interest rates raise the level of the positive trust fund ratio in the low-cost alternative somewhat, but this effect is limited because the magnitude of reserves is small. However, low interest rates substantially reduce the magnitude of the unfunded obligation ratio for the high-cost alternative because the

magnitude of unfunded obligations is relatively large. As a result, the trust fund (unfunded obligation) ratios are shifted, albeit unevenly, higher (or less negative) for both the high-cost and low-cost alternatives.

This interest rate effect on the alternatives is not as evident for some other summary measures of actuarial status, such as the actuarial balance. Because the actuarial balance reflects the cumulative effects of interest in both its numerator and denominator, the interest rate effect is much less pronounced. In contrast, cumulative interest affects only the numerator of the trust fund (unfunded obligation) ratio. There is also no significant interest rate effect on the trust fund depletion date.

Other factors also contribute, to varying degrees, to the difference in ranges between the results of the alternative scenarios and the stochastic simulations. The contrasts in results and methods do not mean that either approach to illustrating ranges of uncertainty is superior to the other. The ranges are different and explainable.

Table VI.E1 displays long-range actuarial estimates for the combined OASDI program using the two methods of illustrating uncertainty: alternative scenarios and stochastic simulations. The table shows scenario-based estimates for the intermediate, low-cost, and high-cost assumptions. It also shows stochastic estimates for the median (50th percentile) and for the 80-percent and 95-percent confidence intervals. Each individual stochastic estimate in the table is the level at that percentile from the distribution of the 5,000 simulations. For each given percentile, the values in the table for each long-range actuarial measure are generally from different stochastic simulations.

The median stochastic estimates displayed in table VI.E1 are similar to the intermediate scenario-based estimates. The median estimate of the long-range actuarial balance is -2.86 percent of taxable payroll, about 0.02 percentage point lower than projected under the intermediate assumptions. The median estimate for the open group unfunded obligation is \$13.3 trillion, slightly higher than the \$13.2 trillion estimate under the intermediate assumptions. The median first projected year that cost exceeds non-interest income (as it did in 2010 through 2017), and remains in excess of non-interest income throughout the remainder of the long-range period, is 2018. This is the same year as projected under the intermediate assumptions. The median year that asset reserves first become depleted is 2034, also the same as projected under the intermediate assumptions. The median estimates of the annual cost rate for the 75th year of the projection period are 18.07 percent of taxable payroll and 6.25 percent of gross domestic product

Appendices

(GDP). The comparable estimates under the intermediate assumptions are 17.68 percent of payroll and 6.12 percent of GDP.

For three measures in table VI.E1 (the actuarial balance, the first projected year cost exceeds non-interest income and remains in excess through 2092, and the first year asset reserves become depleted), the 95-percent stochastic confidence interval falls within the range defined by the low-cost and high-cost alternatives. For the remaining three measures (the open group unfunded obligation, the annual cost in the 75th year as a percent of taxable payroll, and the annual cost in the 75th year as a percent of GDP), one or both of the bounds of the 95-percent stochastic confidence interval fall outside the range defined by the low-cost and high-cost alternatives.

Table VI.E1.—Long-Range Estimates Relating to the Actuarial Status of the Combined OASDI Program
[Comparison of scenario-based and stochastic results]

	Traditional scenario-based model			Stochastic model				
	Interme- diate	Low- cost	High- cost	Median 50th percentile	80-percent confidence interval		95-percent confidence interval	
					10th percentile	90th percentile	2.5th percentile	97.5th percentile
Actuarial balance	-2.84	0.13	-6.62	-2.86	-4.28	-1.70	-5.10	-1.10
Open group unfunded obligation (in trillions)	\$13.2	-\$1.6	\$25.8	\$13.3	\$6.9	\$23.8	\$4.3	\$31.7
First projected year cost exceeds non-interest income and remains in excess through 2092 ^a	2018	^b	2018	2018	2018	2026	2018	2091
First year asset reserves become depleted ^c	2034	^d	2030	2034	2032	2039	2030	2043
Annual cost in 75th year (percent of taxable payroll)	17.68	12.80	25.03	18.07	14.88	22.44	13.37	25.31
Annual cost in 75th year (percent of GDP)	6.12	4.83	7.95	6.25	5.18	7.71	4.67	8.64

^a Cost also exceeded non-interest income in 2010 through 2017.

^b The annual balance is projected to be negative for a temporary period, returning to positive levels before the end of the projection period.

^c For some stochastic simulations, the first year in which trust fund reserves become depleted does not indicate a permanent depletion of reserves.

^d Trust fund reserves are not estimated to be depleted within the projection period.

F. INFINITE HORIZON PROJECTIONS

Another measure of trust fund financial status is the infinite horizon unfunded obligation, which takes account of all past and future annual balances, even those after the next 75 years. The extension of the time period past 75 years assumes that the current law for the OASDI program and the demographic and economic trends used for the 75-year projection continue indefinitely.

Table VI.F1 shows that the OASDI open group unfunded obligation over the infinite horizon is \$34.3 trillion in present value, which is \$21.2 trillion larger than for the 75-year period. The \$21.2 trillion increment reflects a significant financing gap projected for OASDI for years after 2092 into perpetuity. Of course, the degree of uncertainty associated with estimates increases substantially for years further in the future.

The \$34.3 trillion infinite horizon open group unfunded obligation is equivalent to 4.0 percent of taxable payroll or 1.3 percent of GDP. These relative measures of the unfunded obligation over the infinite horizon express its magnitude in relation to the resources potentially available to finance the shortfall.

The summarized shortfalls for the 75-year period and through the infinite horizon both reflect annual cash-flow shortfalls for all years after trust fund reserve depletion. The annual shortfalls after trust fund reserve depletion rise slowly and reflect increases in life expectancy after 2034. The summarized shortfalls over the infinite horizon, as percentages of taxable payroll and GDP, are larger than the shortfalls for the 75-year period.

To illustrate the magnitude of the projected infinite horizon shortfall, consider that it could be eliminated with additional revenue equivalent to an immediate increase in the combined payroll tax rate from 12.4 percent to about 16.6 percent,¹ or with cost reductions equivalent to an immediate and permanent reduction in benefits for all current and future beneficiaries by about 24 percent.

¹ The indicated increase in the payroll tax rate of 4.2 percent is somewhat larger than the 4.0 percent infinite horizon actuarial deficit because the indicated increase reflects a behavioral response to tax rate changes. In particular, the calculation assumes that an increase in payroll taxes results in a small shift of wages and salaries to forms of employee compensation that are not subject to the payroll tax.

Appendices

**Table VI.F1.—Unfunded OASDI Obligations Through the Infinite Horizon,
Based on Intermediate Assumptions**
[Present values as of January 1, 2018; dollar amounts in trillions]

	Present value	Expressed as a percentage of future payroll and GDP	
		Taxable payroll	GDP
Unfunded obligation through the infinite horizon ^a	\$34.3	4.0	1.3
Unfunded obligation through 2092 ^b	13.2	2.7	1.0

^a Present value of future cost less future non-interest income, reduced by the amount of trust fund asset reserves at the beginning of 2018. Expressed as a percentage of payroll and GDP for the period 2018 through the infinite horizon.

^b Present value of future cost less future non-interest income through 2092, reduced by the amount of trust fund reserves at the beginning of 2018. Expressed as a percentage of payroll and GDP for the period 2018 through 2092.

Notes:

1. The present values of future taxable payroll for 2018-92 and for 2018 through the infinite horizon are \$491.1 trillion and \$858.7 trillion, respectively.

2. The present values of GDP for 2018-92 and for 2018 through the infinite horizon are \$1,373.2 trillion and \$2,544.9 trillion, respectively. Present values of GDP shown in the Medicare Trustees Report differ slightly due to the use of discount rates that are specific to each program’s trust fund holdings.

Last year, the Trustees projected that the infinite horizon unfunded obligation was \$34.2 trillion in present value. If the assumptions, methods, and starting values had not changed, moving the valuation date forward by 1 year would have increased the unfunded obligation by about \$1.0 trillion, to \$35.2 trillion. The net effects of changes in assumptions, methods, law, and starting values decreased the infinite horizon unfunded obligation by \$0.9 trillion.

The infinite horizon unfunded obligation is 0.2 percentage point lower than in last year’s report when expressed as a share of taxable payroll, and is 0.1 percentage point lower than last year when expressed as a share of GDP. See section IV.B.6 for details regarding changes in law, data, methods, and assumptions.

a. Unfunded Obligations for Past, Current, and Future Participants

Table VI.F2 separates the components of the infinite horizon unfunded obligation (with the exception of General Fund reimbursements) among past, current, and future participants. The table does not separate past General Fund reimbursements among participants because there is no clear basis for attributing the reimbursements across generations.

Past participants are defined as those no longer alive as of the valuation date. Current participants are those age 15 and older as of 2018. Future participants are those under age 15 or not yet born.

Infinite Horizon Projections

The excess of the present value of cost for past and current participants over the present value of dedicated tax income for past and current participants produces an unfunded obligation for past and current participants of \$33.0 trillion. Table VI.F2 also shows an unfunded obligation of \$32.4 trillion for past and current participants, including past and future General Fund reimbursements. Future participants are scheduled to pay dedicated taxes of \$1.9 trillion less into the system than the cost of their scheduled benefits (\$81.3 trillion of dedicated tax income as compared to \$83.2 trillion of cost). The unfunded obligation for all participants through the infinite horizon thus equals \$34.3 trillion.

Making Social Security solvent over the infinite horizon requires some combination of increased revenue or reduced benefits for current and future participants amounting to \$34.3 trillion in present value, 4.0 percent of future taxable payroll, or 1.3 percent of future GDP.

Appendices

Table VI.F2.—Present Values of OASDI Cost Less Non-interest Income and Unfunded Obligations for Program Participants, Based on Intermediate Assumptions

[Present values as of January 1, 2018; dollar amounts in trillions]

	Present value	Expressed as a percentage of future payroll and GDP	
		Taxable payroll	GDP
Present value of past cost	\$61.9	7.2	2.4
Less present value of past dedicated tax income	64.1	7.5	2.5
Plus present value of future cost for current participants	68.6	8.0	2.7
Less present value of future dedicated tax income for current participants	33.3	3.9	1.3
Equals unfunded obligation for past and current participants excluding General Fund reimbursements	33.0	3.8	1.3
Less present value of past General Fund reimbursements ^a6	.1	^b
Less present value of future General Fund reimbursements over the infinite horizon ^a	c	d	b
Equals unfunded obligation for past and current participants including General Fund reimbursements	32.4	3.8	1.3
Plus present value of cost for future participants over the infinite horizon	83.2	9.7	3.3
Less present value of dedicated tax income for future participants over the infinite horizon	81.3	9.5	3.2
Equals unfunded obligation for all participants through the infinite horizon	34.3	4.0	1.3

^a Distribution of General Fund reimbursements among past, current, and future participants cannot be determined.

^b Less than 0.05 percent of GDP.

^c Less than \$50 billion.

^d Less than 0.05 percent of taxable payroll.

Notes:

1. The present value of future taxable payroll for 2018 through the infinite horizon is \$858.7 trillion.
2. The present value of GDP for 2018 through the infinite horizon is \$2,544.9 trillion.
3. Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

G. ESTIMATES FOR OASDI AND HI, SEPARATE AND COMBINED

In this appendix, the Trustees present long-range actuarial estimates for the OASDI and Hospital Insurance (HI) programs both separately and on a combined basis. These estimates facilitate analysis of the adequacy of the income and asset reserves of these programs relative to their cost under current law. This appendix does not include estimates for the Supplementary Medical Insurance (SMI) program because adequate financing is guaranteed in the law and because the SMI program is not financed through a payroll tax. For more information on Medicare estimates, please see the 2018 Medicare Trustees Report.

The information in this appendix on combined operations, while significant, should not obscure the analysis of the financial status of the individual trust funds, which are legally separate and cannot be commingled. In addition, the factors which determine the costs of the OASI, DI, and HI programs differ substantially.

1. Estimates as a Percentage of Taxable Payroll

Comparing cost and income rates for the OASDI and HI programs as percentages of taxable payroll requires a note of caution. The taxable payrolls for the HI program are larger than those estimated for the OASDI program because: (1) a larger maximum taxable amount was established for the HI program in 1991, with the maximum eliminated altogether for the HI program in 1994; (2) larger proportions of Federal, State, and local government employees are covered under the HI program; and (3) the earnings of railroad workers are included directly in the HI taxable payroll but are not included in the OASDI taxable payroll. (Railroad worker contributions for the equivalent of OASDI benefits are accounted for in a net interchange that occurs annually between the OASDI and Railroad Retirement programs.) As a result, the HI taxable payroll is about 25 percent larger than the OASDI taxable payroll throughout the long-range period.

As with the OASI and DI Trust Funds, income to the HI Trust Fund comes primarily from contributions paid by employees, employers, and self-employed persons. Table VI.G1 shows the OASDI and HI contribution rates that are authorized in the Federal Insurance Contributions Act.

Appendices

Table VI.G1.—Payroll Tax Contribution Rates for the OASDI and HI Programs
[In percent]

Calendar years	Employees and employers, combined ^a		Employees only	Self employed ^b		
	OASDI up to base ^c	HI all earnings ^d	HI over limit ^e	OASDI up to base ^c	HI all earnings ^d	HI over limit ^e
1966	7.70	0.70	—	5.80	0.35	—
1967	7.80	1.00	—	5.90	.50	—
1968	7.60	1.20	—	5.80	.60	—
1969-70	8.40	1.20	—	6.30	.60	—
1971-72	9.20	1.20	—	6.90	.60	—
1973	9.70	2.00	—	7.00	1.00	—
1974-77	9.90	1.80	—	7.00	.90	—
1978	10.10	2.00	—	7.10	1.00	—
1979-80	10.16	2.10	—	7.05	1.05	—
1981	10.70	2.60	—	8.00	1.30	—
1982-83	10.80	2.60	—	8.05	1.30	—
1984 ^f	11.40	2.60	—	11.40	2.60	—
1985 ^f	11.40	2.70	—	11.40	2.70	—
1986-87 ^f	11.40	2.90	—	11.40	2.90	—
1988-89 ^f	12.12	2.90	—	12.12	2.90	—
1990-2010 ^g	12.40	2.90	—	12.40	2.90	—
2011-2012 ^h	10.40	2.90	—	10.40	2.90	—
2013 and later	12.40	2.90	0.90	12.40	2.90	0.90

^a Except as noted below, the combined employee/employer rate is divided equally between employees and employers.

^b Beginning in 1990, self-employed persons receive a deduction, for purposes of computing their net earnings, equal to half of the combined OASDI and HI contributions that would be payable without regard to the contribution and benefit base. The OASDI contribution rate then applies to net earnings after this deduction, but subject to the OASDI base.

^c The payroll tax on earnings for the OASDI program applies to annual earnings up to a contribution and benefit base indexed to the average wage level. The base is \$128,400 for 2018.

^d Prior to 1994, the payroll tax on earnings for the HI program applied to annual earnings up to a contribution base. The HI contribution base was eliminated beginning in 1994.

^e Starting with Federal personal income tax returns for tax year 2013, earned income exceeding \$200,000 for individual filers and \$250,000 for married couples filing jointly is subject to an additional HI tax of 0.9 percent. These income limits are not indexed after 2013.

^f In 1984 only, employees received an immediate credit of 0.3 percent of taxable wages against their OASDI payroll tax contributions. The self-employed received similar credits of 2.7 percent, 2.3 percent, and 2.0 percent against their combined OASDI and Hospital Insurance (HI) contributions on net earnings from self-employment in 1984, 1985, and 1986-89, respectively. The General Fund of the Treasury reimbursed the trust funds for these credits.

^g Public Law 111-147 exempted most employers from paying the employer share of OASDI payroll tax on wages paid during the period March 19, 2010 through December 31, 2010 to certain qualified individuals hired after February 3, 2010.

^h Public Law 111-312, Public Law 112-78, and Public Law 112-96 reduced the OASDI payroll tax rate for 2011 and 2012 by 2 percentage points for employees and for self-employed workers. These laws require that the General Fund of the Treasury reimburse the OASI and DI Trust Funds for these temporary reductions in 2010, 2011, and 2012 payroll tax revenue, in order to “replicate to the extent possible” revenue that would have been received if the combined employee/employer payroll tax rates had remained at 12.4 percent for OASDI (10.6 percent for OASI and 1.8 percent for DI).

Table VI.G2 shows the Trustees’ estimates of annual income rates and cost rates for the OASDI program and the HI program under the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost sets of assumptions described earlier in this report.

OASDI and HI: Percent of Payroll

The income rates reflect the payroll tax rates shown in table VI.G1, revenue from taxation of scheduled OASDI benefits for both the OASDI and HI Trust Funds, and any reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury. For the HI program, the income rates also reflect: (1) the additional 0.9-percent tax on employees for relatively high earnings and the portion of total payroll to which the 0.9-percent rate applies; (2) premium revenues; and (3) monies from fraud and abuse control activities. Annual income and cost rates indicate the cash-flow operation of the programs. Therefore, income rates exclude interest earned on trust fund asset reserves. Table VI.G2 also shows annual balances, which are the differences between annual income rates and cost rates.

The Trustees project that the OASDI and HI cost rates will rise generally above current levels under the intermediate and high-cost sets of assumptions. The greatest increase occurs from 2018 to 2037 under both sets of assumptions for OASDI and under the intermediate assumptions for HI. Under the intermediate assumptions, the OASDI cost rate increases by 28 percent from its current level by 2092, while under the high-cost assumptions, the cost rate increases by 79 percent by 2092. For HI, cost rates increase by 51 percent and 213 percent from 2018 to 2092 under the intermediate and high-cost assumptions, respectively. Under the low-cost assumptions, the OASDI and HI cost rates decrease from 2018 to 2092 by 6 percent and 28 percent, respectively.

The Trustees project annual deficits for every year of the projection period under the intermediate and high-cost assumptions for both the OASDI and HI programs. Under the low-cost assumptions, OASDI annual balances are negative through 2051, positive for 2052 through 2062, negative for 2063 through 2076, and then positive through the remainder of the 75-year projection period. HI annual balances are positive throughout the projection period under the low-cost assumptions.

Appendices

**Table VI.G2.—OASDI and HI Annual Income Rates, Cost Rates, and Balances,
Calendar Years 2018-2095**
[As a percentage of taxable payroll^a]

Calendar year	OASDI			HI		
	Income rate	Cost rate ^b	Balance ^b	Income rate	Cost rate	Balance
Intermediate:						
2018	12.64	13.81	-1.17	3.34	3.42	-0.08
2019	12.87	13.95	-1.08	3.36	3.45	-.09
2020	12.89	14.12	-1.23	3.38	3.48	-.10
2021	12.92	14.27	-1.35	3.40	3.54	-.14
2022	12.95	14.44	-1.49	3.41	3.62	-.21
2023	12.97	14.62	-1.66	3.43	3.70	-.27
2024	12.99	14.80	-1.81	3.45	3.77	-.32
2025	13.01	14.98	-1.98	3.47	3.84	-.37
2026	13.13	15.16	-2.02	3.55	3.91	-.35
2027	13.15	15.36	-2.21	3.58	3.98	-.40
2030	13.20	15.98	-2.78	3.65	4.32	-.68
2035	13.25	16.65	-3.40	3.74	4.66	-.92
2040	13.27	16.83	-3.56	3.81	4.88	-1.07
2045	13.27	16.66	-3.39	3.87	4.98	-1.12
2050	13.27	16.54	-3.27	3.93	5.00	-1.07
2055	13.28	16.59	-3.31	3.99	4.97	-.98
2060	13.29	16.81	-3.51	4.07	4.97	-.91
2065	13.31	17.07	-3.75	4.14	5.02	-.88
2070	13.34	17.36	-4.03	4.21	5.10	-.90
2075	13.35	17.59	-4.23	4.27	5.18	-.91
2080	13.36	17.61	-4.25	4.32	5.21	-.90
2085	13.35	17.53	-4.18	4.35	5.19	-.84
2090	13.35	17.60	-4.24	4.39	5.17	-.77
2095	13.37	17.82	-4.45	4.44	5.15	-.71
Low-cost:						
2018	12.58	13.59	-1.01	3.33	3.33	.01
2019	12.85	13.46	-.62	3.35	3.25	.10
2020	12.86	13.41	-.55	3.36	3.21	.15
2021	12.88	13.35	-.48	3.38	3.21	.17
2022	12.90	13.35	-.45	3.39	3.22	.17
2023	12.91	13.36	-.45	3.41	3.23	.18
2024	12.93	13.39	-.46	3.42	3.23	.20
2025	12.94	13.42	-.48	3.44	3.22	.22
2026	13.04	13.45	-.41	3.51	3.22	.30
2027	13.06	13.50	-.45	3.53	3.21	.32
2030	13.09	13.81	-.72	3.60	3.29	.31
2035	13.12	14.06	-.94	3.70	3.22	.48
2040	13.12	13.89	-.76	3.78	3.05	.73
2045	13.10	13.45	-.35	3.84	2.80	1.05
2050	13.09	13.13	-.05	3.91	2.59	1.32
2055	13.09	13.02	.07	3.98	2.43	1.56
2060	13.09	13.07	.03	4.06	2.34	1.72
2065	13.10	13.12	-.02	4.12	2.32	1.80
2070	13.11	13.17	-.07	4.17	2.35	1.82
2075	13.10	13.14	-.03	4.22	2.39	1.83
2080	13.09	12.92	.17	4.25	2.41	1.85
2085	13.08	12.70	.38	4.28	2.40	1.88
2090	13.08	12.72	.36	4.31	2.38	1.93
2095	13.09	12.95	.14	4.36	2.38	1.98

OASDI and HI: Percent of Payroll

**Table VI.G2.—OASDI and HI Annual Income Rates, Cost Rates, and Balances,
Calendar Years 2018-2095 (Cont.)**
[As a percentage of taxable payroll^a]

Calendar year	OASDI			HI		
	Income rate	Cost rate ^b	Balance ^b	Income rate	Cost rate	Balance
High-cost:						
2018	12.69	13.98	-1.29	3.34	3.49	-0.15
2019	12.91	14.63	-1.72	3.37	3.66	-.30
2020	12.93	15.04	-2.11	3.39	3.76	-.37
2021	12.97	15.41	-2.44	3.42	3.89	-.47
2022	13.01	15.76	-2.76	3.44	4.06	-.62
2023	13.03	16.12	-3.09	3.46	4.23	-.76
2024	13.07	16.48	-3.41	3.49	4.39	-.90
2025	13.09	16.82	-3.73	3.51	4.56	-1.05
2026	13.24	17.15	-3.91	3.60	4.72	-1.12
2027	13.27	17.53	-4.26	3.63	4.90	-1.27
2030	13.33	18.53	-5.20	3.71	5.64	-1.94
2035	13.41	19.75	-6.34	3.80	6.70	-2.90
2040	13.46	20.45	-6.99	3.88	7.73	-3.84
2045	13.48	20.77	-7.29	3.95	8.68	-4.73
2050	13.50	21.05	-7.55	4.00	9.43	-5.42
2055	13.53	21.44	-7.91	4.07	9.95	-5.88
2060	13.57	21.99	-8.42	4.13	10.33	-6.20
2065	13.61	22.60	-8.99	4.20	10.61	-6.40
2070	13.66	23.33	-9.67	4.28	10.79	-6.51
2075	13.70	24.03	-10.33	4.35	10.95	-6.60
2080	13.74	24.50	-10.77	4.42	11.03	-6.61
2085	13.76	24.75	-11.00	4.47	10.99	-6.52
2090	13.77	24.95	-11.18	4.52	10.92	-6.41
2095	13.78	25.17	-11.38	4.56	10.89	-6.32

^a The taxable payroll for HI is significantly larger than the taxable payroll for OASDI because the HI taxable maximum amount was eliminated beginning in 1994, and because HI covers all Federal civilian employees, all State and local government employees hired after April 1, 1986, and railroad employees.

^b OASDI benefit payments which were scheduled to be paid on January 3 for some past and future years were actually paid on December 31 as required by the statutory provision for early delivery of benefit payments when the normal payment delivery date is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday. For comparability with the values for historical years and the projections in this report, all trust fund operations and asset reserves reflect the 12 months of benefits scheduled for payment each year.

Notes:

1. The income rate excludes interest income.
2. The Trustees show income and cost estimates generally on a cash basis for the OASDI program and on an incurred basis for the HI program.
3. Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Table VI.G3 shows summarized values over the 25-year, 50-year, and 75-year valuation periods. For each of those periods, the summarized income rates include beginning trust fund asset reserves, and the summarized cost rates include the cost of accumulating an ending fund reserve equal to 100 percent of annual cost at the end of the period.

Appendices

Table VI.G3.—Summarized OASDI and HI Income Rates and Cost Rates for Valuation Periods,^a Calendar Years 2018-2092
 [As a percentage of taxable payroll^b]

Valuation period	OASDI			HI		
	Income rate	Cost rate ^c	Actuarial balance	Income rate	Cost rate	Actuarial balance
Intermediate:						
25-year:						
2018-42	14.59	16.36	-1.77	3.70	4.41	-0.71
50-year:						
2018-67	14.01	16.45	-2.45	3.83	4.65	-.82
75-year:						
2018-92	13.84	16.69	-2.84	3.95	4.77	-.82
Low-cost:						
25-year:						
2018-42	14.36	14.26	.09	3.66	3.31	.35
50-year:						
2018-67	13.77	13.69	.08	3.81	2.92	.89
75-year:						
2018-92	13.59	13.45	.13	3.93	2.76	1.17
High-cost:						
25-year:						
2018-42	14.89	18.80	-3.90	3.75	5.88	-2.13
50-year:						
2018-67	14.31	19.87	-5.56	3.88	7.50	-3.62
75-year:						
2018-92	14.18	20.80	-6.62	4.00	8.25	-4.25

^a Income rates include beginning trust fund asset reserves and cost rates include the cost of reaching an ending target trust fund equal to 100 percent of annual cost at the end of the period.

^b The taxable payroll for HI is significantly larger than the taxable payroll for OASDI because the HI taxable maximum amount was eliminated beginning 1994, and because HI covers all Federal civilian employees, all State and local government employees hired after April 1, 1986, and railroad employees.

^c OASDI benefit payments which were scheduled to be paid on January 3 for some past and future years were actually paid on December 31 as required by the statutory provision for early delivery of benefit payments when the normal payment delivery date is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday. For comparability with the values for historical years and the projections in this report, all trust fund operations and asset reserves reflect the 12 months of benefits scheduled for payment each year.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

The Trustees project that the OASDI and HI programs will each experience large actuarial deficits for the 25-year, 50-year, and 75-year valuation periods under the high-cost assumptions. Actuarial deficits under the intermediate assumptions are smaller than those for the high-cost assumptions for all three valuation periods. Under the low-cost assumptions, the OASDI and HI programs have positive actuarial balances for all three valuation periods.

2. Estimates as a Percentage of Gross Domestic Product

This section presents long-range projections of the operations of the combined Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance (OASI and DI) Trust Funds and of the Hospital Insurance (HI) Trust Fund, expressed as a percentage of gross domestic product (GDP). While expressing fund operations as a percentage of taxable payroll is a very useful approach for assessing the financial status of the programs (see section IV.B.1), expressing them as a percentage of the total value of goods and services produced in the United States provides an additional perspective.

Table VI.G4 shows non-interest income, total cost, and the resulting balance of the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds, of the HI Trust Fund, and of the combined OASI, DI, and HI Trust Funds, expressed as percentages of GDP on the basis of each of the three alternative sets of assumptions. Table VI.G4 also contains estimates of GDP. For OASDI, non-interest income consists of payroll tax contributions, proceeds from taxation of scheduled OASDI benefits, and any reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury. Cost consists of scheduled benefits, administrative expenses, financial interchange with the Railroad Retirement program, and payments for vocational rehabilitation services for disabled beneficiaries. For HI, non-interest income consists of payroll tax contributions (including contributions from railroad employment), up to an additional 0.9 percent tax on earned income for relatively high earners, proceeds from taxation of scheduled OASDI benefits, premium revenues, monies from fraud and abuse control activities, and any reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury. Cost consists of outlays (benefits and administrative expenses) for beneficiaries. The Trustees show income and cost estimates generally on a cash basis for the OASDI program¹ and on an incurred basis for the HI program.

The Trustees project the OASDI annual balance (non-interest income less cost) as a percentage of GDP to be negative throughout the projection period under the intermediate and high-cost assumptions. Under the low-cost assumptions, the OASDI annual deficit as a percentage of GDP decreases through 2022. After 2022, deficits generally increase to a peak in 2035 and then decrease through 2051. The deficit decreases in 2026 in part because of a one-time upward shift in taxation of benefits income due to the expiration of the personal income tax provisions in Public Law 115-97, the Tax Cuts

¹ OASDI benefits paid for entitlement for a particular month are generally paid in the succeeding month. There are two primary exceptions to this general rule. First, payments can occur with a greater delay when a benefit award is made after the month of initial benefit entitlement. At the time of benefit award, benefits owed for months of prior entitlement are then also paid to the beneficiary. For the projections in this report, such retroactive payments are included in the period where they are paid (at time of award). Second, when benefit payments scheduled for January 3 are paid on the prior December 31, because January 3 falls on a Sunday, such payments are shown in this report for the period they were scheduled to be paid.

Appendices

and Jobs Act. The annual balances are positive for 2052 through 2062, negative for 2063 through 2076, and positive for 2077 through the remainder of the 75-year projection period. Under the intermediate assumptions, the annual deficit decreases from 2018 to 2019. Thereafter, annual deficits increase through 2039, then decrease through 2052, and generally increase thereafter. Under the high-cost assumptions, annual deficits increase throughout the projection period.

The Trustees project that the HI annual balance as a percentage of GDP will be positive throughout the projection period under the low-cost assumptions. Under the intermediate and the high-cost assumptions, the HI annual balance is negative for all years of the projection period. Under the intermediate assumptions, annual deficits generally increase through 2045, and then generally decline thereafter. Under the high-cost assumptions, annual deficits reach a peak in 2075 and decline slowly thereafter.

The combined OASDI and HI annual balance as a percentage of GDP is negative throughout the projection period under both the intermediate and high-cost assumptions. Under the low-cost assumptions, the combined OASDI and HI annual balance is negative through 2039, and then positive and mostly rising thereafter. Under the intermediate assumptions, the combined OASDI and HI annual deficit decreases from 2018 to 2019. Thereafter, annual deficits increase through 2040, decrease through 2054, then increase through 2077, and generally decline thereafter, reaching 1.82 percent of GDP by 2092. Under the high-cost assumptions, combined annual deficits rise to a peak of 6.26 percent in 2081 and decrease thereafter.

By 2092, the combined OASDI and HI annual balances as percentages of GDP range from a positive annual balance of 1.01 percent for the low-cost assumptions to an annual deficit of 6.17 percent for the high-cost assumptions. Annual balances differ by a much smaller amount for the tenth projection year, 2027, ranging from an annual deficit of 0.02 percent for the low-cost assumptions to an annual deficit of 2.11 percent for the high-cost assumptions.

The summarized long-range (75-year) actuarial balance as a percentage of GDP for the combined OASDI and HI programs varies among the three alternatives by a relatively large amount, from a positive actuarial balance of 0.59 percent under the low-cost assumptions to an actuarial deficit of 4.14 percent under the high-cost assumptions. The 25-year summarized actuarial balance varies by a smaller amount, from a positive actuarial balance of 0.19 percent to an actuarial deficit of 2.35 percent. Summarized rates are calculated on a present-value basis. They include the trust fund reserve balances on January 1, 2018 and the cost of reaching a target trust fund level equal to

OASDI and HI: Percent of GDP

100 percent of the following year's annual cost at the end of the period. (See section IV.B.4 for further explanation.)

Table VI.G4.—OASDI and HI Annual and Summarized Income, Cost, and Balance as a Percentage of GDP, Calendar Years 2018-2095

Calendar year	Percentage of GDP									GDP in dollars (billions)
	OASDI			HI			Combined			
	Income ^a	Cost ^b	Balance ^b	Income ^a	Cost	Balance	Income ^a	Cost ^b	Balance ^b	
Intermediate:										
2018	4.52	4.94	-0.42	1.49	1.53	-0.04	6.01	6.47	-0.46	\$20,307
2019	4.61	4.99	-.39	1.51	1.55	-.04	6.11	6.54	-.43	21,262
2020	4.62	5.07	-.44	1.52	1.57	-.05	6.15	6.64	-.49	22,288
2021	4.65	5.14	-.49	1.54	1.60	-.06	6.19	6.74	-.55	23,346
2022	4.68	5.22	-.54	1.55	1.65	-.09	6.23	6.87	-.63	24,440
2023	4.70	5.30	-.60	1.57	1.69	-.12	6.27	6.99	-.72	25,577
2024	4.73	5.39	-.66	1.58	1.72	-.14	6.31	7.11	-.80	26,765
2025	4.75	5.47	-.72	1.59	1.76	-.17	6.34	7.23	-.89	27,978
2026	4.81	5.55	-.74	1.63	1.80	-.16	6.44	7.35	-.90	29,229
2027	4.82	5.63	-.81	1.64	1.83	-.18	6.46	7.45	-.99	30,535
2030	4.82	5.83	-1.01	1.67	1.98	-.31	6.49	7.81	-1.32	34,731
2035	4.80	6.03	-1.23	1.70	2.12	-.42	6.50	8.15	-1.65	42,930
2040	4.78	6.07	-1.28	1.72	2.21	-.48	6.51	8.27	-1.76	53,040
2045	4.76	5.98	-1.22	1.74	2.24	-.50	6.51	8.23	-1.72	65,746
2050	4.75	5.93	-1.17	1.76	2.25	-.48	6.52	8.17	-1.65	81,536
2055	4.74	5.93	-1.18	1.79	2.23	-.44	6.53	8.16	-1.62	100,989
2060	4.74	5.99	-1.25	1.82	2.22	-.41	6.56	8.21	-1.66	124,750
2065	4.73	6.06	-1.33	1.84	2.24	-.39	6.57	8.30	-1.73	153,867
2070	4.71	6.14	-1.42	1.87	2.26	-.40	6.58	8.40	-1.82	189,838
2075	4.70	6.19	-1.49	1.88	2.29	-.40	6.58	8.47	-1.89	234,618
2080	4.67	6.16	-1.49	1.90	2.29	-.39	6.57	8.45	-1.88	290,420
2085	4.65	6.10	-1.46	1.90	2.27	-.37	6.55	8.38	-1.82	359,507
2090	4.63	6.10	-1.47	1.91	2.25	-.34	6.54	8.35	-1.81	444,282
2095	4.62	6.16	-1.54	1.92	2.23	-.31	6.54	8.39	-1.85	548,108
Summarized rates: ^c										
25-year:										
2018-42	5.29	5.93	-.64	1.68	2.01	-.32	6.97	7.94	-.96	
50-year:										
2018-67	5.05	5.93	-.88	1.73	2.10	-.37	6.78	8.03	-1.25	
75-year:										
2018-92	4.95	5.97	-1.02	1.77	2.14	-.37	6.72	8.11	-1.39	
Low-cost:										
2018	4.50	4.86	-.36	1.49	1.49	^d	5.99	6.35	-.36	20,587
2019	4.59	4.81	-.22	1.50	1.46	.04	6.10	6.28	-.18	22,055
2020	4.62	4.82	-.20	1.52	1.45	.07	6.14	6.27	-.13	23,558
2021	4.66	4.84	-.17	1.54	1.46	.08	6.20	6.29	-.09	25,023
2022	4.70	4.87	-.16	1.55	1.47	.08	6.25	6.34	-.08	26,511
2023	4.74	4.91	-.17	1.56	1.48	.08	6.30	6.38	-.08	28,064
2024	4.77	4.94	-.17	1.57	1.48	.09	6.34	6.42	-.08	29,716
2025	4.80	4.98	-.18	1.58	1.48	.10	6.38	6.46	-.08	31,444
2026	4.87	5.02	-.15	1.62	1.48	.14	6.48	6.50	-.01	33,238
2027	4.89	5.05	-.17	1.63	1.48	.15	6.51	6.53	-.02	35,129
2030	4.89	5.16	-.27	1.66	1.51	.14	6.55	6.67	-.13	41,396
2035	4.89	5.23	-.35	1.70	1.48	.22	6.58	6.71	-.13	54,308
2040	4.88	5.16	-.28	1.73	1.40	.33	6.61	6.56	.05	71,362
2045	4.88	5.01	-.13	1.76	1.28	.48	6.64	6.29	.35	94,360
2050	4.88	4.90	-.02	1.80	1.19	.61	6.68	6.09	.59	125,033
2055	4.89	4.87	.03	1.83	1.12	.72	6.73	5.99	.74	165,423
2060	4.91	4.90	.01	1.87	1.08	.79	6.78	5.98	.80	218,200
2065	4.92	4.93	-.01	1.90	1.07	.83	6.82	6.00	.82	287,519
2070	4.93	4.95	-.03	1.93	1.09	.84	6.85	6.04	.81	379,457
2075	4.93	4.94	-.01	1.95	1.11	.85	6.88	6.05	.83	502,419
2080	4.93	4.86	.06	1.97	1.11	.85	6.89	5.98	.92	666,725
2085	4.93	4.78	.14	1.98	1.11	.87	6.91	5.89	1.01	884,116
2090	4.93	4.80	.13	2.00	1.11	.89	6.93	5.90	1.03	1,168,855
2095	4.95	4.89	.05	2.02	1.10	.92	6.97	6.00	.97	1,541,437

Appendices

Table VI.G4.—OASDI and HI Annual and Summarized Income, Cost, and Balance as a Percentage of GDP, Calendar Years 2018-2095 (Cont.)

Calendar year	Percentage of GDP									GDP in dollars (billions)
	OASDI			HI			Combined			
	Income ^a	Cost ^b	Balance ^b	Income ^a	Cost	Balance	Income ^a	Cost ^b	Balance ^b	
Low-cost (Cont.):										
Summarized rates: ^c										
25-year:										
2018-42 ..	5.32	5.28	0.04	1.68	1.52	0.16	6.99	6.80	0.19	
50-year:										
2018-67 ..	5.12	5.09	.03	1.75	1.34	.41	6.87	6.43	.44	
75-year:										
2018-92 ..	5.07	5.02	.05	1.81	1.27	.54	6.88	6.29	.59	
High-cost:										
2018	4.53	5.00	-.46	1.50	1.56	-.07	6.03	6.56	-.53	\$20,129
2019	4.63	5.25	-.62	1.51	1.64	-.13	6.14	6.89	-.75	20,179
2020	4.63	5.39	-.76	1.52	1.69	-.17	6.15	7.08	-.92	20,767
2021	4.64	5.51	-.87	1.54	1.75	-.21	6.18	7.27	-1.09	21,505
2022	4.66	5.64	-.99	1.56	1.84	-.28	6.21	7.48	-1.27	22,261
2023	4.67	5.78	-1.11	1.57	1.92	-.35	6.25	7.70	-1.45	23,032
2024	4.69	5.91	-1.22	1.59	2.00	-.41	6.28	7.92	-1.64	23,823
2025	4.70	6.04	-1.34	1.61	2.09	-.48	6.31	8.13	-1.82	24,641
2026	4.76	6.17	-1.41	1.65	2.17	-.51	6.41	8.33	-1.92	25,487
2027	4.76	6.29	-1.53	1.67	2.25	-.58	6.43	8.54	-2.11	26,335
2030	4.76	6.61	-1.85	1.69	2.57	-.88	6.45	9.18	-2.74	28,903
2035	4.73	6.97	-2.24	1.72	3.02	-1.31	6.45	10.00	-3.55	33,674
2040	4.71	7.15	-2.45	1.74	3.46	-1.72	6.44	10.61	-4.17	39,118
2045	4.68	7.21	-2.53	1.75	3.85	-2.10	6.43	11.06	-4.63	45,405
2050	4.65	7.25	-2.60	1.76	4.15	-2.39	6.41	11.40	-4.99	52,639
2055	4.62	7.33	-2.71	1.78	4.35	-2.57	6.40	11.68	-5.28	60,941
2060	4.60	7.46	-2.86	1.79	4.48	-2.69	6.40	11.94	-5.54	70,383
2065	4.57	7.60	-3.02	1.81	4.56	-2.75	6.38	12.16	-5.78	81,117
2070	4.54	7.76	-3.22	1.82	4.60	-2.77	6.37	12.36	-5.99	93,358
2075	4.51	7.91	-3.40	1.84	4.62	-2.78	6.35	12.53	-6.18	107,431
2080	4.47	7.98	-3.50	1.84	4.60	-2.76	6.31	12.58	-6.26	123,695
2085	4.43	7.97	-3.54	1.84	4.54	-2.69	6.27	12.51	-6.23	142,510
2090	4.39	7.96	-3.57	1.85	4.47	-2.62	6.24	12.42	-6.19	164,144
2095	4.35	7.95	-3.60	1.85	4.41	-2.56	6.20	12.36	-6.16	188,939
Summarized rates: ^c										
25-year:										
2018-42 ..	5.30	6.68	-1.39	1.70	2.66	-.96	6.99	9.34	-2.35	
50-year:										
2018-67 ..	5.00	6.95	-1.94	1.73	3.35	-1.61	6.74	10.29	-3.56	
75-year:										
2018-92 ..	4.88	7.16	-2.28	1.76	3.63	-1.87	6.64	10.78	-4.14	

^a Income for individual years excludes interest on the trust funds. Interest is implicit in all summarized values.
^b OASDI benefit payments which were scheduled to be paid on January 3 for some past and future years were actually paid on December 31 as required by the statutory provision for early delivery of benefit payments when the normal payment delivery date is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday. For comparability with the values for historical years and the projections in this report, all trust fund operations and asset reserves reflect the 12 months of benefits scheduled for payment each year.
^c Summarized rates are calculated on a present-value basis. They include the value of the trust funds on January 1, 2018 and the cost of reaching a target trust fund level equal to 100 percent of annual cost at the end of the period.
^d Between 0 and 0.005 percent of GDP.

Notes:
1. The Trustees show income and cost estimates generally on a cash basis for the OASDI program and on an incurred basis for the HI program.
2. Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

OASDI and HI: Percent of GDP

Table VI.G5 displays annual ratios of OASDI taxable payroll to GDP. These ratios facilitate comparisons of trust fund operations expressed as percentages of taxable payroll and those expressed as percentages of GDP. HI taxable payroll is about 25 percent larger than the OASDI taxable payroll throughout the long-range period; see section 1 of this appendix for a detailed description of the difference. For each year, the cost as a percentage of GDP is equal to the cost as a percentage of taxable payroll multiplied by the ratio of taxable payroll to GDP.

Table VI.G5.—Ratio of OASDI Taxable Payroll to GDP, Calendar Years 2018-2095

Calendar year	Intermediate	Low-cost	High-cost
2018	0.358	0.357	0.357
2019	.358	.358	.359
2020	.359	.359	.358
2021	.360	.362	.358
2022	.362	.365	.358
2023	.363	.367	.358
2024	.364	.369	.359
2025	.365	.371	.359
2026	.366	.373	.360
2027	.366	.374	.359
2030	.365	.374	.357
2035	.362	.372	.353
2040	.360	.372	.350
2045	.359	.372	.347
2050	.358	.373	.344
2055	.357	.374	.342
2060	.356	.375	.339
2065	.355	.376	.336
2070	.353	.376	.333
2075	.352	.376	.329
2080	.350	.376	.326
2085	.348	.377	.322
2090	.347	.377	.319
2095	.345	.378	.316

Projections of GDP reflect projected increases in U.S. employment, labor productivity, average hours worked, and the GDP price index (GDP deflator). Projections of taxable payroll reflect the components of growth in GDP along with assumed changes in the ratio of total compensation to GDP, the ratio of earnings to total compensation, the ratio of OASDI covered earnings to total earnings, and the ratio of taxable to total covered earnings.

Over the long-range period, the ratio of OASDI taxable payroll to GDP is projected to decline mostly due to a projected decline in the ratio of wages and salaries to employee compensation. Over the last five complete economic cycles, the ratio of wages and salaries to employee compensation declined at an average annual rate of 0.23 percent. Over the 65-year period ending in 2092, the ratio of wages and salaries to employee compensation is projected to decline at an average annual rate of 0.08 and 0.18 percent for the intermediate and high-cost assumptions, respectively, and to increase at an average annual rate of 0.02 percent for the low-cost assumptions.

3. Estimates in Dollars

This section presents long-range projections, in dollars, of the operations of the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds and in some cases the HI Trust Fund. Comparing current dollar values over long periods of time is difficult because of the effect of inflation. In order to compare dollar values in a meaningful way, table VI.G6 provides several economic series or indices which can be used to adjust current dollars for changes in prices, wages, or other aspects of economic growth during the projection period. Any series of values can be adjusted by dividing the value for each year by the corresponding index value for the year.

One of the most common forms of standardization is price indexing, which uses some measure of change in the prices of consumer goods. The Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers (CPI-W, hereafter referred to as CPI), published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Department of Labor, is one such price index. Consistent with the law, the Social Security Administration (SSA) uses this index to determine the annual cost-of-living increases for OASDI monthly benefits. The ultimate annual rate of increase in the CPI is assumed to be 3.2, 2.6, and 2.0 percent for the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost sets of assumptions, respectively. Table VI.G7 provides CPI-indexed dollar values (those adjusted using the CPI in table VI.G6), which indicate the relative purchasing power of the values over time.

Wage indexing is another type of standardization. It combines the effects of price inflation and real-wage growth. The wage index presented here is the national average wage index, as defined in section 209(k)(1) of the Social Security Act. SSA uses this index to annually adjust the contribution and benefit base and other earnings-related program amounts. The average wage is assumed to grow by an average rate of 5.0, 3.8, and 2.6 percent under the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost assumptions, respectively, between 2027 and 2092. Wage-indexed values indicate the level of a series of values relative to the changing standard of living of workers over time.

The taxable payroll series is used as an index to adjust for the effects of changes in the number of workers and changes in the proportion of earnings that are taxable, as well as for the effects of price inflation and real-wage growth. The OASDI taxable payroll consists of all earnings subject to OASDI taxation, with an adjustment for the lower effective tax rate on multiple-employer excess wages. A series of values, divided by the taxable payroll, indicates the percentage of payroll that each value represents, and thus

OASDI and HI: Estimates in Dollars

the extent to which the series of values increases or decreases as a percent of payroll over time.

The GDP series is used as an index to adjust for the growth in the aggregate amount of goods and services produced in the United States. Values adjusted by GDP (see section 2 of this appendix) indicate their relative share of the total output of the economy. No direct assumption is made about growth in taxable payroll or GDP. These series reflect the basic demographic and economic assumptions, as discussed in sections V.A and V.B, respectively.

Discounting at the rate of interest is another way of standardizing current dollars. The compound new-issue interest factor shown in table VI.G6 increases each year by the assumed effective annual nominal yield for special public-debt obligations issuable to the trust funds in the 12 months of the prior year. The compound effective trust-fund interest factor shown in table VI.G6 uses the effective annual yield on all currently-held securities in the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds. The reciprocal of the compound effective trust-fund interest factor approximates the cumulative discount factor used to convert nominal dollar values to present values as of the start of the valuation period in order to create summarized values for this report.

Appendices

Table VI.G6.—Selected Economic Variables, Calendar Years 2017-2095
[GDP and taxable payroll in billions]

Calendar year	Adjusted CPI ^a	Average wage index	Taxable payroll ^b	Gross domestic product	Compound new-issue interest factor ^c	Compound effective trust-fund interest factor ^d
Intermediate:						
2017.....	97.82	\$50,020.69	\$6,956	\$19,385	0.9773	0.9853
2018.....	100.00	51,894.47	7,261	20,307	1.0000	1.0145
2019.....	102.50	54,076.92	7,608	21,262	1.0271	1.0438
2020.....	105.17	56,534.16	7,994	22,288	1.0622	1.0736
2021.....	107.91	59,089.48	8,407	23,346	1.1045	1.1043
2022.....	110.71	61,705.86	8,836	24,440	1.1523	1.1358
2023.....	113.59	64,405.95	9,278	25,577	1.2059	1.1685
2024.....	116.54	67,191.23	9,739	26,765	1.2652	1.2032
2025.....	119.57	70,057.16	10,213	27,978	1.3302	1.2401
2026.....	122.68	73,003.92	10,702	29,229	1.3996	1.2797
2027.....	125.87	75,908.24	11,185	30,535	1.4741	1.3227
2030.....	135.95	85,114.16	12,671	34,731	1.7246	1.4891
2035.....	154.56	103,003.87	15,556	42,930	2.2401	1.9203
2040.....	175.73	124,375.91	19,117	53,040	2.9098	2.4944
2045.....	199.79	149,896.71	23,609	65,746	3.7796	3.2400
2050.....	227.15	180,862.31	29,205	81,536	4.9095	4.2086
2055.....	258.26	218,269.08	36,090	100,989	6.3772	5.4667
2060.....	293.62	263,354.75	44,465	124,750	8.2836	7.1009
2065.....	333.83	317,308.93	54,643	153,867	10.7598	9.2237
2070.....	379.55	381,537.58	67,102	189,838	13.9764	11.9810
2075.....	431.52	458,555.69	82,548	234,618	18.1545	15.5626
2080.....	490.61	550,706.66	101,628	290,420	23.5816	20.2149
2085.....	557.79	661,504.94	125,189	359,507	30.6311	26.2580
2090.....	634.18	795,083.51	154,082	444,282	39.7879	34.1075
2095.....	721.02	955,877.40	189,340	548,108	51.6821	44.3036
Low-cost:						
2017.....	97.35	50,031.80	6,957	19,393	0.9773	0.9853
2018.....	100.00	52,409.41	7,359	20,587	1.0000	1.0147
2019.....	103.26	55,687.90	7,887	22,055	1.0355	1.0449
2020.....	106.59	59,194.02	8,464	23,558	1.0862	1.0772
2021.....	110.01	62,741.89	9,061	25,023	1.1427	1.1118
2022.....	113.53	66,191.66	9,671	26,511	1.2052	1.1490
2023.....	117.16	69,734.56	10,308	28,064	1.2734	1.1896
2024.....	120.91	73,442.74	10,970	29,716	1.3487	1.2345
2025.....	124.78	77,392.43	11,668	31,444	1.4318	1.2844
2026.....	128.77	81,576.71	12,397	33,238	1.5223	1.3401
2027.....	132.89	85,836.60	13,148	35,129	1.6206	1.4026
2030.....	146.06	99,756.77	15,464	41,396	1.9584	1.6402
2035.....	170.97	128,098.89	20,224	54,308	2.6835	2.2342
2040.....	200.14	163,884.74	26,539	71,362	3.6771	3.0613
2045.....	234.28	209,144.66	35,118	94,360	5.0385	4.1948
2050.....	274.24	267,472.51	46,635	125,033	6.9040	5.7478
2055.....	321.02	342,393.10	61,867	165,423	9.4601	7.8759
2060.....	375.77	438,260.96	81,810	218,200	12.9626	10.7919
2065.....	439.87	560,079.76	107,975	287,519	17.7619	14.7875
2070.....	514.90	714,119.46	142,614	379,457	24.3381	20.2625
2075.....	602.73	909,999.24	189,018	502,419	33.3491	27.7645
2080.....	705.53	1,158,789.71	250,905	666,725	45.6963	38.0440
2085.....	825.88	1,476,031.64	332,968	884,116	62.6150	52.1295
2090.....	966.75	1,881,273.21	440,861	1,168,855	85.7976	71.4299
2095.....	1,131.65	2,398,277.32	582,276	1,541,437	117.5634	97.8762

OASDI and HI: Estimates in Dollars

Table VI.G6.—Selected Economic Variables, Calendar Years 2017-2095 (Cont.)
[GDP and taxable payroll in billions]

Calendar year	Adjusted CPI ^a	Average wage index	Taxable payroll ^b	Gross domestic product	Compound new-issue interest factor ^c	Compound effective trust-fund interest factor ^d
High-cost:						
2017.....	98.39	\$50,015.84	\$6,955	\$19,383	0.9773	0.9853
2018.....	100.00	51,505.66	7,194	20,129	1.0000	1.0144
2019.....	101.49	51,740.36	7,240	20,179	1.0215	1.0429
2020.....	103.43	53,243.84	7,441	20,767	1.0379	1.0709
2021.....	105.50	54,994.26	7,692	21,505	1.0664	1.0986
2022.....	107.61	56,917.34	7,969	22,261	1.1026	1.1258
2023.....	109.77	58,885.61	8,255	23,032	1.1435	1.1526
2024.....	111.96	60,812.41	8,550	23,823	1.1886	1.1792
2025.....	114.20	62,796.24	8,856	24,641	1.2368	1.2056
2026.....	116.48	64,830.08	9,165	25,487	1.2886	1.2323
2027.....	118.81	66,699.05	9,451	26,335	1.3439	1.2596
2030.....	126.09	72,141.40	10,311	28,903	1.5224	1.3767
2035.....	139.21	82,251.25	11,889	33,674	1.8741	1.7074
2040.....	153.70	93,730.26	13,683	39,118	2.3069	2.1019
2045.....	169.70	106,678.22	15,752	45,405	2.8398	2.5874
2050.....	187.36	121,401.97	18,127	52,639	3.4958	3.1850
2055.....	206.86	138,079.28	20,832	60,941	4.3033	3.9207
2060.....	228.39	156,983.21	23,872	70,383	5.2974	4.8264
2065.....	252.16	178,249.01	27,264	81,117	6.5210	5.9413
2070.....	278.40	202,032.73	31,057	93,358	8.0273	7.3137
2075.....	307.38	228,917.56	35,368	107,431	9.8816	9.0031
2080.....	339.37	259,191.35	40,266	123,695	12.1641	11.0827
2085.....	374.69	293,535.68	45,896	142,510	14.9739	13.6427
2090.....	413.69	332,662.09	52,349	164,144	18.4328	16.7941
2095.....	456.75	377,069.65	59,683	188,939	22.6906	20.6734

^a CPI-W indexed to calendar year 2018.

^b Total earnings subject to OASDI contribution rates, adjusted to reflect the lower effective contribution rates (compared to the combined employee-employer rate) that apply to multiple-employer “excess wages.”

^c For each alternative, incorporates the average of the assumed annual yield for special public-debt obligations issuable to the trust funds in the 12 months of the prior year.

^d For each alternative, incorporates the annual effective yield for all outstanding special public-debt obligations held by the trust fund, with a half-year’s interest effect in each row. The effective yield for a period equals total interest earned during the period divided by the total exposure to interest on asset reserves and all income and outgo items during the period. The reciprocals of the factors approximate the discounting/accumulation factors that are used to calculate summarized rates and balances in this report.

Table VI.G7 shows the operations of the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds in CPI-indexed 2018 dollars—that is, adjusted by the CPI indexing series as discussed above. The following items are presented in the table: (1) non-interest income, (2) interest income, (3) total income, (4) cost, and (5) asset reserves at the end of the year. Non-interest income consists of payroll tax contributions, income from taxation of scheduled OASDI benefits, and any reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury. Cost consists of scheduled benefits, administrative expenses, financial interchange with the Railroad Retirement program, and payments for vocational rehabilitation services for disabled beneficiaries. Table VI.G7 shows trust fund operations under the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost sets of assumptions.

Appendices

**Table VI.G7.—Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds,
in CPI-indexed 2018 Dollars,^a Calendar Years 2018-2095**
[In billions]

Calendar year	Non-interest income	Interest income	Total income	Cost ^b	Asset reserves at end of year ^b
Intermediate:					
2018	\$918.0	\$83.1	\$1,001.1	\$1,002.8	\$2,890.1
2019	955.3	80.1	1,035.4	1,035.6	2,819.3
2020	980.0	77.8	1,057.8	1,073.6	2,732.0
2021	1,006.6	74.9	1,081.5	1,112.0	2,632.3
2022	1,033.2	72.1	1,105.3	1,152.3	2,518.6
2023	1,059.1	70.2	1,129.3	1,194.3	2,389.9
2024	1,085.9	68.6	1,154.5	1,237.1	2,246.7
2025	1,111.0	66.4	1,177.4	1,279.8	2,087.4
2026	1,145.6	64.5	1,210.1	1,322.2	1,922.4
2027	1,168.9	62.2	1,231.1	1,365.3	1,739.5
2030 ^c	1,230.5	54.7	1,285.2	1,489.7	1,083.1
Low-cost:					
2018	925.7	84.3	1,010.0	1,000.1	2,901.7
2019	981.1	85.0	1,066.1	1,028.3	2,847.7
2020	1,021.0	86.8	1,107.8	1,064.8	2,801.7
2021	1,060.6	88.7	1,149.3	1,099.9	2,764.3
2022	1,098.6	91.8	1,190.4	1,136.8	2,732.2
2023	1,135.6	96.6	1,232.2	1,175.5	2,704.2
2024	1,173.2	102.3	1,275.5	1,215.2	2,680.6
2025	1,209.8	108.5	1,318.3	1,255.0	2,660.8
2026	1,255.9	116.4	1,372.3	1,294.9	2,655.6
2027	1,291.6	124.7	1,416.4	1,335.9	2,653.7
2030	1,386.0	149.7	1,535.7	1,462.5	2,634.1
2035	1,552.0	160.7	1,712.7	1,662.8	2,537.9
2040	1,740.0	151.0	1,891.0	1,841.4	2,379.9
2045	1,964.0	150.9	2,114.9	2,016.1	2,397.0
2050	2,225.8	167.6	2,393.4	2,233.5	2,686.5
2055	2,522.1	199.1	2,721.2	2,508.6	3,206.9
2060	2,850.8	238.2	3,089.0	2,844.6	3,834.2
2065	3,215.8	280.4	3,496.2	3,221.1	4,511.1
2070	3,629.9	325.7	3,955.6	3,649.1	5,236.4
2075	4,109.8	376.9	4,486.7	4,120.1	6,067.1
2080	4,656.0	449.5	5,105.5	4,596.3	7,277.9
2085	5,272.8	564.9	5,837.7	5,121.0	9,201.2
2090	5,964.5	720.8	6,685.3	5,802.1	11,742.0
2095	6,737.6	888.9	7,626.5	6,665.2	14,431.2
High-cost:					
2018	912.7	82.4	995.1	1,005.6	2,881.3
2019	921.1	76.7	997.8	1,043.6	2,793.3
2020	930.3	70.5	1,000.8	1,081.9	2,659.4
2021	945.9	64.3	1,010.2	1,123.7	2,493.8
2022	963.1	57.3	1,020.3	1,167.2	2,298.0
2023	980.1	50.5	1,030.5	1,212.5	2,071.0
2024	998.0	43.7	1,041.7	1,258.5	1,813.5
2025	1,015.0	36.6	1,051.5	1,304.2	1,525.3
2026	1,041.4	30.3	1,071.7	1,349.3	1,217.8
2027 ^c	1,055.3	23.2	1,078.6	1,394.5	878.0

^a CPI-indexed 2018 dollars equal current dollars adjusted by the CPI indexing series in table VI.G6.

^b Benefit payments which were scheduled to be paid on January 3 for some past and future years were actually paid on December 31 as required by the statutory provision for early delivery of benefit payments when the normal payment delivery date is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday. For comparability with the values for historical years and the projections in this report, all trust fund operations and asset reserves reflect the 12 months of benefits scheduled for payment each year.

^c The combined OASI and DI Trust Funds become depleted in 2034 under the intermediate assumptions and in 2030 under the high-cost assumptions, so estimates for later years are not shown.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Figure VI.G1 compares annual cost with annual total income and annual non-interest income. The figure shows only the OASDI program under intermediate assumptions, and presents values in CPI-indexed 2018 dollars, consistent with table VI.G7. The difference between the income values for each year is equal to the trust fund interest earnings. The figure illustrates that, under intermediate assumptions, annual cost exceeds both total income and non-interest income in each year of the projection period. For 2018 through 2033 (the year preceding the year of trust fund reserve depletion), annual cost is covered by drawing down combined trust fund reserves.

Figure VI.G1.—Estimated OASDI Income and Cost in CPI-indexed 2018 Dollars, Based on Intermediate Assumptions
[In billions]




Table VI.G8 presents the operations of the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds in current, or nominal, dollars—that is, in dollars unadjusted for inflation. The following items are presented in the table: (1) non-interest income, (2) interest income, (3) total income, (4) cost, and (5) asset reserves at the end of the year. These estimates are presented using the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost sets of demographic and economic assumptions to facilitate independent analysis.

Appendices

**Table VI.G8.—Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds,
in Current Dollars, Calendar Years 2018-2095**
[In billions]

Calendar year	Non-interest income	Interest income	Total income	Cost ^a	Asset reserves at end of year ^a
Intermediate:					
2018	\$918.0	\$83.1	\$1,001.1	\$1,002.8	\$2,890.1
2019	979.2	82.2	1,061.4	1,061.5	2,889.9
2020	1,030.7	81.8	1,112.5	1,129.2	2,873.2
2021	1,086.1	80.9	1,167.0	1,199.9	2,840.3
2022	1,143.9	79.8	1,223.7	1,275.7	2,788.4
2023	1,203.0	79.8	1,282.8	1,356.5	2,714.6
2024	1,265.5	80.0	1,345.5	1,441.8	2,618.4
2025	1,328.5	79.4	1,407.9	1,530.2	2,496.0
2026	1,405.5	79.1	1,484.6	1,622.1	2,358.5
2027	1,471.2	78.3	1,549.6	1,718.5	2,189.5
2030 ^b	1,672.8	74.3	1,747.2	2,025.2	1,472.5
Low-cost:					
2018	925.7	84.3	1,010.0	1,000.1	2,901.7
2019	1,013.1	87.7	1,100.9	1,061.9	2,940.7
2020	1,088.3	92.6	1,180.9	1,135.0	2,986.5
2021	1,166.7	97.6	1,264.3	1,209.9	3,040.9
2022	1,247.2	104.3	1,351.4	1,290.5	3,101.8
2023	1,330.5	113.1	1,443.6	1,377.2	3,168.2
2024	1,418.5	123.7	1,542.2	1,469.3	3,241.1
2025	1,509.5	135.4	1,644.9	1,566.0	3,320.1
2026	1,617.2	149.9	1,767.1	1,667.5	3,419.6
2027	1,716.4	165.8	1,882.2	1,775.3	3,526.5
2030	2,024.4	218.7	2,243.1	2,136.1	3,847.4
2035	2,653.6	274.7	2,928.3	2,842.9	4,339.2
2040	3,482.4	302.3	3,784.7	3,685.3	4,763.1
2045	4,601.2	353.5	4,954.6	4,723.2	5,615.7
2050	6,104.1	459.5	6,563.6	6,125.2	7,367.3
2055	8,096.4	639.2	8,735.6	8,052.9	10,294.6
2060	10,712.5	894.9	11,607.4	10,689.1	14,407.9
2065	14,145.3	1,233.3	15,378.7	14,168.5	19,842.7
2070	18,690.2	1,677.2	20,367.4	18,789.4	26,961.9
2075	24,770.6	2,271.7	27,042.3	24,832.7	36,567.7
2080	32,850.0	3,171.2	36,021.1	32,428.2	51,347.9
2085	43,546.8	4,665.8	48,212.6	42,293.4	75,990.7
2090	57,662.1	6,968.0	64,630.1	56,091.5	113,516.3
2095	76,246.0	10,059.4	86,305.4	75,427.4	163,310.9
High-cost:					
2018	912.7	82.4	995.1	1,005.6	2,881.3
2019	934.8	77.8	1,012.6	1,059.1	2,834.8
2020	962.2	72.9	1,035.1	1,119.1	2,750.8
2021	997.9	67.9	1,065.8	1,185.5	2,631.1
2022	1,036.4	61.6	1,098.0	1,256.1	2,473.0
2023	1,075.8	55.4	1,131.2	1,330.9	2,273.3
2024	1,117.3	48.9	1,166.2	1,409.1	2,030.4
2025	1,159.1	41.7	1,200.8	1,489.4	1,741.9
2026	1,213.0	35.3	1,248.4	1,571.7	1,418.5
2027 ^b	1,253.9	27.6	1,281.5	1,656.8	1,043.2

^a Benefit payments which were scheduled to be paid on January 3 for some past and future years were actually paid on December 31 as required by the statutory provision for early delivery of benefit payments when the normal payment delivery date is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday. For comparability with the values for historical years and the projections in this report, all trust fund operations and asset reserves reflect the 12 months of benefits scheduled for payment each year.

^b The combined OASI and DI Trust Funds become depleted in 2034 under the intermediate assumptions and in 2030 under the high-cost assumptions, so estimates for later years are not shown.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

OASDI and HI: Estimates in Dollars

Table VI.G9 presents values in CPI-indexed 2018 dollars—that is, adjusted by the CPI indexing series discussed at the beginning of this section. This table contains the annual non-interest income and cost of the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds, of the HI Trust Fund, and of the combined OASI, DI, and HI Trust Funds, based on the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost sets of assumptions. For OASDI, non-interest income consists of payroll tax contributions, proceeds from taxation of scheduled OASDI benefits, and any reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury. Cost consists of scheduled benefits, administrative expenses, financial interchange with the Railroad Retirement program, and payments for vocational rehabilitation services for disabled beneficiaries. For HI, non-interest income consists of payroll tax contributions (including contributions from railroad employment), up to an additional 0.9 percent tax on earned income for relatively high earners, proceeds from the taxation of scheduled OASDI benefits, premium revenues, monies from fraud and abuse control activities, and any reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury. Total cost consists of scheduled benefits and administrative expenses. The Trustees show income and cost estimates generally on a cash basis for the OASDI program¹ and on an incurred basis for the HI program. Table VI.G9 also shows the annual balance, which equals the difference between non-interest income and cost.

¹ OASDI benefits paid for entitlement for a particular month are generally paid in the succeeding month. There are two primary exceptions to this general rule. First, payments can occur with a greater delay when a benefit award is made after the month of initial benefit entitlement. At the time of benefit award, benefits owed for months of prior entitlement are then also paid to the beneficiary. For the projections in this report, such retroactive payments are included in the period where they are paid (at time of award). Second, when benefit payments scheduled for January 3 are paid on the prior December 31, because January 3 falls on a Sunday, such payments are shown in this report for the period they were scheduled to be paid.

Appendices

Table VI.G9.—OASDI and HI Annual Non-interest Income, Cost, and Balance in CPI-Indexed 2018 Dollars,^a Calendar Years 2018-2095
[In billions]

Calendar year	OASDI			HI			Combined		
	Non-interest income	Cost ^b	Balance ^b	Non-interest income	Cost	Balance	Non-interest income	Cost ^b	Balance ^b
Intermediate:									
2018	\$918	\$1,003	-\$85	\$303	\$311	-\$8	\$1,221	\$1,314	-\$93
2019	955	1,036	-80	313	321	-9	1,268	1,357	-89
2020	980	1,074	-94	323	333	-10	1,303	1,406	-104
2021	1,007	1,112	-105	333	347	-14	1,340	1,459	-119
2022	1,033	1,152	-119	343	364	-21	1,376	1,516	-140
2023	1,059	1,194	-135	353	380	-27	1,412	1,574	-163
2024	1,086	1,237	-151	363	396	-33	1,449	1,633	-185
2025	1,111	1,280	-169	373	412	-40	1,484	1,692	-208
2026	1,146	1,322	-177	389	428	-39	1,535	1,750	-215
2027	1,169	1,365	-196	399	443	-44	1,568	1,808	-241
2030	1,231	1,490	-259	426	505	-79	1,657	1,995	-338
2035	1,334	1,676	-342	472	588	-116	1,806	2,264	-458
2040	1,444	1,831	-387	520	666	-146	1,964	2,496	-532
2045	1,568	1,969	-401	573	739	-165	2,141	2,707	-566
2050	1,706	2,127	-421	633	806	-173	2,339	2,933	-594
2055	1,855	2,318	-463	700	872	-172	2,555	3,190	-635
2060	2,013	2,545	-532	773	945	-172	2,786	3,490	-704
2065	2,179	2,794	-614	850	1,031	-181	3,029	3,825	-796
2070	2,358	3,070	-712	933	1,132	-199	3,291	4,202	-911
2075	2,554	3,364	-810	1,025	1,243	-219	3,579	4,608	-1,029
2080	2,766	3,647	-880	1,122	1,355	-233	3,889	5,002	-1,114
2085	2,996	3,934	-938	1,226	1,464	-237	4,223	5,398	-1,175
2090	3,245	4,276	-1,031	1,340	1,576	-236	4,584	5,851	-1,267
2095	3,511	4,680	-1,169	1,462	1,698	-235	4,973	6,377	-1,404
Low-cost:									
2018	926	1,000	-74	307	307	^c	1,233	1,307	-74
2019	981	1,028	-47	321	312	9	1,303	1,341	-38
2020	1,021	1,065	-44	336	321	15	1,357	1,386	-29
2021	1,061	1,100	-39	350	332	18	1,410	1,432	-22
2022	1,099	1,137	-38	362	343	18	1,460	1,480	-20
2023	1,136	1,176	-40	374	354	20	1,509	1,529	-20
2024	1,173	1,215	-42	386	363	22	1,559	1,579	-20
2025	1,210	1,255	-45	398	373	25	1,608	1,628	-20
2026	1,256	1,295	-39	418	382	35	1,674	1,677	-4
2027	1,292	1,336	-44	431	391	39	1,722	1,727	-5
2030	1,386	1,462	-76	470	429	40	1,856	1,892	-36
2035	1,552	1,663	-111	539	469	70	2,091	2,132	-41
2040	1,740	1,841	-101	617	498	119	2,357	2,340	17
2045	1,964	2,016	-52	709	516	193	2,673	2,532	141
2050	2,226	2,234	-8	819	542	277	3,045	2,775	269
2055	2,522	2,509	14	945	576	369	3,467	3,084	382
2060	2,851	2,845	6	1,086	626	460	3,937	3,470	466
2065	3,216	3,221	-5	1,244	700	543	4,459	3,921	538
2070	3,630	3,649	-19	1,422	802	620	5,052	4,451	600
2075	4,110	4,120	-10	1,627	922	705	5,737	5,042	695
2080	4,656	4,596	60	1,859	1,052	807	6,515	5,648	867
2085	5,273	5,121	152	2,121	1,188	932	7,393	6,309	1,084
2090	5,965	5,802	162	2,418	1,336	1,082	8,383	7,138	1,244
2095	6,738	6,665	72	2,757	1,503	1,255	9,495	8,168	1,327

OASDI and HI: Estimates in Dollars

Table VI.G9.—OASDI and HI Annual Non-interest Income, Cost, and Balance in CPI-Indexed 2018 Dollars,^a Calendar Years 2018-2095 (Cont.)
[In billions]

Calendar year	OASDI			HI			Combined		
	Non-interest income	Cost ^b	Balance ^b	Non-interest income	Cost	Balance	Non-interest income	Cost ^b	Balance ^b
High-cost:									
2018	\$913	\$1,006	-\$93	\$301	\$315	-\$14	\$1,214	\$1,321	-\$107
2019	921	1,044	-123	300	326	-26	1,221	1,370	-149
2020	930	1,082	-152	305	339	-33	1,236	1,421	-185
2021	946	1,124	-178	314	358	-44	1,260	1,481	-221
2022	963	1,167	-204	322	380	-58	1,285	1,547	-262
2023	980	1,212	-232	330	403	-73	1,310	1,616	-305
2024	998	1,259	-261	338	426	-88	1,336	1,685	-348
2025	1,015	1,304	-289	347	450	-104	1,362	1,754	-393
2026	1,041	1,349	-308	362	474	-113	1,403	1,824	-420
2027	1,055	1,394	-339	369	498	-129	1,425	1,893	-468
2030	1,090	1,515	-425	387	590	-202	1,478	2,105	-627
2035	1,145	1,687	-542	415	732	-316	1,560	2,418	-858
2040	1,198	1,821	-623	442	879	-437	1,640	2,700	-1,060
2045	1,251	1,928	-677	469	1,031	-562	1,720	2,959	-1,239
2050	1,306	2,037	-731	496	1,167	-671	1,802	3,204	-1,402
2055	1,362	2,159	-797	524	1,282	-758	1,886	3,441	-1,555
2060	1,418	2,298	-880	553	1,382	-829	1,971	3,680	-1,709
2065	1,471	2,444	-972	582	1,468	-886	2,053	3,912	-1,858
2070	1,523	2,603	-1,079	611	1,542	-930	2,135	4,144	-2,010
2075	1,577	2,765	-1,188	642	1,615	-973	2,219	4,380	-2,161
2080	1,630	2,907	-1,278	672	1,676	-1,005	2,302	4,584	-2,282
2085	1,685	3,032	-1,347	702	1,725	-1,023	2,387	4,757	-2,371
2090	1,742	3,157	-1,415	733	1,773	-1,040	2,475	4,930	-2,455
2095	1,801	3,289	-1,487	765	1,825	-1,060	2,566	5,113	-2,547

^a CPI-indexed 2018 dollars equal current dollars adjusted by the CPI indexing series in table VI.G6.
^b OASDI benefit payments which were scheduled to be paid on January 3 for some past and future years were actually paid on December 31 as required by the statutory provision for early delivery of benefit payments when the normal payment delivery date is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday. For comparability with the values for historical years and the projections in this report, all trust fund operations and asset reserves reflect the 12 months of benefits scheduled for payment each year.
^c Between \$0 and \$500 million.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Table VI.G10 shows values in current, or nominal, dollars—that is, in dollars unadjusted for inflation. This table presents the annual non-interest income, cost, and balance of the combined OASI and DI Trust Funds, of the HI Trust Fund, and of the combined OASI, DI, and HI Trust Funds, based on the low-cost, intermediate, and high-cost sets of assumptions.

Appendices

Table VI.G10.—OASDI and HI Annual Non-interest Income, Cost, and Balance in Current Dollars, Calendar Years 2018-2095
[In billions]

Calendar year	OASDI			HI			Combined		
	Non-interest income	Cost ^a	Balance ^a	Non-interest income	Cost	Balance	Non-interest income	Cost ^a	Balance ^a
Intermediate:									
2018	\$918	\$1,003	-\$85	\$303	\$311	-\$8	\$1,221	\$1,314	-\$93
2019	979	1,062	-82	321	329	-9	1,300	1,391	-91
2020	1,031	1,129	-98	340	350	-10	1,370	1,479	-109
2021	1,086	1,200	-114	359	374	-15	1,446	1,574	-129
2022	1,144	1,276	-132	380	403	-23	1,524	1,678	-155
2023	1,203	1,357	-154	401	432	-31	1,604	1,788	-185
2024	1,265	1,442	-176	423	462	-39	1,688	1,903	-215
2025	1,329	1,530	-202	446	493	-47	1,774	2,023	-249
2026	1,405	1,622	-217	477	525	-47	1,883	2,147	-264
2027	1,471	1,719	-247	502	558	-56	1,973	2,276	-303
2030	1,673	2,025	-352	580	687	-107	2,252	2,712	-460
2035	2,062	2,590	-528	730	909	-180	2,791	3,500	-708
2040	2,538	3,217	-680	914	1,170	-256	3,452	4,387	-935
2045	3,133	3,933	-801	1,146	1,476	-330	4,278	5,409	-1,131
2050	3,875	4,831	-956	1,439	1,831	-392	5,314	6,662	-1,349
2055	4,791	5,987	-1,196	1,808	2,252	-444	6,599	8,239	-1,640
2060	5,912	7,474	-1,562	2,268	2,774	-506	8,180	10,248	-2,068
2065	7,275	9,327	-2,051	2,837	3,442	-605	10,112	12,769	-2,656
2070	8,948	11,652	-2,703	3,542	4,297	-755	12,490	15,949	-3,458
2075	11,022	14,518	-3,496	4,422	5,366	-944	15,444	19,883	-4,440
2080	13,573	17,892	-4,319	5,505	6,650	-1,145	19,078	24,542	-5,464
2085	16,714	21,946	-5,233	6,840	8,163	-1,323	23,554	30,110	-6,556
2090	20,578	27,116	-6,538	8,496	9,992	-1,496	29,074	37,108	-8,034
2095	25,315	33,743	-8,428	10,544	12,240	-1,695	35,859	45,982	-10,123
Low-cost:									
2018	926	1,000	-74	307	307	b	1,233	1,307	-74
2019	1,013	1,062	-49	332	322	9	1,345	1,384	-39
2020	1,088	1,135	-47	358	343	16	1,447	1,478	-31
2021	1,167	1,210	-43	384	365	19	1,551	1,575	-24
2022	1,247	1,291	-43	411	390	21	1,658	1,680	-22
2023	1,330	1,377	-47	438	414	23	1,768	1,792	-23
2024	1,419	1,469	-51	467	439	27	1,885	1,909	-24
2025	1,510	1,566	-56	497	466	32	2,007	2,032	-25
2026	1,617	1,667	-50	538	492	46	2,155	2,160	-5
2027	1,716	1,775	-59	572	520	52	2,289	2,295	-6
2030	2,024	2,136	-112	686	627	59	2,710	2,763	-53
2035	2,654	2,843	-189	921	802	119	3,575	3,645	-70
2040	3,482	3,685	-203	1,235	998	237	4,717	4,683	34
2045	4,601	4,723	-122	1,662	1,209	452	6,263	5,932	330
2050	6,104	6,125	-21	2,245	1,486	760	8,350	7,611	739
2055	8,096	8,053	43	3,032	1,848	1,184	11,129	9,901	1,228
2060	10,712	10,689	23	4,081	2,352	1,730	14,794	13,041	1,753
2065	14,145	14,168	-23	5,470	3,080	2,390	19,615	17,248	2,367
2070	18,690	18,789	-99	7,321	4,131	3,191	26,011	22,920	3,091
2075	24,771	24,833	-62	9,808	5,556	4,252	34,578	30,389	4,190
2080	32,850	32,428	422	13,116	7,422	5,694	45,966	39,850	6,115
2085	43,547	42,293	1,253	17,514	9,814	7,699	61,060	52,108	8,953
2090	57,662	56,091	1,571	23,379	12,920	10,460	81,041	69,011	12,030
2095	76,246	75,427	819	31,205	17,004	14,202	107,451	92,430	15,020

OASDI and HI: Estimates in Dollars

Table VI.G10.—OASDI and HI Annual Non-interest Income, Cost, and Balance in Current Dollars, Calendar Years 2018-2095 (Cont.)
[In billions]

Calendar year	OASDI			HI			Combined		
	Non-interest income	Cost ^a	Balance ^a	Non-interest income	Cost	Balance	Non-interest income	Cost ^a	Balance ^a
High-cost:									
2018	\$913	\$1,006	-\$93	\$301	\$315	-\$14	\$1,214	\$1,321	-\$107
2019	935	1,059	-124	305	331	-27	1,239	1,390	-151
2020	962	1,119	-157	316	350	-34	1,278	1,469	-191
2021	998	1,186	-188	331	377	-46	1,329	1,563	-234
2022	1,036	1,256	-220	347	409	-62	1,383	1,665	-282
2023	1,076	1,331	-255	363	443	-80	1,438	1,773	-335
2024	1,117	1,409	-292	379	477	-98	1,496	1,886	-390
2025	1,159	1,489	-330	396	514	-118	1,555	2,004	-449
2026	1,213	1,572	-359	421	552	-131	1,634	2,124	-490
2027	1,254	1,657	-403	439	592	-153	1,693	2,249	-556
2030	1,375	1,910	-536	488	743	-255	1,863	2,654	-791
2035	1,594	2,348	-754	578	1,018	-440	2,172	3,366	-1,194
2040	1,841	2,798	-957	679	1,352	-672	2,521	4,150	-1,629
2045	2,123	3,272	-1,149	795	1,749	-954	2,918	5,021	-2,103
2050	2,447	3,816	-1,369	929	2,186	-1,258	3,376	6,002	-2,627
2055	2,818	4,467	-1,649	1,084	2,652	-1,568	3,902	7,118	-3,217
2060	3,239	5,248	-2,010	1,263	3,156	-1,893	4,501	8,404	-3,903
2065	3,710	6,162	-2,452	1,467	3,702	-2,234	5,178	9,864	-4,686
2070	4,241	7,246	-3,005	1,702	4,292	-2,590	5,943	11,538	-5,595
2075	4,847	8,499	-3,652	1,972	4,963	-2,991	6,819	13,462	-6,643
2080	5,531	9,867	-4,335	2,279	5,689	-3,410	7,811	15,556	-7,745
2085	6,313	11,361	-5,048	2,629	6,464	-3,835	8,942	17,825	-8,883
2090	7,208	13,060	-5,852	3,031	7,334	-4,302	10,239	20,393	-10,154
2095	8,227	15,021	-6,794	3,493	8,335	-4,841	11,720	23,356	-11,635

^a OASDI benefit payments which were scheduled to be paid on January 3 for some past and future years were actually paid on December 31 as required by the statutory provision for early delivery of benefit payments when the normal payment delivery date is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday. For comparability with the values for historical years and the projections in this report, all trust fund operations and asset reserves reflect the 12 months of benefits scheduled for payment each year.

^b Between \$0 and \$500 million.

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

H. ANALYSIS OF BENEFIT DISBURSEMENTS FROM THE OASI TRUST FUND WITH RESPECT TO DISABLED BENEFICIARIES

(Required by section 201(c) of the Social Security Act)

Effective January 1957, the OASI Trust Fund pays monthly benefits to disabled children aged 18 and over of retired and deceased workers if the disability began before age 18. The age by which disability must have begun was later changed to age 22. Effective February 1968, the OASI Trust Fund pays reduced monthly benefits to disabled widows and widowers at ages 50 and over. Effective January 1991, the requirements for the disability of the widow or widower were made less restrictive.

At the end of 2017, the OASI Trust Fund was providing monthly benefit payments to about 1,124,000 people because of their disabilities or the disabilities of children. This total includes approximately 24,000 mothers and fathers (wives or husbands under normal retirement age of retired-worker beneficiaries and widows or widowers of deceased insured workers) who met all other qualifying requirements and were receiving unreduced benefits solely because they had disabled-child beneficiaries (or disabled children aged 16 or 17) in their care. In calendar year 2017, the OASI Trust Fund paid a total of \$11,355 million to the people described above. Table VI.H1 shows OASI scheduled benefits for disability for selected calendar years during 1960 through 2017 and estimates for 2018 through 2027 based on the intermediate set of assumptions.

OASI Expenditures for the Disabled

**Table VI.H1.—Scheduled Benefit Disbursements From the OASI Trust Fund
With Respect to Disabled Beneficiaries**
[Beneficiaries in thousands; scheduled benefits in millions]

Calendar year	Disabled beneficiaries, end of year			Amount of scheduled benefits ^{a b}		
	Total	Children ^c	Widows- widowers ^d	Total	Children ^c	Widows- widowers ^e
Historical data:						
1960	117	117	—	\$59	\$59	—
1965	214	214	—	134	134	—
1970	316	281	36	301	260	\$41
1975	435	376	58	664	560	104
1980	519	460	59	1,223	1,097	126
1985	594	547	47	2,072	1,885	187
1990	662	613	49	2,882	2,649	233
1995	772	681	91	4,202	3,672	531
2000	811	707	104	5,203	4,523	680
2005	836	728	108	6,449	5,556	834
2006	840	732	108	6,720	5,852	864
2007	851	744	107	7,053	6,181	869
2008	922	813	109	7,688	6,776	908
2009	969	857	112	8,595	7,618	974
2010	996	879	117	8,858	7,848	1,008
2011	1,020	899	121	9,136	8,085	1,050
2012	1,045	920	125	9,698	8,595	1,102
2013	1,065	939	126	9,953	8,840	1,109
2014	1,079	954	125	10,326	9,217	1,108
2015	1,096	972	124	10,736	9,624	1,109
2016	1,109	988	121	11,025	9,933	1,087
2017	1,124	1,006	117	11,355	10,288	1,061
Estimates under the intermediate assumptions:						
2018	1,139	1,025	114	11,737	10,689	1,042
2019	1,153	1,044	110	12,250	11,213	1,029
2020	1,168	1,062	106	12,828	11,796	1,024
2021	1,183	1,079	103	13,388	12,357	1,023
2022	1,197	1,097	100	13,972	12,942	1,022
2023	1,211	1,113	98	14,567	13,541	1,018
2024	1,226	1,130	96	15,211	14,175	1,028
2025	1,240	1,146	94	15,888	14,847	1,033
2026	1,254	1,162	92	16,610	15,550	1,051
2027	1,268	1,176	91	17,363	16,286	1,069

^a Beginning in 1966, includes payments for vocational rehabilitation services.

^b Amounts for 2015 and 2016 are adjusted to include in 2016 operations those benefit payments regularly scheduled in the law to be paid on January 3, 2016, which were actually paid on December 31, 2015 as required by the statutory provision for early benefit payments when the normal delivery date is on a Saturday, Sunday, or public holiday. Such shifts in payments across calendar years occur periodically whenever January 3rd falls on a Sunday. In order to provide a consistent perspective on scheduled benefits over time, scheduled benefits in each year reflect the 12 months of benefits that are regularly scheduled for payment in that year.

^c Also includes certain mothers and fathers (see text).

^d In 1984 and later years, includes only disabled widows and widowers aged 50-59, because disabled widows and widowers age 60 and older are eligible for the same benefit as a nondisabled aged widow or widower. Therefore, they are not receiving benefits solely because of a disability.

^e In 1983 and prior years, includes the offsetting effect of lower benefits payable to disabled widows and widowers who continued to receive benefits after attaining age 60 (62, for disabled widowers prior to 1973), compared to the higher nondisabled widow's and widower's benefits that would otherwise be payable. In 1984 and later years, includes only scheduled benefits to disabled widows and widowers aged 50-59 (see footnote d).

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

Appendices

Under the intermediate assumptions, estimated total scheduled benefits from the OASI Trust Fund with respect to disabled beneficiaries will increase from \$11,737 million in calendar year 2018 to \$17,363 million in calendar year 2027.

In calendar year 2017, benefit payments (including expenditures for vocational rehabilitation services) with respect to disabled persons from the OASI Trust Fund and from the DI Trust Fund (including payments from the DI fund to all children and spouses of disabled-worker beneficiaries) totaled \$154,181 million. Of this amount, \$11,355 million, or 7.4 percent, represented payments from the OASI Trust Fund. Table VI.H2 contains these and similar figures for selected calendar years during 1960 through 2017 and estimates for calendar years 2018 through 2027.

OASI Expenditures for the Disabled

**Table VI.H2.—Scheduled Benefit Disbursements^a Under the OASDI Program
With Respect to Disabled Beneficiaries**
[Amounts in millions]

Calendar year	Total ^b	DI Trust Fund ^c	OASI Trust Fund	
			Amount ^d	Percentage of total
Historical data:				
1960	\$627	\$568	\$59	9.4
1965	1,707	1,573	134	7.9
1970	3,386	3,085	301	8.9
1975	9,169	8,505	664	7.2
1980	16,738	15,515	1,223	7.3
1985	20,908	18,836	2,072	9.9
1990	27,717	24,835	2,882	10.4
1995	45,140	40,937	4,202	9.3
2000	60,204	55,001	5,203	8.6
2005	91,835	85,386	6,449	7.0
2006	99,165	92,446	6,720	6.8
2007	106,200	99,147	7,053	6.6
2008	114,064	106,376	7,688	6.7
2009	127,002	118,407	8,595	6.8
2010	133,103	124,245	8,858	6.7
2011	138,115	128,979	9,136	6.6
2012	146,623	136,925	9,698	6.6
2013	150,108	140,155	9,953	6.6
2014	152,031	141,705	10,326	6.8
2015	154,124	143,388	10,736	7.0
2016	153,824	142,800	11,025	7.2
2017	154,181	142,826	11,355	7.4
Estimates under the intermediate assumptions:				
2018	158,036	146,298	11,737	7.4
2019	162,382	150,132	12,250	7.5
2020	167,069	154,240	12,828	7.7
2021	173,139	159,751	13,388	7.7
2022	179,634	165,662	13,972	7.8
2023	187,277	172,710	14,567	7.8
2024	195,532	180,321	15,211	7.8
2025	204,781	188,894	15,888	7.8
2026	214,571	197,961	16,610	7.7
2027	224,647	207,284	17,363	7.7

^a Amounts for 2015 and 2016 are adjusted to include in 2016 operations those benefit payments regularly scheduled in the law to be paid on January 3, 2016, which were actually paid on December 31, 2015 as required by the statutory provision for early benefit payments when the normal delivery date is on a Saturday, Sunday, or public holiday. Such shifts in payments across calendar years occur periodically whenever January 3rd falls on a Sunday. In order to provide a consistent perspective on scheduled benefits over time, scheduled benefits in each year reflect the 12 months of benefits that are regularly scheduled for payment in that year.

^b Beginning in 1966, includes payments for vocational rehabilitation services.

^c Scheduled benefits for disabled workers and their children and spouses.

^d Scheduled benefits for disabled children aged 18 and over, for certain mothers and fathers (see text), and for disabled widows and widowers (see footnote e, table VI.H1).

Note: Totals do not necessarily equal the sums of rounded components.

I. GLOSSARY

Actuarial balance. The difference between the summarized income rate and the summarized cost rate as a percentage of taxable payroll over a given valuation period.

Actuarial deficit. A negative actuarial balance.

Administrative expenses. Expenses incurred by the Social Security Administration and the Department of the Treasury in administering the OASDI program and the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code relating to the collection of contributions. Such administrative expenses are paid from the OASI and DI Trust Funds.

Advance tax transfers. Amounts representing the estimated total OASDI tax contributions for a given month. From May 1983 through November 1990, such amounts were credited to the OASI and DI Trust Funds at the beginning of each month. The trust funds reimbursed the General Fund of the Treasury for the associated loss of interest. Advance tax transfers are no longer made unless needed in order to pay benefits.

Alternatives I, II, or III. See “Assumptions.”

Annual balance. The difference between the income rate and the cost rate for a given year.

Asset reserves. The cumulative excess of trust fund income over trust fund expenditures over all years to date. These reserves are held by the trust funds in the form of Treasury notes and bonds, other securities guaranteed by the Federal Government, certain Federally sponsored agency obligations, and cash.

Assumptions. Values related to future trends in key factors that affect the trust funds. Demographic assumptions include fertility, mortality, net immigration, marriage, and divorce. Economic assumptions include unemployment rates, average earnings, inflation, interest rates, and productivity. Program-specific assumptions include retirement patterns, and disability incidence and termination rates. This report presents three sets of demographic, economic, and program-specific assumptions:

- Alternative II is the intermediate set of assumptions, and represents the Trustees’ best estimates of likely future demographic, economic, and program-specific conditions.
- Alternative I is a low-cost set of assumptions—it assumes relatively rapid economic growth, high inflation, and favorable (from the standpoint of program financing) demographic and program-specific conditions.

- Alternative III is a high-cost set of assumptions—it assumes relatively slow economic growth, low inflation, and unfavorable (from the standpoint of program financing) demographic and program-specific conditions.

See tables V.A2, V.B1, and V.B2.

Automatic cost-of-living benefit increase. The annual increase in benefits, effective for December, reflecting the increase, if any, in the cost of living. A benefit increase is applicable only after a beneficiary becomes eligible for benefits. In general, the benefit increase equals the percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers (CPI-W) measured from the third quarter of the previous year to the third quarter of the current year. If there is no increase in the CPI-W, there is no cost-of-living benefit increase. See table V.C1.

Auxiliary benefits. Monthly benefits payable to a spouse or child of a retired or disabled worker, or to a survivor of a deceased worker.

Average indexed monthly earnings—AIME. The measure of lifetime earnings used in determining the primary insurance amount (PIA) for most workers who attain age 62, become disabled, or die after 1978. A worker's actual past earnings are adjusted by changes in the average wage index, in order to bring them up to their approximately equivalent value at the time of retirement or other eligibility for benefits.

Average wage index—AWI. A series that generally increases with the average amount of total wages for each year after 1950, including wages in non-covered employment and wages in covered employment in excess of the OASDI contribution and benefit base. (See Title 20, Chapter III, section 404.211(c) of the Code of Federal Regulations for a more precise definition.) These average wage amounts are used to index the taxable earnings of most workers first becoming eligible for benefits in 1979 or later, and for automatic adjustments in the contribution and benefit base, bend points, earnings test exempt amounts, and other wage-indexed amounts. See table V.C1.

Award. An administrative determination that an individual is entitled to receive a specified type of OASDI benefit. Awards can represent not only new entrants to the benefit rolls but also persons already on the rolls who become entitled to a different type of benefit. Awards usually result in the immediate payment of benefits, although payments may be deferred or withheld depending on the individual's particular circumstances.

Baby boom. The period from the end of World War II (1946) through 1965 marked by unusually high birth rates.

Appendices

Bend points. The dollar amounts defining the AIME or PIA brackets in the benefit formulas. For the bend points for years 1979 and later, see table V.C2.

Beneficiary. A person who has been awarded benefits on the basis of his or her own or another's earnings record. The benefits may be either in current-payment status or withheld.

Benefit award. See "Award."

Benefit conversion. See "Disability conversion."

Benefit payments. The amounts disbursed for OASI and DI benefits by the Department of the Treasury.

Benefit termination. See "Termination."

Best estimate assumptions. See "Assumptions."

Board. See "Board of Trustees."

Board of Trustees. A Board established by the Social Security Act to oversee the financial operations of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund and the Federal Disability Insurance Trust Fund. The Board is composed of six members. Four members serve by virtue of their positions in the Federal Government: the Secretary of the Treasury, who is the Managing Trustee; the Secretary of Labor; the Secretary of Health and Human Services; and the Commissioner of Social Security. The President appoints and the Senate confirms the other two members to serve as public representatives. Also referred to as the "Board" or the "Trustees."

Cash flow. Actual or projected revenue and costs reflecting the levels of payroll tax contribution rates and benefits scheduled in the law. Net cash flow is the difference between non-interest income and cost.

Consumer Price Index—CPI. An official measure of inflation in consumer prices. In this report, CPI refers to the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers (CPI-W). The Bureau of Labor Statistics, Department of Labor, publishes historical values for the CPI-W.

Contribution and benefit base. Annual dollar amount above which earnings in employment covered under the OASDI program are neither taxable nor creditable for benefit-computation purposes. (Also referred to as maximum contribution and benefit base, annual creditable maximum, taxable maximum, and maximum taxable.) See tables V.C1 and V.C6. See "Hospital Insurance (HI) contribution base."

Contributions. See "Payroll tax contributions."

Conversion. See "Disability conversion."

Cost. The cost shown for a year includes benefits scheduled for payment in the year, administrative expenses, financial interchange with the Railroad

Retirement program, and payments for vocational rehabilitation services for disabled beneficiaries.

Cost-of-living adjustment. See “Automatic cost-of-living benefit increase.”

Cost rate. The cost rate for a year is the ratio of the cost of the program to the taxable payroll for the year.

Covered earnings. Wages or earnings from self-employment covered by the OASDI program.

Covered employment. All employment for which earnings are creditable for Social Security purposes. The program covers almost all employment. Some exceptions are:

- State and local government employees whose employer has not elected to be covered under Social Security and who are participating in an employer-provided pension plan.
- Current Federal civilian workers hired before 1984 who have not elected to be covered.
- Self-employed workers earning less than \$400 in a calendar year.

Covered worker. A person who has earnings creditable for Social Security purposes based on services for wages in covered employment or income from covered self-employment.

CPI-indexed dollars. Amounts adjusted by the CPI to the value of the dollar in a particular year.

Creditable earnings. Wages or self-employment earnings posted to a worker’s earnings record. Such earnings determine eligibility for benefits and the amount of benefits on that worker’s record. The contribution and benefit base is the maximum amount of creditable earnings for each worker in a calendar year.

Current-cost financing. See “Pay-as-you-go financing.”

Current dollars. Amounts expressed in nominal dollars with no adjustment for inflation.

Currently insured status. A worker acquires currently insured status when he or she has accumulated six quarters of coverage during the 13-quarter period ending with the current quarter.

Current-payment status. Status of a beneficiary to whom a benefit is being paid for a given month (with or without deductions, provided the deductions add to less than a full month’s benefit).

Deemed filing. Under certain circumstances, a person applying for or receiving either an aged-spouse benefit or a retired-worker benefit is required to also file for the other of these two types of benefits. For those first eligible for benefits before 2016, this requirement applies to any person under normal retirement age who is eligible for the other benefit as of the starting month

Appendices

for the first benefit. For those first eligible for benefits in 2016 and later, this requirement applies whenever the person is eligible for the other benefit. This can occur at any age, and in months after the starting month of the first benefit.

Deemed wage credit. See “Military service wage credits.”

Delayed retirement credits. Increases in the benefit amount for certain individuals who did not receive benefits for months after attaining normal retirement age but before age 70. Delayed retirement credits apply to benefits for January of the year following the year they are earned or for the month of attainment of age 70, whichever comes first. See table V.C3.

Demographic assumptions. See “Assumptions.”

Disability. For Social Security purposes, the inability to engage in substantial gainful activity (see “Substantial gainful activity—SGA”) by reason of any medically determinable physical or mental impairment that can be expected to result in death or to last for a continuous period of not less than 12 months. Special rules apply for workers at ages 55 and over whose disability is based on blindness.

The law generally requires that a person be disabled continuously for 5 months before he or she can qualify for a disabled-worker benefit.

Disability conversion ratio. For a given year, the ratio of the number of disability conversions to the average number of disabled-worker beneficiaries at all ages during the year.

Disability conversion. Upon attainment of normal retirement age, a disabled-worker beneficiary is automatically converted to retired-worker status.

Disability incidence rate. The proportion of workers in a given year, insured for but not receiving disability benefits, who apply for and are awarded disability benefits.

Disability Insurance (DI) Trust Fund. See “Trust fund.”

Disability insured status. A worker acquires disability insured status if he or she is: (1) a fully insured worker who has accumulated 20 quarters of coverage during the 40-quarter period ending with the current quarter, (2) a fully insured worker aged 24-30 who has accumulated quarters of coverage during one-half of the quarters elapsed after the quarter of attainment of age 21 and up to and including the current quarter, or (3) a fully insured worker under age 24 who has accumulated six quarters of coverage during the 12-quarter period ending with the current quarter.

Disability prevalence rate. The proportion of persons insured for disability benefits who are disabled-worker beneficiaries in current-payment status.

Disability termination rate. The proportion of disabled-worker beneficiaries in a given year whose disability benefits terminate as a result of their recovery or death.

Disabled-worker benefit. A monthly benefit payable to a disabled worker under normal retirement age and insured for disability. Before November 1960, disability benefits were limited to disabled workers aged 50-64.

Disbursements. Actual expenditures (outgo) made or expected to be made under current law, including benefits paid or payable, administrative expenses, financial interchange with the Railroad Retirement program, and payments for vocational rehabilitation services for disabled beneficiaries.

Dual entitlement. A person may be entitled to more than one benefit at the same time. For example, a person may be entitled as a retired worker on his or her own record and as a spouse on another record. However, a person's benefit amount can never exceed the highest single benefit to which that person is entitled. Some benefits are calculated independently with the larger benefit being paid or the smaller benefit being paid plus the excess amount of the larger one.

Earnings. Unless otherwise qualified, all wages from employment and net earnings from self-employment, whether or not they are taxable or covered.

Earnings test. The provision requiring the withholding of benefits if beneficiaries under normal retirement age have earnings in excess of certain exempt amounts. See table V.C1.

Economic assumptions. See "Assumptions."

Effective interest rate. See "Interest rate."

Excess wages. Wages in excess of the contribution and benefit base on which a worker initially makes payroll tax contributions, usually as a result of working for more than one employer during a year. Employee payroll taxes on excess wages are refundable to affected employees, while the employer taxes are not refundable.

Expenditures. See "Disbursements."

Federal Insurance Contributions Act—FICA. Provision authorizing payroll taxes on the wages of employed persons to provide for Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance, and for Hospital Insurance. Workers and their employers generally pay the tax in equal amounts.

File and suspend. The ability to apply for a retired-worker benefit at or after normal retirement age, then voluntarily suspend it, allowing the worker to earn delayed retirement credits and a spouse or child to receive benefits on the worker's record. Voluntary suspensions requested after April 29, 2016 no longer allow spouses (other than divorced spouses) and children to receive benefits while the worker's benefit is suspended.

Financial interchange. Provisions of the Railroad Retirement Act providing for transfers between the trust funds and the Social Security Equivalent Benefit Account of the Railroad Retirement program in order to place each trust

Appendices

fund in the same financial position it would have been had railroad employment always been covered under Social Security.

Fiscal year. The accounting year of the United States Government. A fiscal year is the 12-month period ending September 30. For example, fiscal year 2018 began October 1, 2017, and will end September 30, 2018.

Full advance funding. A financing method in which contributions are established to match the full cost of future benefits as these costs are incurred through current service. Such financing methods also provide for amortization over a fixed period of any financial obligation that is incurred at the beginning of the program (or subsequent modification) as a result of granting credit for past service.

Fully insured status. A worker acquires fully insured status when his or her total number of quarters of coverage is greater than or equal to the number of years elapsed after the year of attainment of age 21 (but not less than six). Once a worker has accumulated 40 quarters of coverage, he or she remains permanently fully insured.

General Fund of the Treasury. Funds held by the Treasury of the United States, other than receipts collected for a specific purpose (such as Social Security), and maintained in a separate account for that purpose.

General Fund reimbursements. Payments from the General Fund of the Treasury to the trust funds for specific purposes defined in the law, including:

- The cost of noncontributory wage credits for military service before 1957, and periodic adjustments of previous determinations.
- The cost in 1971-82 of deemed wage credits for military service performed after 1956.
- The cost of benefits to certain uninsured persons who attained age 72 before 1968.
- The cost of payroll tax credits provided to employees in 1984 and self-employed persons in 1984-89 by Public Law 98-21.
- The cost in 2009-17 of excluding certain self-employment earnings from SECA taxes under Public Law 110-246.
- Payroll tax revenue forgone under the provisions of Public Laws 111-147, 111-312, 112-78, and 112-96.

The General Fund also reimburses the trust funds for various other items, including interest on checks which are not negotiated 6 months after the month of issue and costs incurred in performing certain legislatively mandated activities not directly related to administering the OASI and DI programs.

Gross domestic product—GDP. The total dollar value of all goods and services produced by labor and property located in the United States, regardless of who supplies the labor or property.

Hospital Insurance (HI) contribution base. Annual dollar amount above which earnings in employment covered under the HI program are not taxable. (Also referred to as maximum contribution base, taxable maximum, and maximum taxable.) Beginning in 1994, the HI contribution base was eliminated.

High-cost assumptions. See “Assumptions.”

Hospital Insurance (HI) Trust Fund. See “Trust fund.”

Immigration. See “Lawful permanent resident (LPR) immigration” and “Other-than-LPR immigration.”

Income. Income for a given year is the sum of tax revenue on a cash basis (payroll tax contributions and income from the taxation of scheduled benefits), reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury, if any, and interest credited to the trust funds.

Income rate. Ratio of non-interest income to the OASDI taxable payroll for the year.

Infinite horizon. The period extending indefinitely into the future.

Inflation. An increase in the general price level of goods and services.

Insured status. The state or condition of having sufficient quarters of coverage to meet the eligibility requirements for retired-worker or disabled-worker benefits, or to permit the worker’s spouse and children or survivors to establish eligibility for benefits in the event of his or her disability, retirement, or death. See “Quarters of coverage.”

Interest. A payment in exchange for the use of money during a specified period.

Interest rate. Interest rates on new public-debt obligations issuable to Federal trust funds (see “Special public-debt obligation”) are determined monthly. Such rates are equal to the average market yield on all outstanding marketable U.S. securities not due or callable until after 4 years from the date the rate is determined. See table V.B2 for historical and assumed future interest rates on new special-issue securities. The effective interest rate for a trust fund is the ratio of the interest earned by the fund over a given period of time to the average level of asset reserves held by the fund during the period. The effective rate of interest thus represents a measure of the overall average interest earnings on the fund’s portfolio of investments.

Interfund borrowing. The borrowing of asset reserves by a trust fund (OASI, DI, or HI) from another trust fund when the first fund is in danger of depletion. The Social Security Act permitted interfund borrowing only during 1982 through 1987, and required all amounts borrowed to be repaid prior to the end of 1989. The only exercise of this authority occurred in 1982,

Appendices

when the OASI Trust Fund borrowed from the DI and HI Trust Funds. The final repayment of borrowed amounts occurred in 1986.

Intermediate assumptions. See “Assumptions.”

Lawful permanent resident (LPR) immigration. Persons who enter the Social Security area population and are granted LPR status, or who are already in the Social Security area population and adjust their status to become LPRs. Persons who enter the country with legal visas but without LPR status, such as temporary foreign workers and students, are not included in the “LPR immigration” category.

Legal emigration. Lawful permanent residents and citizens who leave the Social Security area population.

Life expectancy. Average remaining number of years expected prior to death. Period life expectancy is calculated for a given year using the actual or expected death rates at each age for that year. Cohort life expectancy, sometimes referred to as generational life expectancy, is calculated for individuals at a specific age in a given year using actual or expected death rates from the years in which the individuals would actually reach each succeeding age if they survive.

Long-range. The next 75 years. The Trustees make long-range actuarial estimates for this period because it covers approximately the maximum remaining lifetime for virtually all current Social Security participants.

Low-cost assumptions. See “Assumptions.”

Lump-sum death payment. A lump sum, generally \$255, payable on the death of a fully or currently insured worker. The lump sum is payable to the surviving spouse of the worker, under most circumstances, or to the worker’s children.

Maximum family benefit. The maximum monthly amount that can be paid on a worker’s earnings record. Whenever the total of the individual monthly benefits payable to all the beneficiaries entitled on one earnings record exceeds the maximum, each dependent’s or survivor’s benefit is proportionately reduced. Benefits payable to divorced spouses or surviving divorced spouses are not reduced under the family maximum provision.

Medicare. A nationwide, Federally administered health insurance program authorized in 1965 under Title XVIII of the Social Security Act to cover the cost of hospitalization, medical care, and some related services for most persons age 65 and over. In 1972, lawmakers extended coverage to persons receiving Social Security Disability Insurance payments for 2 years and persons with End-Stage Renal Disease. (For beneficiaries whose primary or secondary diagnosis is Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis, the 2-year waiting period is waived.) In 2010, persons exposed to environmental health hazards within areas under a corresponding emergency declaration became Medicare-eligible.

ble. In 2006, prescription drug coverage was added as well. Medicare consists of two separate but coordinated trust funds—Hospital Insurance (HI, Part A) and Supplementary Medical Insurance (SMI). The SMI Trust Fund is composed of two separate accounts—the Part B account and the Part D account. Almost all persons who are aged 65 and over or disabled and who are entitled to HI are eligible to enroll in Part B and Part D on a voluntary basis by paying monthly premiums.

Military service wage credits. Credits toward OASDI earnings records for benefit computation purposes, recognizing that military personnel receive non-wage compensation (such as food and shelter) in addition to their basic pay and other cash payments. Military personnel do not pay payroll taxes on these credits. Noncontributory wage credits of \$160 were provided for each month of active military service from September 16, 1940, through December 31, 1956. For years after 1956, the basic pay of military personnel is covered under the Social Security program on a contributory basis. In addition to the contributory credits for basic pay, noncontributory wage credits of \$300 were granted for each calendar quarter, from January 1957 through December 1977, in which a person received pay for military service. Noncontributory wage credits of \$100 were granted for each \$300 of military wages, up to a maximum credit of \$1,200 per calendar year, from January 1978 through December 2001.

National average wage index—AWI. See “Average wage index—AWI.”

Non-interest income. Non-interest income for a given year is the sum of tax revenue on a cash basis (payroll tax contributions and income from the taxation of scheduled benefits) and reimbursements from the General Fund of the Treasury, if any.

Nonresident alien beneficiary. An OASDI beneficiary who is not a U.S. citizen and who is living abroad while receiving benefits.

Normal retirement age—NRA. The age at which a person may first become entitled to retirement benefits without reduction based on age. For persons reaching age 62 before 2000, the normal retirement age is 65. It will increase gradually to 67 for persons reaching that age in 2027 or later, beginning with an increase to 65 years and 2 months for persons reaching age 65 in 2003. See table V.C3.

Old-Age and Survivors Insurance (OASI) Trust Fund. See “Trust fund.”

Old-law base. Amount the contribution and benefit base would have been if the 1977 amendments had not provided for ad hoc increases. The Social Security Amendments of 1972 provided for automatic annual indexing of the contribution and benefit base. The Social Security Amendments of 1977 specified ad hoc bases for 1978-81, with subsequent bases updated in accordance with the normal indexing procedure. See table V.C2.

Open group unfunded obligation. See “Unfunded obligation.”

Other-than-LPR emigration. Other-than-LPR immigrants who leave the Social Security area population or who adjust their status to become LPRs.

Other-than-LPR immigration. Persons who enter the Social Security area population and stay to the end of the year without being granted LPR status, such as undocumented immigrants, and foreign workers and students entering with temporary visas.

Outgo. See “Disbursements.”

Par value. The value printed on the face of a bond. For both public and special issues held by the trust funds, par value is also the redemption value at maturity.

Partial advance funding. A financing method in which contributions are established to provide a substantial accumulation of trust fund asset reserves, thereby generating additional interest income to the trust funds and reducing the need for payroll tax increases in periods when costs are relatively high. Higher general contributions or additional borrowing may be required, however, to support the payment of such interest. While substantial, the trust fund buildup under partial advance funding is much smaller than it would be with full advance funding.

Pay-as-you-go financing. A financing method in which contributions are established to produce just as much income as required to pay current benefits, with trust fund asset reserves built up only to the extent needed to prevent depletion of the fund by random economic fluctuations.

Payroll tax contributions. The amount based on a percent of earnings, up to an annual maximum, that must be paid by:

- employers and employees on wages from employment under the Federal Insurance Contributions Act,
- the self-employed on net earnings from self-employment under the Self-Employment Contributions Act, and
- States on the wages of State and local government employees covered under the Social Security Act through voluntary agreements under section 218 of the act.

Also referred to as payroll taxes.

Population in the Social Security area. See “Social Security area population.”

Present value. The equivalent value, at the present time, of a stream of values (either income or cost, past or future). Present values are used widely in calculations involving financial transactions over long periods of time to account for the time value of money, by discounting or accumulating these transactions at the rate of interest. Present-value calculations for this report use the effective yield on trust fund asset reserves.

Primary insurance amount—PIA. The monthly amount payable to a retired worker who begins to receive benefits at normal retirement age or, generally, to a disabled worker. This amount, which is typically related to the worker's average monthly wage or average indexed monthly earnings, is also used as a base for computing all types of benefits payable on an individual's earnings record.

Primary-insurance-amount formula. The mathematical formula relating the PIA to the AIME for workers who attain age 62, become disabled, or die after 1978. The PIA is equal to the sum of 90 percent of AIME up to the first bend point, plus 32 percent of AIME above the first bend point up to the second bend point, plus 15 percent of AIME in excess of the second bend point. Automatic benefit increases are applied beginning with the year of eligibility. See table V.C2 for historical and assumed future bend points and table V.C1 for historical and assumed future benefit increases.

Quarters of coverage. Basic unit of measurement for determining insured status. In 2018, a worker receives one quarter of coverage (up to a total of four) for each \$1,320 of annual covered earnings. For years after 1978, the amount of earnings required for a quarter of coverage is subject to annual automatic increases in proportion to increases in average wages. See table V.C2.

Railroad Retirement. A Federal insurance program, similar to Social Security, designed for workers in the railroad industry. The provisions of the Railroad Retirement Act provide for a system of coordination and financial interchange between the Railroad Retirement program and the Social Security program.

Reallocation of payroll tax rates. An increase in the payroll tax rate for either the OASI or DI Trust Fund, with a corresponding reduction in the rate for the other fund, so that the total OASDI payroll tax rate is not changed.

Real-wage differential. The difference between the percentage increases in: (1) the average annual wage in covered employment and (2) the average annual Consumer Price Index. See table V.B1.

Recession. A period of adverse economic conditions; in particular, two or more successive calendar quarters of negative growth in gross domestic product.

Reserves. See "Asset reserves."

Retired-worker benefit. A monthly benefit payable to a fully insured retired worker aged 62 or older or to a person entitled under the transitionally insured status provision in the law.

Retirement earnings test. See "Earnings test."

Retirement eligibility age. The age, currently age 62, at which a fully insured individual first becomes eligible to receive retired-worker benefits.

Retirement test. See “Earnings test.”

Scheduled benefits. The level of benefits specified under current law.

Scenario-based model. A model with specified assumptions for and relationships among variables. Under such a model, any specified set of assumptions determines a single outcome directly reflecting the specifications.

Self-employment. Operation of a trade or business by an individual or by a partnership in which an individual is a member.

Self-Employment Contributions Act—SECA. Provision authorizing Social Security payroll taxes on the net earnings of most self-employed persons.

Short-range. The next 10 years. The Trustees prepare short-range actuarial estimates for this period because of the test of short-range financial adequacy. The Social Security Act requires estimates for 5 years; the Trustees prepare estimates for an additional 5 years to help clarify trends which are only starting to develop in the mandated first 5-year period.

Social Security Act. Provisions of the law governing most operations of the Social Security program. The original Social Security Act is Public Law 74-271, enacted August 14, 1935. With subsequent amendments, the Social Security Act consists of 21 titles, of which three have been repealed. Title II of the Social Security Act authorized the Old-Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance program.

Social Security area population. The population comprised of: (1) residents of the 50 States and the District of Columbia (adjusted for net census undercount); (2) civilian residents of Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Northern Mariana Islands; (3) Federal civilian employees and persons in the U.S. Armed Forces abroad and their dependents; (4) non-citizens living abroad who are insured for Social Security benefits; and (5) all other U.S. citizens abroad.

Solvency. A program is solvent at a point in time if it is able to pay scheduled benefits when due with scheduled financing. For example, the OASDI program is solvent over any period for which the trust funds maintain a positive level of asset reserves.

Special public-debt obligation. Securities of the United States Government issued exclusively to the OASI, DI, HI, and SMI Trust Funds and other Federal trust funds. Section 201(d) of the Social Security Act provides that the public-debt obligations issued for purchase by the OASI and DI Trust Funds shall have maturities fixed with due regard for the needs of the funds. The usual practice has been to spread the holdings of special issues, as of each June 30, so that the amounts maturing in each of the next 15 years are approximately equal. Special public-debt obligations are redeemable at par value at any time and carry interest rates determined by law (see “Interest rate”). See tables VI.A4 and VI.A5 for a listing of the obligations held by the OASI and DI Trust Funds, respectively.

Stochastic model. A model used for projecting a probability distribution of potential outcomes. Such models allow for random variation in one or more variables through time. The random variation is generally based on fluctuations observed in historical data for a selected period. A large number of simulations, each of which reflects random variation in the variable(s), produce a distribution of potential outcomes.

Substantial gainful activity—SGA. The level of work activity used to establish disability. A finding of disability requires that a person be unable to engage in substantial gainful activity. A person who earns more than a certain monthly amount (net of impairment-related work expenses) is ordinarily considered to be engaging in SGA. The amount of monthly earnings considered as SGA depends on the nature of a person's disability. The Social Security Act specifies a higher SGA amount for statutorily blind individuals; Federal regulations specify a lower SGA amount for non-blind individuals. Both SGA amounts increase with increases in the national average wage index.

Summarized balance. The difference between the summarized income rate and the summarized cost rate, expressed as a percentage of GDP. The difference between the summarized income rate and cost rate as a percentage of taxable payroll is referred to as the actuarial balance.

Summarized cost rate. The ratio of the present value of cost to the present value of the taxable payroll (or GDP) for the years in a given period, expressed as a percentage. To evaluate the financial adequacy of the program, the summarized cost rate is adjusted to include the cost of reaching and maintaining a target trust fund level. A trust fund level of about 1 year's cost is considered to be an adequate reserve for unforeseen contingencies; therefore, the targeted trust fund ratio is 100 percent of annual cost. Accordingly, the adjusted summarized cost rate is equal to the ratio of: (1) the sum of the present value of the cost during the period plus the present value of the targeted ending trust fund level to (2) the present value of the taxable payroll (or GDP) during the projection period.

Summarized income rate. The ratio of the present value of scheduled non-interest income to the present value of taxable payroll (or GDP) for the years in a given period, expressed as a percentage. To evaluate the financial adequacy of the program, the summarized income rate is adjusted to include asset reserves on hand at the beginning of the period. Accordingly, the adjusted summarized income rate equals the ratio of: (1) the sum of the trust fund reserve at the beginning of the period plus the present value of non-interest income during the period to (2) the present value of the taxable payroll (or GDP) for the years in the period.

Supplemental Security Income—SSI. A Federally administered program (often with State supplementation) of cash assistance for needy aged, blind,

Appendices

or disabled persons. The General Fund of the Treasury funds SSI and the Social Security Administration administers it.

Supplementary Medical Insurance (SMI) Trust Fund. See “Trust fund.”

Survivor benefit. Benefit payable to a survivor of a deceased worker.

Sustainable solvency. Sustainable solvency for the financing of the program under a specified set of assumptions is achieved when the projected trust fund ratio is positive throughout the 75-year projection period and is either stable or rising at the end of the period.

Taxable earnings. Wages or self-employment income, in employment covered by the OASDI or HI programs, that is under the applicable annual maximum taxable limit. For 1994 and later, no maximum taxable limit applies to the HI program.

Taxable payroll. A weighted sum of taxable wages and taxable self-employment income. When multiplied by the combined employee-employer payroll tax rate, taxable payroll yields the total amount of payroll taxes incurred by employees, employers, and the self-employed for work during the period.

Taxable self-employment income. The maximum amount of net earnings from self-employment by an earner which, when added to any taxable wages, does not exceed the contribution and benefit base. For HI beginning in 1994, all net earnings from self-employment.

Taxable wages. See “Taxable earnings.”

Taxation of benefits. Beginning in 1984, Federal law subjected up to 50 percent of an individual’s or a couple’s OASDI benefits to Federal income taxation under certain circumstances. Treasury allocates the revenue derived from this provision to the OASI and DI Trust Funds on the basis of the income taxes paid on the benefits from each fund. Beginning in 1994, the law increased the maximum percentage from 50 percent to 85 percent. The HI Trust Fund receives the additional tax revenue resulting from the increase to 85 percent.

Taxes. See “Payroll tax contributions” and “Taxation of benefits.”

Termination. Cessation of payment because the beneficiary is no longer entitled to receive a specific type of benefit. For example, benefits might terminate as a result of the death of the beneficiary, the recovery of a disabled beneficiary, or the attainment of age 18 by a child beneficiary. In some cases, an individual may cease one benefit and this is not a termination because they become immediately entitled to another type of benefit, such as the conversion of a disabled-worker beneficiary at normal retirement age to a retired-worker beneficiary.

Test of long-range close actuarial balance. The conditions required to meet this test are:

- The trust fund satisfies the test of short-range financial adequacy; and

- The trust fund ratios stay above zero throughout the 75-year projection period, such that benefits would be payable in a timely manner throughout the period.

The Trustees apply the test to OASI, DI, and the combined OASDI program based on the intermediate set of assumptions.

Test of short-range financial adequacy. The conditions required to meet this test are:

- If the trust fund ratio for a fund is at least 100 percent at the beginning of the projection period, the test requires that it remain at or above 100 percent throughout the 10-year projection period;
- If the ratio is initially less than 100 percent, then it must reach at least 100 percent within 5 years (without asset reserve depletion at any time during this period) and then remain at or above 100 percent throughout the remainder of the 10-year period.

The Trustees apply the test to OASI, DI, and the combined OASDI program based on the intermediate set of assumptions.

Total-economy productivity. The ratio of real GDP to hours worked by all workers. Also referred to as “labor productivity.”

Total fertility rate. The sum of the single year of age birth rates for women aged 14 through 49, where the rate for age 14 includes births to women aged 14 and under, and the rate for age 49 includes births to women aged 49 and over. The total fertility rate may be interpreted as the average number of children that would be born to a woman in her lifetime if she were to experience, at each age of her life, the birth rate observed in, or assumed for, a specified year, and if she were to survive the entire childbearing period.

Trust fund. Separate accounts in the United States Treasury which hold the payroll taxes received under the Federal Insurance Contributions Act and the Self-Employment Contributions Act; payroll taxes resulting from coverage of State and local government employees; any sums received under the financial interchange with the railroad retirement account; voluntary hospital and medical insurance premiums; and reimbursements or payments from the General Fund of the Treasury. As required by law, the Department of the Treasury invests funds not required to meet current expenditures in interest-bearing securities backed by the full faith and credit of the U.S. Government. The interest earned is also deposited in the trust funds.

- **Old-Age and Survivors Insurance (OASI).** The trust fund used for paying monthly benefits to retired-worker (old-age) beneficiaries, their spouses and children, and to survivors of deceased insured workers.
- **Disability Insurance (DI).** The trust fund used for paying monthly benefits to disabled-worker beneficiaries, their spouses and children, and for providing rehabilitation services to the disabled.

Appendices

- **Hospital Insurance (HI).** The trust fund used for paying part of the costs of inpatient hospital services and related care for aged and disabled individuals who meet the eligibility requirements. Also known as Medicare Part A.
- **Supplementary Medical Insurance (SMI).** The Medicare trust fund composed of the Part B Account, the Part D Account, and the Transitional Assistance Account. The Part B Account pays for a portion of the costs of physicians' services, outpatient hospital services, and other related medical and health services for voluntarily enrolled aged and disabled individuals. The Part D Account pays private plans to provide prescription drug coverage, beginning in 2006. The Transitional Assistance Account paid for transitional assistance under the prescription drug card program in 2004 and 2005.

The trust funds are distinct legal entities which operate independently. Fund operations are sometimes combined on a hypothetical basis.

Trust fund ratio. A measure of trust fund adequacy. The asset reserves at the beginning of a year, which do not include advance tax transfers, expressed as a percentage of the cost for the year. The trust fund ratio represents the proportion of a year's cost which could be paid solely with the reserves at the beginning of the year.

Trustees. See "Board of Trustees."

Undisbursed balances. In general, refers to the cumulative differences between the actual cash expenditures that the Social Security Administration (SSA) made each month compared to security redemptions from the trust fund reserves made on a preliminary basis to cover such cash expenditures during the same month. On a monthly basis, SSA pays benefits and makes payments for other programmatic expenses associated with the trust funds. During each month, SSA draws cash from the trust funds on a preliminary basis, which results in Treasury redeeming invested securities to cover these expenditures. This monthly difference can be either positive or negative depending on net monthly activity, and is added to the balance at the end of the prior month.

A net positive undisbursed balance represents a situation where cumulative redemptions from the trust fund's securities are more than was needed to cover actual program cash expenditures through the end of the month. A net negative balance represents a situation where cumulative program cash expenditures exceeded the amount redeemed from the invested securities. A negative value requires future redemption of additional invested securities.

In addition, about every seven years, when January 3 falls on a Sunday, benefit payments scheduled to be paid on January 3rd are actually paid on December 31 of the preceding year, as required by the statutory provision included in the 1977 Social Security Amendments for early delivery of bene-

fit payments when the normal payment delivery date is a Saturday, Sunday, or legal public holiday. Consistent with practice in prior reports and for comparability with other historical years and the projections in this report, all trust fund operations and asset reserves reflect the 12 months of benefits scheduled for payment in each year. Therefore, such advance payments are included as positive values in the undisbursed balance at the end of the calendar years in which the advance payments are made.

Unfunded obligation. A measure of the shortfall of trust fund income to fully cover program cost through a specified date after depletion of trust fund asset reserves. This measure can be expressed in present value dollars, discounted to the beginning of the valuation period, by computing the excess of the present value of the projected cost of the program through a specified date over the sum of: (1) the value of trust fund reserves at the beginning of the valuation period; and (2) the present value of the projected non-interest income of the program through a specified date, assuming scheduled tax rates and benefit levels. This measure can apply for all participants through a specified date, i.e., the open group, or be limited to a specified subgroup of participants.

Unfunded obligation ratio. The unfunded obligation accumulated through the beginning of a year expressed as a percentage of the cost for the year.

Unnegotiated check. A check which has not been cashed 6 months after the end of the month in which the check was issued. When a check has been outstanding for a year, the Department of the Treasury administratively cancels the check and reimburses the issuing trust fund separately for the amount of the check and interest for the period the check was outstanding. The appropriate trust fund also receives an interest adjustment for the time the check was outstanding if it is cashed 6-12 months after the month of issue. If a check is presented for payment after it has been administratively canceled, a replacement check is issued.

Valuation period. A period of years which is considered as a unit for purposes of calculating the financial status of a trust fund.

Vocational rehabilitation. Services provided to disabled persons to help them to return to gainful employment. The trust funds reimburse the providers of such services only in those cases where the services contributed to the successful rehabilitation of the beneficiaries.

Year of depletion. The year in which a trust fund becomes unable to pay benefits when due because the fund's asset reserves have been used up.

List of Tables

II. OVERVIEW

II.B1	Summary of 2017 Trust Fund Financial Operations	7
II.B2	Payroll Tax Contribution Rates for 2017	8
II.C1	Long-Range Values of Key Assumptions for the 75-year Projection Period	9
II.D1	Projected Maximum Trust Fund Ratios During the Long-Range Period and Trust Fund Reserve Depletion Dates	15
II.D2	Reasons for Change in the 75-Year Actuarial Balance, Based on Intermediate Assumptions	21

**III. FINANCIAL OPERATIONS OF THE TRUST FUNDS AND
LEGISLATIVE CHANGES IN THE LAST YEAR**

III.A1	Operations of the OASI Trust Fund, Calendar Year 2017	27
III.A2	Operations of the DI Trust Fund, Calendar Year 2017	31
III.A3	Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds, Calendar Year 2017	33
III.A4	Comparison of Actual Calendar Year 2017 Trust Fund Operations With Estimates Made in Prior Reports, Based on Intermediate Assumptions	34
III.A5	Distribution of Benefit Payments by Type of Beneficiary or Payment, Calendar Years 2016 and 2017	35
III.A6	Administrative Expenses as a Percentage of Non-interest Income and of Total Expenditures, Calendar Years 2013-2017	36
III.A7	Trust Fund Investment Transactions, Calendar Year 2017	36

IV. ACTUARIAL ESTIMATES

IV.A1	Operations of the OASI Trust Fund, Calendar Years 2013-2027	41
IV.A2	Operations of the DI Trust Fund, Calendar Years 2013-2027	45
IV.A3	Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds, Calendar Years 2013-2027	47
IV.A4	Reasons for Change in Trust Fund (Unfunded Obligation) Ratios at the Beginning of the Tenth Year of Projection Under Intermediate Assumptions	50
IV.B1	Annual Income Rates, Cost Rates, and Balances, Calendar Years 1990-2095	54
IV.B2	Components of Annual Income Rates, Calendar Years 1990-2095	59

List of Tables

IV.B3	Covered Workers and Beneficiaries, Calendar Years 1945-2095	61
IV.B4	Trust Fund Ratios, Calendar Years 2018-2095	66
IV.B5	Components of Summarized Income Rates and Cost Rates, Calendar Years 2018-2092	70
IV.B6	Components of 75-Year Actuarial Balance and Unfunded Obligation Under Intermediate Assumptions . .	72
IV.B7	Reasons for Change in the 75-Year Actuarial Balance, Based on Intermediate Assumptions	73

**V. ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS UNDERLYING
ACTUARIAL ESTIMATES**

V.A1	Fertility and Mortality Assumptions, Calendar Years 1940-2095	85
V.A2	Immigration Assumptions, Calendar Years 1940-2095	90
V.A3	Social Security Area Population on July 1 and Dependency Ratios, Calendar Years 1945-2095	93
V.A4	Period Life Expectancy	96
V.A5	Cohort Life Expectancy	97
V.B1	Principal Economic Assumptions	105
V.B2	Additional Economic Factors	111
V.C1	Cost-of-Living Benefit Increases, Average Wage Index, Contribution and Benefit Bases, and Retirement Earnings Test Exempt Amounts, 1975-2027	116
V.C2	Values for Selected Wage-Indexed Program Parameters, Calendar Years 1978-2027	120
V.C3	Legislated Changes in Normal Retirement Age and Delayed Retirement Credits for Persons Reaching Age 62 in Each Year 1986 and Later	122
V.C4	OASI Beneficiaries With Benefits in Current-Payment Status at the End of Calendar Years 1945-2095	130
V.C5	DI Beneficiaries With Benefits in Current-Payment Status at the End of Calendar Years 1960-2095	139
V.C6	Contribution and Benefit Base and Payroll Tax Contribution Rates	146
V.C7	Annual Scheduled Benefit Amounts for Retired Workers With Various Pre-Retirement Earnings Patterns Based on Intermediate Assumptions, Calendar Years 2018-2095	150

List of Tables

VI. APPENDICES

A. HISTORY OF OASI AND DI TRUST FUND OPERATIONS

VI.A1	Operations of the OASI Trust Fund, Calendar Years 1937-2017	156
VI.A2	Operations of the DI Trust Fund, Calendar Years 1957-2017	158
VI.A3	Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds, Calendar Years 1957-2017	160
VI.A4	OASI Trust Fund Asset Reserves, End of Calendar Years 2016 and 2017	162
VI.A5	DI Trust Fund Asset Reserves, End of Calendar Years 2016 and 2017	163

B. HISTORY OF ACTUARIAL STATUS ESTIMATES

VI.B1	Long-Range OASDI Actuarial Balances and Trust Fund Reserve Depletion Dates as Shown in the Trustees Reports for 1982-2018 under Intermediate Assumptions	166
-------	--	-----

**C. FISCAL YEAR HISTORICAL AND PROJECTED
TRUST FUND OPERATIONS THROUGH 2027**

VI.C1	Operations of the OASI Trust Fund, Fiscal Year 2017	171
VI.C2	Operations of the DI Trust Fund, Fiscal Year 2017	172
VI.C3	Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds, Fiscal Year 2017	173
VI.C4	Operations of the OASI Trust Fund, Fiscal Years 2013-2027	174
VI.C5	Operations of the DI Trust Fund, Fiscal Years 2013-2027	175
VI.C6	Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds, Fiscal Years 2013-2027	176

D. LONG-RANGE SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

VI.D1	Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to Fertility Assumptions	178
VI.D2	Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to Death-Rate Assumptions	179
VI.D3	Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to Total Net Immigration Assumptions	180
VI.D4	Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to Real-Wage Assumptions	182
VI.D5	Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to CPI-Increase Assumptions	183
VI.D6	Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to Real-Interest Assumptions	184
VI.D7	Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to Taxable Ratio Assumptions	185

List of Tables

VI.D8	Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to Disability Incidence Assumptions	186
VI.D9	Sensitivity of OASDI Measures to Disability Termination Assumptions	188
<i>E. STOCHASTIC PROJECTIONS AND UNCERTAINTY</i>		
VI.E1	Long-Range Estimates Relating to the Actuarial Status of the Combined OASDI Program.	198
<i>F. INFINITE HORIZON PROJECTIONS</i>		
VI.F1	Unfunded OASDI Obligations Through the Infinite Horizon, Based on Intermediate Assumptions	200
VI.F2	Present Values of OASDI Cost Less Non-interest Income and Unfunded Obligations for Program Participants, Based on Intermediate Assumptions	202
<i>G. ESTIMATES FOR OASDI AND HI, SEPARATE AND COMBINED</i>		
VI.G1	Payroll Tax Contribution Rates for the OASDI and HI Programs	204
VI.G2	OASDI and HI Annual Income Rates, Cost Rates, and Balances, Calendar Years 2018-2095	206
VI.G3	Summarized OASDI and HI Income Rates and Cost Rates for Valuation Periods, Calendar Years 2018-2092	208
VI.G4	OASDI and HI Annual and Summarized Income, Cost, and Balance as a Percentage of GDP, Calendar Years 2018-2095	211
VI.G5	Ratio of OASDI Taxable Payroll to GDP, Calendar Years 2018-2095	213
VI.G6	Selected Economic Variables, Calendar Years 2017-2095	216
VI.G7	Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds, in CPI-indexed 2018 Dollars, Calendar Years 2018-2095	218
VI.G8	Operations of the Combined OASI and DI Trust Funds, in Current Dollars, Calendar Years 2018-2095	220
VI.G9	OASDI and HI Annual Non-interest Income, Cost, and Balance in CPI-Indexed 2018 Dollars, Calendar Years 2018-2095	222
VI.G10	OASDI and HI Annual Non-interest Income, Cost, and Balance in Current Dollars, Calendar Years 2018-2095	224

List of Tables

***H. ANALYSIS OF BENEFIT DISBURSEMENTS FROM THE OASI
TRUST FUND WITH RESPECT TO DISABLED BENEFICIARIES***

VI.H1	Scheduled Benefit Disbursements From the OASI Trust Fund With Respect to Disabled Beneficiaries	227
VI.H2	Scheduled Benefit Disbursements Under the OASDI Program With Respect to Disabled Beneficiaries	229

II. OVERVIEW

II.D1	Short-Range OASI and DI Combined Trust Fund Ratio	11
II.D2	OASDI Income, Cost, and Expenditures as Percentages of Taxable Payroll	13
II.D3	Number of Covered Workers Per OASDI Beneficiary	14
II.D4	OASDI Cost and Non-interest Income as a Percentage of GDP	15
II.D5	Cumulative Scheduled OASDI Income Less Cost, From Program Inception Through Years 2017-2092	17
II.D6	Long-Range OASI and DI Combined Trust Fund Ratios Under Alternative Scenarios	19
II.D7	Long-Range OASI and DI Combined Trust Fund Ratios From Stochastic Modeling	20
II.D8	OASDI Annual Balances: 2017 and 2018 Trustees Reports . . .	22

IV. ACTUARIAL ESTIMATES

IV.A1	Short-Range OASI and DI Trust Fund Ratios	43
IV.B1	Long-Range OASI and DI Annual Income Rates and Cost Rates	58
IV.B2	Number of OASDI Beneficiaries Per 100 Covered Workers . .	63
IV.B3	Long-Range OASI and DI Trust Fund Ratios	67
IV.B4	OASDI Annual Balances: 2017 and 2018 Trustees Reports . . .	78

**V. ASSUMPTIONS AND METHODS UNDERLYING
ACTUARIAL ESTIMATES**

V.C1	Primary-Insurance-Amount Formula for Those Newly Eligible in 2018	118
V.C2	OASI Maximum-Family-Benefit Formula for Those Newly Eligible in 2018	119
V.C3	DI Disability Incidence Rates, 1970-2095	135
V.C4	DI Disability Termination Rates, 1970-2095	137
V.C5	Comparison of DI Disability Incidence Rates, Termination Rates and Conversion Ratios Under Intermediate Assumptions, 1970-2095	138
V.C6	DI Disability Prevalence Rates, 1970-2095	141

List of Figures

VI. APPENDICES

VI.E1	Long-Range OASDI Cost Rates From Stochastic Modeling . . .	192
VI.E2	Long-Range OASDI Trust Fund Ratios From Stochastic Modeling	193
VI.E3	OASDI Cost Rates: Comparison of Stochastic to Low-Cost, Intermediate, and High-Cost Alternatives	194
VI.E4	OASDI Trust Fund (Unfunded Obligation) Ratios: Comparison of Stochastic to Low-Cost, Intermediate, and High-Cost Alternatives	196
VI.G1	Estimated OASDI Income and Cost in CPI-indexed 2018 Dollars, Based on Intermediate Assumptions	219

A

Actuarial balance 11, 16, 51, 164, 177
Actuarial deficit 4, 16, 23, 69, 208
Actuarial estimates, LR 51
Actuarial estimates, SR 40
Adjusted program amounts 114
Administrative expenses 7, 35, 52, 151, 155, 171, 209, 217
Advance tax transfers 40, 64, 157
Amendments 37
Annual balance 21, 51, 73
Asset reserves 2, 7, 10, 29, 171, 184, 203
Assumptions 10, 80, 98, 114, 164, 177, 204, 209, 214, 226
Automatic cost-of-living benefit increase 44, 99, 114
Auxiliary benefits 124
Average benefits 148
Average earnings assumptions 101
Average indexed monthly earnings (AIME) 117
Average wage index 114, 214
Award 126

B

Baby-boom generation 4, 23, 53, 61, 107, 133
Bend points 117
Beneficiaries, DI 132
Beneficiary 13, 44, 61, 80, 115, 167, 178, 209, 217, 226
Beneficiary, OASI 125
Benefit payments 7, 52, 148, 155, 171
Benefit termination 9
Best estimate 9, 38, 80
Board of Trustees 38, 98, 153

C

Constant dollars 219
Consumer Price Index 99, 182, 214, 231
Contribution and benefit base 43, 101, 115, 231
Contributions 7, 153, 171, 203, 209, 217, 230
Cost 2, 47
Cost rate 4, 52, 203
Cost-of-living adjustment 114
Covered earnings 7, 114, 213
Covered employment 9, 43, 101, 122, 153, 181
Covered worker 63, 179
Creditable earnings 233
Current dollars 214

Index

Current-payment status 46, 137, 138, 139, 232

D

Deemed wage credit 52

Delayed retirement credit 121

Demographic assumptions 9, 21, 38, 81, 107, 114, 164, 215, 230

Deterministic model 189, 198

DI beneficiaries 132

Disability 153, 180, 226

Disability conversion ratio 137

Disability incidence rate 80, 132, 135, 180, 186, 230

Disability Insurance Trust Fund 232

Disability prevalence rate 139, 141

Disability termination rate 187

Disabled-worker benefit 135, 234

Disbursements 25, 27, 28, 30, 31, 33, 38, 171, 172, 173, 227, 229

E

Earnings 2, 7, 43, 52, 101, 115, 153, 165, 203, 213, 214, 230

Earnings test 102, 115, 231

Economic assumptions 9, 21, 38, 49, 98, 107, 114, 164, 215, 230

Excess wages 52, 214

Expenditures 7, 9, 29, 36, 38, 155, 235

F

Federal Insurance Contributions Act 203, 240

Fertility assumptions 81

Financial interchange 7, 151, 155

Fiscal year 157, 170

Full advance funding 236

G

General Fund of the Treasury 42, 44, 46, 48, 157, 159, 161, 174, 175, 176, 230

General fund reimbursement 236

Gross domestic product 4, 11, 58, 80, 99, 109, 209

Gross domestic product projections 109

H

High-cost assumptions 9, 18, 38, 53, 80, 98, 136, 177, 197, 204, 210, 214, 231

Hospital Insurance program 145, 203, 239

Hospital Insurance Trust Fund 209

I

Immigration 9, 80, 86, 180, 189, 230, 237

Immigration assumptions 86
Income rate 4, 12, 52, 203
Infinite horizon 11
Inflation 9, 80, 99, 214, 230
Inflation assumptions 99
Insured population 9, 123
Insured status 119
Interest 110, 154, 164, 171, 205, 230
Interest rate 80, 164, 184, 230
Interest rate projections 110
Interest rates 9
Interfund borrowing 157, 237
Intermediate assumptions 9, 38, 44, 53, 80, 135, 177, 205, 210, 214, 218, 219, 220, 226, 230

L

Labor force projections 106
Lawful Permanent Resident (LPR) immigration 87
Legal immigration 238
Life expectancy 4, 23, 80, 95, 106, 238
Life expectancy estimates 94
Long range 11, 51, 81, 126, 164, 203, 209
Low-cost assumptions 9, 18, 38, 53, 80, 98, 136, 177, 197, 204, 214, 230
Lump-sum death payment 149

M

Medicare 83, 239
Military service 52, 153, 157, 159, 161

N

National average wage index 214
Normal retirement age 115, 132, 149, 234

O

OASI beneficiaries 125
Old-Age and Survivors Insurance Trust Fund 153, 232
Old-law base 119
Other-than-LPR immigration 87

P

Par value 155
Partial advance funding 240
Pay-as-you-go financing 164
Payroll taxes 2, 114, 142, 167, 199, 203, 217
Population estimates 92
Population in the Social Security area 43, 63, 92, 122, 180

Index

Present value 164
Primary insurance amount (PIA) 117
Productivity assumptions 99

Q

Quarters of coverage 123

R

Railroad Retirement 52, 68, 119, 151, 155, 171, 172, 173, 203, 209, 217, 232
Reallocation of tax rates 241
Real-wage differential 104, 181
Retired-worker benefit 126, 179, 226
Retirement age 115, 149, 234
Retirement earnings test 101, 115
Retirement eligibility age 179

S

Scenario-based model 242
Scheduled benefits 183, 209, 217, 228, 242
Self-employment 52, 100, 204, 240
Self-Employment Contributions Act 240, 242
Sensitivity analysis 177
Short range 10, 40, 126
Social Security Act 114, 154, 214, 226, 232
Social Security amendments 37
Solvency 242
Special public-debt obligation 113, 154, 184
Stochastic projections 189
Substantial gainful activity 132, 234
Summarized balance 210
Summarized income and cost rates 68, 164, 177, 207, 230
Supplemental Security Income 171
Supplementary Medical Insurance program 203, 239
Survivor benefit 2, 8, 129, 231
Sustainable solvency 64, 65

T

Taxable earnings 43, 63, 115, 165, 231
Taxable payroll 4, 23, 52, 100, 123, 164, 178, 203, 209, 214, 233
Taxable ratio 185
Taxable self-employment income 244
Taxable wages 147, 204, 244
Taxation of benefits 7, 147, 209, 217, 237
Taxes 7, 114, 204

Index

Termination 80
Termination rate 9, 126, 187, 230
Test of short-range financial adequacy 40, 41, 242
Total fertility rate 81, 177
Trust fund financial operations 7, 25, 41, 153
Trust fund ratio 10, 40, 51, 64, 157, 174, 246
Trust fund reserves 40

U

Unemployment projections 106
Unfunded obligation 4, 11, 16, 18, 51, 71, 72, 200, 201, 202, 240, 246, 247
Unnegotiated check 171

V

Valuation period 16, 49, 51, 164, 177, 207, 230
Vocational rehabilitation 52, 155, 172, 209, 217, 228, 233

Y

Year of depletion 12, 15, 51, 66

STATEMENT OF ACTUARIAL OPINION

It is my opinion that, with the important caveat noted below: (1) the techniques and methodology used herein to evaluate the actuarial status of the Federal Old-Age and Survivors Insurance and Disability Insurance Trust Funds are based upon sound principles of actuarial practice and are generally accepted within the actuarial profession; and (2) the assumptions used and the resulting actuarial estimates are, individually and in the aggregate, reasonable for the purpose of evaluating the actuarial status of the trust funds, taking into consideration the past experience and future expectations for the population, the economy, and the program. I am an Associate of the Society of Actuaries, a member of the American Academy of Actuaries, and I meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

Federal Budget Accounting

This report focuses on the actuarial status of the OASI and DI Trust Funds, as required by law. It includes important information on (1) the years in which trust fund asset reserves are projected to be depleted and (2) the degree to which benefits scheduled in the law would no longer be fully payable on a timely basis after reserve depletion. However, the footnote on page 44 of this report directs the reader to an appendix in the Medicare Trustees Report, which states, “The trust fund perspective does not encompass the interrelationship between the Medicare and Social Security trust funds and the overall Federal budget.” The reader of this report should consider this “overall” Federal unified budget perspective with care because the assumptions underlying unified budget accounting are inconsistent with the assumptions of trust fund accounting.

In particular, trust fund accounting accurately reflects the law, under which benefits cannot be paid in full on a timely basis after reserve depletion. In contrast, unified budget accounting assumes that full scheduled benefits will continue to be paid through transfers from the General Fund of the Treasury, thus representing “a draw on other Federal resources for which there is no earmarked source of revenue from the public.” Not only are such “draws” not permissible under the law, no precedent exists for a change in the Social Security Act to finance unfunded trust fund obligations with such draws on other Federal resources. Under this unified budget accounting assumption, \$13.2 trillion of OASDI unfunded obligations, which are not payable under the law over the next 75 years, are referred to as “expenditures” requiring a “draw” from the General Fund of the Treasury.

In addition, unified budget accounting treats redemptions of trust fund reserves as an addition to annual Federal deficits, referring to these redemptions also as “a draw on other Federal resources.” In fact, redemptions of trust fund reserves represent a deferred use of revenues earmarked for the trust fund program alone, which have been collected in prior years and saved for later use. These redemptions utilize the entire \$2.9 trillion accumulation of net past earmarked revenue for OASDI, but are referred to as draws on the General Fund of the Treasury under the unified budget perspective.

Therefore, the actual operations of the trust funds under current law do not draw on other Federal resources. Expenditures can only be paid from current or deferred earmarked resources for the specific program financed from the trust fund. Assertions that trust fund reserve redemption and shortfalls after reserve depletion represent draws on other Federal resources are based on assumptions that are inconsistent with the law and with actual trust fund annual cash-flow operations.

In addition to Federal budget annual cash flows, the budget perspective is equally concerned with the build-up of Federal debt. The total Federal debt subject to limit includes trust fund reserves. Thus, as trust fund reserves are accumulated or redeemed, they are offset in the total Federal debt by securities issued to the public, with no net effect on the total Federal debt. Moreover, even in considering the Federal debt owed to (held by) the public, there is no net direct effect on that debt from accumulating and then redeeming trust fund asset reserves. However, budget analysis frequently refers to both trust fund reserve redemptions and trust fund obligations not payable under the law after reserve depletion as factors that increase the Federal debt held by the public in the future. This assertion is not consistent with a full assessment of the investment and redemption flows of the trust funds or with the limitations in the law on paying benefits after trust fund reserves are depleted.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Stephen C. Goss". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, stylized 'S' and 'G'.

Stephen C. Goss

*Associate of the Society of Actuaries
Member of the American Academy of Actuaries
Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration*

